Screening marine organisms for antimicrobial activity against clinical pathogens

Prabha Devi*, Solimabi Wahidulla, Tonima Kamat, Lisette D'Souza

Bioorganic Chemistry Lab, National Institute of Oceanography, CSIR, Dona Paula, Goa 403 004, India *[Email: dprabha@nio.org]

Received 16 July 2009; revised 31 March 2010

Bacterial resistance to existing antibiotics has led to the search for new therapeutic agents. With this aim, we have evaluated antimicrobial activity of extracts prepared from forty species of marine organisms belonging to different phyla and collected from Mandapam, south-east India, (9°16' N; 79°12'E) and Kanyakumari coasts, south India, 8°4' N; 77°34'°E. The extracts were screened against clinical isolates of bacteria including multi-drug resistant (MDR) strains and fungi. The sponge, Ectyobatzella enigmatica-extract was quite promising as bactericidal agent especially against MDR Streptococcus pyogenes and except for its ineffectiveness against Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella sp. it was as effective as standard streptomycin against each of the bacteria tested. Besides E. enigmatica, Spongia officinalis and the echinoderm Pentaceraster affinis extracts also exhibited good activity against MDR strains of S. pyogenes and Acinetobacter sp. An unidentified ascidian extract effectively inhibited the growth of Shigella flexineri and the soft corals, Sinularia sp.(iii) and Sinularia kavarattiensis were weakly active against some of the bacteria tested . Both the sea grasses (Halodule sp. and Halophila ovalis) were antifungal but not anti-bactericidal. Follow up studies showed that except Ectyobatzella enigmatica where the activity was distributed in all the fractions, the activity of the other extracts were concentrated mainly in the low polar hexane and chloroform fractions. Among the 7 fungal pathogens used in the study, Fusarium sp. was sensitive at varving degrees to 12 marine extracts while 17 extracts were weakly fungicidal against Nocardia sp. The extracts were practically inactive towards the remaining fungal strains. The standard antibiotic (ketoconazole) used was moderately effective only against Cryptococcus neoformans and Aspergillus niger.

[Keywords: Sponges, Soft corals, Sea grass, Ascidian, Starfish, Antibacterial activity, Antifungal activity].

Introduction

The marine environment is an exceptional reservoir of a wide variety of organisms which are prolific producers of novel and bioactive secondary metabolites with diverse chemical structures. This diversity of chemical compounds is believed to be a consequence of competition between organisms for space and resource in most marine habitats. Due to antimicrobial actions some of the biologically active natural products have become excellent sources of new and effective drugs such as antifungal, antiinflammatory and anti-viral agents¹. Because of increasing resistance to antibiotics by several pathogens, marine extracts are of new interest as antimicrobial agents to combat infectious diseases.

Marine flora and fauna collected from the Indian coast have particularly proved to be very productive as far as secondary metabolites are concerned. This is further confirmed by the continuous flow of papers on

*Corresponding author Telephone: 91(0)832 2450392

Fax: 91(0)832 2450607

screening marine extracts with particular reference to samples from Indian coasts²⁻⁸. Thus, bromotyrosine alkaloids from Indian marine sponge Psammaplysilla *purpurea* showed antimicrobial activity⁶; jorumycin, a dimeric isoquinoline alkaloid isolated from the Indo-Pacific nudibranch, Joruna funebris collected from Mandapam coast of India, inhibited the growth of various Gram-positive bacteria and is anti-tumor lines⁹, against various human cell cvclic depsipeptides, kahalalides, from Elysia grandifolia and its algal diet Bryopsis plumose from Mandapam are known to be antimalarial, antituberculosis, antiviral and, primarily antitumor agents¹⁰ and several others.

In this study, methanolic extracts of forty marine organisms belonging to different phyla and fractions of active extracts were screened for their antimicrobial effects on human pathogens. A broad panel of microbial pathogens associated with various skin infections, urinary tract, gastrointestinal infections and waterborne diseases, has been included. The aim of the present study was to identify potential marine sources based on antimicrobial assay.

Materials and methods

Sample collection and identification

Marine organisms were collected by SCUBA diving at depths ranging from 5-15m, at two different locations, Mandapam coast, south-east India (9°16'N; 79°12'E) and Kanyakumari coast, south India (8°4' N; 77°32' E) during the April-May months of 2004 (Table 1). Seagrasses and seaweeds were handpicked during low tide. Collected samples were frozen and transported to the laboratory for extraction. All the samples are deposited at the NIO Taxonomic Reference Center, Goa, India.

Extract preparation and fractionation

Marine organisms were extracted as described earlier¹¹. Briefly, marine organisms were homogenized in a blender and extracted in methanol (3×500 mL) at room temperature and then filtered through GF/C filter paper (Whatman 1). The solvent from combined filtrates was evaporated at reduced pressure and temperatures and the residue obtained i.e. the crude methanolic extract of different organisms was used for primary screening. Crude extracts showing significant activity were further fractionated for secondary screening. For the purpose, methanol extract was dissolved in 5% aqueous methanol and then partitioned successively with hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate and n-butanol. Organic layer was separated and concentrated under vacuum to obtain respective fractions.

Microorganisms used for screening

Details of the pathogenic strains used for screening marine extracts for antibiotic activity are listed in Table 2. All the test strains were clinical isolates collected from sick patients and were generous contribution from the Department of Medical Microbiology of Goa Medical College (GMC) and Hospital.

Antimicrobial assay

Antibacterial activity of different extracts was assessed using standard disc-diffusion assay on marine agar as described earlier⁵. Extracts and fractions, each, were dissolved in the respective solvents and loaded onto sterile paper discs (Whatman GF/C), to yield a final concentration of 300 μ g/disc. Each test organism of known concentration (~1.2×10⁸ CFU/ml) was inoculated on nutrient agar plates (HiMedia) and spread to form a lawn of individual bacterium. Crude Extract and fraction loaded discs as well as positive and negative control discs for comparison were also placed on the plates. Positive control discs comprised of streptomycin (10 μ g/disc) while negative control discs were discs with the respective solvents. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 17-24 h until bacteria developed a confluent film. Observed zones of growth inhibition around each disc were measured in millimeters.

Antifungal assay

This activity was tested against fungal pathogens using the disc diffusion assay method as described for antibacterial assay. The sterile paper discs impregnated with 500 µg/disc of extract was used for antifungal assay. The inoculum concentration was $0.5 \times 10^3 - 2.8 \times 10^3$ CFU/mL. Ketoconazole was used as positive control (100 µg/disc). The plates were incubated for 48 h and the zone of growth inhibition around each disc was measured in millimeters.

Both the antibacterial and antifungal assays were repeated twice and each assay was performed in duplicate.

Results and Discussion

Marine samples collected from, both, Mandapam and Kanyakumari are listed in Table 1. These areas are particularly known to harvest wide range of organisms capable of showing biological activity. Initially, methanolic extracts of these organisms were subjected to primary screening against human pathogens. The pathogens which include bacteria, fungi and multidrug resistant strains (MDR) are listed in Table 2.

The result of primary screening for antibiotic activity as well as comparison with the respective standards (streptomycin/ketoconazole) which diameter of growth inhibition measures is summarized in Table 3. As evident from Table 3, three of the samples studied appeared to be quite promising (two sponges; Ectyobatzella enigmatica and Spongia officinalis, and an echinoderm Pentaceraster affinis) for their ability to inhibit the growth of Multi Drug Resistant (MDR) strains as well as their broad spectrum activity against other selected pathogenic bacteria. The activity of Ectyobatzella enigmatica (Fig. 1a) was comparable with that of standard antibiotic streptomycin. The MDR **Streptococcus** pyogenes was insensitive to streptomycin but strongly sensitive to the extract of E. enigmatica with an inhibition zone of 17 mm against both Shigella flexineri and multi-drug resistant

Streptococcus pyogenes. It also showed good activity against *E. coli*, *Vibrio cholerae*, and moderate activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella typhi* (i). *E. enigmatica*, however, was insensitive to all the

fungal strains tested in the present study. Hence it did not exhibit any antifungal characteristics.

Samples showing significant activity in the primary screening are shown in Fig. 1 (a-h). Results of

| | | Table 1-List of samples used for antimicrobial activity and their location | |
|------------|------------------|--|-------------------|
| Sr. No. | NIO No. | Species | Location |
| | | Porifera | |
| 1 | NIO 741 | Ircinia sp. (i) (SP) | 80°4' N; 77°32' E |
| 2 | NIO 743 | Ectyobatzella enigmatica Burton & Rao (SP) | -do- |
| 3 | NIO 745 | Sigmadocia carnosa (Dendy) (SP) | -do- |
| 4 | NIO 746 | Hyattella cribriformis (Hyatt) (SP) | -do- |
| 5 | NIO 747 | Myxilla arenaria (Dendy) (SP) | -do- |
| 6 | NIO 748 | Spongia sp. (i) (SP) | -do- |
| 7 | NIO 749 | Ircinia fusca (Carter) (SP) | -do- |
| 8 | NIO 753 | Axinella donnani (Bowerbank) (SP) | -do- |
| 9 | NIO 755 | Sigmadocia fibulata (Schmidt) (SP) | 9°16' N; 79°12'E |
| 10 | NIO 756 | Fasciospongia cavernosa (Schmidt) (SP) | -do- |
| 11 | NIO 759 | Psammaplysilla purpurea (Carter) (SP) | -do- |
| 12 | NIO 760 | Aurora globostellata (Carter) (SP) | -do- |
| 13 | NIO 763 | Cliona varians (Duch. & Mich.) (SP) | -do- |
| 14 | NIO 765 | Haliclona sp. (SP) | -do- |
| 15 | NIO 766 | Cinachyra cavernosa (Lamarck) (SP) | -do- |
| 16 | NIO 767 | Hyattella cribriformis (Hyatt) (SP) | -do- |
| 17 | NIO 769 | Spirastrella inconstans var. digitata Dendy (SP) | -do- |
| 18 | NIO 771 | Spongia officinalis var. ceylonensis Dendy (SP) | -do- |
| 19 | NIO 773 | Clathria reinwardti vosmaer (SP) | -do- |
| 20 | NIO 775 | Hyattella cribriformis (Hyatt) (SP) | -do- |
| 21 | NIO 776 | Cliona varians (Duch. & Mich.) (SP) | -do- |
| 22 | NIO 777 | Haliclona pigmentifera (Dendy) (SP) | -do- |
| 23 | NIO 778 | Endectyon fruticosa (Dendy) (SP) | -do- |
| 24 | KK-8 | Callyspongia reticutis Var. solomonensis (Dendy) (SP) | 80°4' N; 77°32' E |
| 25 | KK-3 | Ircinia sp. (ii) (SP) | -do- |
| | | Coelenterata | |
| 26 | NIO 754 | Sinularia kavarattiensis (Alderslade & Shirwaiker) (SC) | 9°16' N; 79°12'E |
| 27 | NIO 761 | Sarcophyton sp. (SC) | -do- |
| 28 | NIO 764 | Sinularia sp. (i) (SC) | -do- |
| 29 | NIO 768 | Lobophytum sp. (SC) | -do- |
| 30 | NIO 770 | Sinularia sp. (ii) (SC) | -do- |
| 31 | NIO 772 | Sinularia inelegans Tixier-Durivault (SC) | -do- |
| 32 | NIO 774 | Sinularia sp. (iii) (SC) | -do- |
| | | Echinodermata | |
| 33 | NIO 740 | Red sea Urchin (SU) | 80°4' N; 77°32' E |
| 34 | NIO 751 | Pentaceraster affinis (Miller & Troschel) (SF) | -do- |
| 35 | NIO 752 | Cushion star (SF) | -do- |
| | | Eurochordata | |
| 36 | NIO 742 | Ascidian (AS) (unidentified) | 80°4' N; 77°32' E |
| | | Marine Plants | |
| 37 | NIO 750 | Halodule sp. (Zannichelleaceae) (SG) | 80°4' N; 77°32' E |
| 38 | NIO 757 | Halophila ovalis (Hydrocharitaceae) (SG) | 9°16' N; 79°12'E |
| 39 | NIO 758 | Enteromorpha SP. (SW) | -do- |
| 40 | NIO 762 | Eucheuma kappaphycus (SW) | -do- |
| SP-Sponge, | SC-Soft coral, S | SF-Star fish, SU-Sea urchin, AS-Ascidian, SW- Sea weed, SG- Sea grass. | |

secondary screening are presented in Table 4. It is evident from Table 4, that all the fractions of *Ectyobatzella enigmatica* were active against all the bacterial and drug resistant pathogens used in the study but to varying degrees. This suggests that different class of compounds with different polarity is responsible for the observed activity. This is the first report on antibacterial activity of the sponge *Ectyobatzella enigmatica*. However, due to small quantity of the sample obtained, further study could not be carried out.

Spongia officinalis (Fig. 1b) exhibited good activity against Shigella flexineri, V. cholera and multi-drug resistant Streptococcus pyogenes and Acinetobacter sp. with an inhibition zone of 9-15 mm. It showed, weak bactericidal activity against E. coli. S. aureus and S. typhi (i) its extract was moderately antifungal against Fusarium sp. and weakly fungicidal against Nocardia sp. Secondary screening, showed the antimicrobial activity to reside in the chloroform fraction. Interestingly, Spongia officinalis extract was as effective as streptomycin against some of the bacterial pathogens. Earlier report showed that methanol-toluene extract of Spongia officinalis inhibited growth bacterial strains of of Staphyloccocus epidermides (5-12 mm), Bacillus subtilis (2-5 mm) and Streptococcus lactis (5-12mm) while its methylene chloride extract was effective against B. subtilis (2-5 mm) and S. lactis $(2-5 \text{ mm})^{12}$. Similarly, Becerro et al.¹³ reported antimicrobial activity of Spongia officinalis against marine bacteria. They also showed that, both, Gram+ve and Gram-ve bacteria were equally affected by the sponge extracts. Some of the antimicrobial compounds identified from Spongia officinalis whose crude extract was active aureus, against *Staphylococcus* Pseudomonas aeuroginosa, and Bacillus spherical, include isoagatholactone and its analogs¹⁴. Later on Gonzalez et al.¹⁵ also identified antifungal diterpenes from the same source.

The starfish, *Pentaceraster affinis* (Fig. 1c), though less active than the standard streptomycin showed considerable antibiotic activity against *Shigella flexineri*, MDR *Acinetobacter* sp., and moderate activity against *Streptococcus pyogenes*. It also exhibited weak activity against *Salmonella typhi*. All the three MDR strains of bacteria were insensitive to streptomycin. Secondary screening was suggestive of the antibacterial activity being concentrated in the low polar hexane fraction. The starfish was mildly Table 2—List of pathogens (bacteria and fungi) used in the study

| Sr. No. | Microorganisms | Code no. | Strain characteristics | Disease they cause | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Bacterial pathogens | | | | | | |
| 1. | Escherichia coli | \mathbf{B}_1 | Gram Negative | Gastrointestin al infection | | | |
| 2. | Pseudomonas aeruginosa | B ₂ | Gram Negative | Urinary tract infection | | | |
| 3. | Staphylococcus aureus | B ₃ | Gram Positive | Skin infection | | | |
| 4. | Salmonella typhi (i) | B_4 | Gram Negative | Typhoid | | | |
| 5. | Shigella flexineri | B ₅ | Gram Negative | Gastrointestin al infection | | | |
| 6. | Klebsiella sp. | B ₆ | Gram Negative | Urinary tract infection | | | |
| 7. | Vibrio cholerae | B_7 | Gram Negative | Cholera | | | |
| | Multi-drug resistant Bacteria | | | | | | |
| 1. | Streptococcus pyogenes | D_1 | Gram Positive | Skin infection | | | |
| 2. | Salmonella typhi (ii) | D_2 | Gram Negative | Typhoid | | | |
| 3. | Acinetobacter sp. | D ₃ | Gram Negative | Urinary tract infection | | | |
| | Fungal pathogens | | | | | | |
| 1. | Aspergillus fumigatus | F_1 | | Skin infection | | | |
| 2. | Fusarium sp. | F_2 | | Skin infection | | | |
| 3. | Cryptococcus neoformans | F ₃ | | Skin infection | | | |
| 4. | Aspergillus niger | F_4 | | Skin infection | | | |
| 5. | Rhodotorula sp. | F_5 | | Skin infection | | | |
| 6. | Nocardia sp. | F_6 | | Skin infection | | | |
| 7. | Candida albicans | F_7 | | Candidiasis | | | |

B- Bacterial pathogen, D- Multi-Drug resistant bacteria, F-Fungal pathogen.

fungicidal with an inhibition zone between 1-4 mm against *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Nocardia* sp. and *Candida albicans*. Antimicrobial effects against fouling bacteria by body wall extract of starfish *Pentaceraster affinis* have been described earlier⁴. The activity has been attributed primarily to saponins and saponin like steroid derivatives¹⁶.

In the case of ascidian (Fig. 1d), methanolic extract showed good antibacterial activity against *Shigella flexineri* (9-15 mm) but weakly antifungal against *Fusarium* sp., *Nocardia* sp. and *Rhodotorula* sp. with inhibition zone less than 4 mm. Secondary screening showed hexane fraction to be responsible for the antimicrobial activity in both starfish *Pentaceraster affinis*, as well as in the unidentified ascidian.

| Sp No | Bacterial pathogens | | | | | MDR bacteria Fungal pathogens | | | | | | | T | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---|
| | B ₁ | B ₂ | B ₃ | B_4 | B ₅ | B ₆ | B ₇ | D ₁ | D ₂ | D ₃ | F ₁ | F_2 | F ₃ | F_4 | F ₅ | F ₆ | F ₇ | - |
| NIO 741 | + | - | - | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 743 | +++ | - | ++ | ++ | ++++ | - | +++ | ++++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 745 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 746 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 747 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 748 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 749 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | ++ | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 753 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 755 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 756 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 759 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 760 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 763 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 765 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 766 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 767 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 769 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 771 | + | - | + | + | +++ | - | +++ | +++ | - | +++ | - | ++ | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 773 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 775 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 776 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 777 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 778 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| KK-8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | |
| KK-3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 754 | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | ++ | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 761 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 764 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 768 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 770 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 772 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | ++ | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 774 | + | - | + | + | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | _ | |
| NIO 740 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 751 | + | - | + | + | +++ | - | + | ++ | + | +++ | - | - | + | - | - | + | + | 1 |
| NIO 752 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 742 | - | - | - | - | +++ | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | + | - | |
| NIO 750 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | +++ | ++ | - | + | + | + | - | |
| NIO 757 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | ++ | - | - | - | + | - | |
| NIO 758 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| NIO 762 | _ | _ | _ | - | - | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | - | _ | _ | |
| Std | +++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | ++++ | + | +++ | _ | _ | - | _ | - | ++ | ++ | _ | _ | _ | |

Table 3—Primary screening of methanolic extracts of marine organisms against pathogens: bacteria, including multidrug resistant (MDR) and fungi.

- = No activity, + = weak activity (inhibition zone 1-4 mm), ++ = moderate activity (inhibition zone 5-8 mm), +++ = good activity (inhibition zone 9-15 mm), ++++ = highly active (inhibition zone 16-25 mm). TSS- Total no. of sensitive strains. Std = Streptomycin for bacteria/ ketoconazole for fungi

The Present study show that, invertebrates belonging to phylum Coelenterata, were either practically ineffective or exhibited mild activity against all the pathogens tested except for moderate fungicidal activity against *Fusarium* sp. and *Nocardia*

sp. exhibited by the extract of *Sinularia kavarattiensis* (Fig. 1f) and weakly antifungal activity shown by *Sinularia inelegans, Sinularia* sp. (ii) and *Lobophytum* sp. The activity observed in the soft corals of genus *Sinularia* and *Lobophytum* sp. could

be due to soft coral-derived terpenes. Recently, two new antimicrobial norcembrane diterpenoids, from Sinularia inelegans, showed a moderate-to-high Vibrio cholerae, activity against Aspergillus fumigatus, Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus *aureus* have been reported from our laboratory¹⁷. The compounds identified earlier from genus Sinularia and Lobophytum and possessing antimicrobial flexibilide, properties are sinulariolide, episinulariolide, etc especially active against the growth of *Bacillus* sp. and *S. aureus*¹⁸⁻²⁰. Vanisree and Subbaraju², identified antibacterial ceramides in *Lobophytum crassum*. A rare sesquiterpene spathulenol possessing significant antibacterial activity was reported from *Sinularia kavarattiensis*²¹.

Sponges belonging to genus Ircinia, *Ircinia* sp. (i), *Ircinia* sp. (ii) and *Ircinia fusca*, collected from the same location but different marine environment



Fig. 1(a-h)—Marine samples from Mandapam and Kanyakumari coast whose crude extract showed significant antimicrobial activity [1a-*Ectyobatzella enigmatica*; 1b-*Spongia officinalis*; 1c-*Pentaceraster affinis*; 1d-Unidentified Ascidian; 1e-*Sinularia* sp; 1f-*Sinularia kavarattiensis*; 1g-*Ircinia fusca*; 1h-*Halodule* sp; 1i- *Halophila ovalis*]

exhibited different activities. Ircinia sp. (i) was bactericidal against E.coli, Shigella flexneri, Vibrio cholerae and MDR Streptococcus pyogenes while the other two were totally inactive against bacteria. All the three sponge extracts were moderate to weakly antifungal against Fusarium and Nocardia sp. Since Ircinia from different locations exhibit different activity, being either antibacterial or antifungal, it is likely that the observed activity may be due to the associated microorganisms present on the surface of the invertebrate animal. Studies on Ircinia fusca by Thakur et al.²² have shown that epibacterial population of the sponge is influenced by temporal changes in the environment and that sponges seems to have selective control on the bacterial epibiosis on its surface. Ortiz and Rodriguez²³ reported Palominin, a geometrical isomer of ircinic acid possessing antibiotic properties²⁴, from a Caribbean sponge Ircinia species. Pangan et al.²⁵ report antimicrobial activity exhibited by the ethanol extracts of Haliclona sp. and Ircinia sp. from Phillipines against E coli, Bacillus subtilis and Pseudomonas aeuroginosa. The former (Haliclona sp.) being more potent with inhibition zone of 24 mm, 16.5 mm and 21 mm against B. subtilis, E. coli, and P. aeuroginosa respectively than the latter (Ircinia sp.) with growth inhibition zone of 23 mm, 11.5 mm and 15.5 mm respectively against the same pathogens. Similarly, methanol-toluene extract of Ircinia sp. to show moderate activity (2-5 mm) against S. lactis and B. subtilis¹².

Sea grasses Halodule sp. and Halophila ovalis (Fig. 1g & 1h) were antifungal with the former being considerably active against Aspergillus fumigatus (inhibition zone 9-15 mm), followed by Fusarium sp. (5-8 mm), and weakly active against Aspergillus niger, Rhodotorula sp., and Nocardia sp. (1-4 mm). On the other hand, Halophila ovalis showed moderate activity against Fusarium sp. and weak activity against Nocardia sp. Secondary screening showed hexane fraction of Halophila ovalis and ethyl acetate fraction of sea grass Halodule sp. to be responsible for the antifungal activity. Sea grasses are a rich source of secondary metabolites particularly phenolic sulfate, flavone sulfate, nonsulfated phenol, fatty acids and sterols²⁶. It has long being suspected that phenolic compounds produced by marine plants in chemical defense and function possess antimicrobial properties²⁷. It was later confirmed that phenolic compounds from sea grass have significant,

Table 4—Secondary screening of selected samples to determine the fraction [hexane (H), chloroform (C), ethyl acetate (E) and butanol (B)] responsible for activity.

| S. No. | Sample Name | Pathogen | Н | С | Е | В |
|---------|----------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| NIO 743 | Ectyobatzella | Escherichia coli | Х | Х | | Х |
| | enigmatica | Staphylococcus aureus | | | | |
| | | Salmonella typhi (i) | | | | |
| | (Sponge) | Shigella flexineri | | | | |
| | | Vibrio cholerae | | | | |
| | | Streptococcus pyogenes | | | | |
| NIO 771 | Spongia | Escherichia coli | Х | | Х | Х |
| | officinalis | Staphylococcus aureus | Х | | Х | Х |
| | | Salmonella typhi (i) | Х | | Х | Х |
| | (Sponge) | Shigella flexineri | Х | | Х | Х |
| | | Vibrio cholerae | Х | | Х | Х |
| | | Streptococcus pyogenes | Х | | Х | Х |
| | | Acinetobacter sp. | Х | | Х | Х |
| | | Fusarium sp. | Х | | Х | Х |
| | | Nocardia sp. | Х | | Х | Х |
| NIO 751 | Pentaceraster | Escherichia coli | | Х | Х | Х |
| | affinis | Staphylococcus aureus | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | Salmonella typhi (i) | | Х | Х | Х |
| | (Starfish) | Shigella flexineri | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | Vibrio cholerae | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | Streptococcus pyogenes | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | Salmonella typhi (ii) | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | Acinetobacter sp. | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | Cryptococcus | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | neoformans | | | | |
| | | Nocardia sp. | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | Candida albicans | | Х | Х | Х |
| NIO 742 | Unidentified | Shigella flexineri | | Х | Х | Х |
| | Ascidian | Fusarium sp. | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | Rhodotorula sp. | | Х | Х | Х |
| | | Nocardia sp. | | Х | Х | Х |
| NIO 774 | <i>Sinularia</i> sp. | Escherichia coli | | | Х | Х |
| | (iii) | Staphylococcus aureus | | | Х | Х |
| | | Salmonella typhi (i) | V | | Х | Х |
| | (Soft coral) | Shigella flexineri | V | V | Х | Х |
| | | Vibrio cholerae | V | V | Х | Х |
| | | Streptococcus pyogenes | V | V | Х | Х |
| | | Fusarium sp. | V | | Х | Х |
| | | Nocardia sp. | V | V | Х | Х |
| NIO 754 | Sinularia | Salmonella typhi (i) | V | V | Х | Х |
| | kavarattiensis | Vibrio cholerae | V | V | Х | Х |
| | | Streptococcus pyogenes | V | V | Х | Х |
| | (Soft coral) | <i>Fusarium</i> sp. | V | V | Х | Х |
| | | Nocardia sp. | | V | Х | Х |
| NIO 749 | Ircinia fusca | Fusarium sp. | Х | V | Х | Х |
| | (Sponge) | Nocardia sp. | Х | | X | Х |
| NIO 750 | Halodule sp. | Aspergillus fumigatus | Х | Х | V | Х |
| | | <i>Fusarium</i> sp. | Х | Х | V | Х |
| | (Seagrass) | Aspergillus niger | Х | Х | V | Х |
| | | Rhodotorula sp. | Х | Х | V | Х |
| | | Nocardia sp. | X | Х | | Х |
| NIO 757 | Halophila | Fusarium sp. | V | Х | Х | Х |
| | ovalis | Nocardia sp. | | Х | Х | Х |
| | (Seagrass) | | | | | |

ecologically relevant microbiological activity and further report that this activity can be accounted for the presence of a sulfated flavone glycoside, simple phenolic acids similar to those implicated as the primary agents of chemical defense in land plants²⁸. Both the sea grasses in the present study, *Halodule* sp. and *Halophila ovalis* showed selective activity only against fungal pathogens.

Conclusion

Present study indicated that marine organisms remain an interesting source for new antimicrobial metabolites and also suggest that Ectyobatzella enigmatica could be a source of the secondary metabolite with a strong antibacterial activity. Hitherto this sponge has not been investigated either for its chemistry or for its activity. Further, E. enigmatica was followed in activity by Spongia officinalis, Pentaceraster affinis (star fish), an unidentified ascidian and soft coral, Sinularia sp (iii), and S. kavarattiensis. The sea grasses were particularly antifungal in nature. The problem of drug resistance by many microbes may now be dealt with by considering marine organisms for antibiotic activity. The small quantities of the extract did not permit further studies using the active samples.

Acknowledgement

Authors wish to thank Dr. S.R. Shetye, Director NIO, for constant encouragement, "Ministry of Earth Sciences" (MoES), for financial assistance, to the Dean Goa Medical College for providing the clinical pathogens, Dr P.A. Thomas, Retd Principal Scientist, CMFRI, for identifying sponges and soft corals and Ms. Neelam Pereira for identifying marine plants. One of the authors (S.W.) wish to thank CSIR for the award of Emeritus Scientist. This manuscript has NIO contribution no 4992.

References

- Choi, D. H., Shin, S. & Park, I. K., Characterization of antimicrobial agent extracted from *Asterina pectinifera*. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 11 (1999) 65-68.
- 2 Vanisree, M. & Subbaraju, G. V., Alcyonacean Metabolites VIII: Antibacterial metabolites from *Lobophytum crassum* of the Indian Ocean, *Asian J Chem*, 14 (2002) 957.
- 3 Venkateshwar, T., Goud, N., Reddy, S., Krishnaiah, P. & Venkateswarlu, Y., Spathulenol: a rare sesquiterpene from soft coral *Sinularia kavarattiensis, Biochem. System Ecol.* 30 (2002) 493.
- 4 Ramasamy, S. M. & Devadason, A., Antifouling property and possible chemical defense strategies in the starfish *Pentaceraster affinis* from Tuticorin, Southeast coast of India *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Marine Diversity as*

a source of Food and Medicine. Tamil Nadu, India SDMRI Research Publication 3 (2003).

- 5 Rodrigues, E., Tilvi, S. & Naik, C. G., Antimicrobial activity of marine organisms collected off the coast of South East India, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 309 (2004)121.
- 6 Tilvi, S., Rodrigues, C., Naik, C. G., Parameswaran, P. S. & Wahidulla, S., New bromotyrosine alkaloid from the marine sponge *Psammaplysilla purpurea*, *Tetrahedron*, 60 (2004) 1025.
- 7 Puglisi, M. P., Engel, S., Jensen, P. R. & Fenical, W., Antimicrobial acivities of extracts from Indo-Pacific marine plants against marine pathogens and saprophytes, *Mar. Biol.* 150 (2007) 531.
- 8 Proksch, P., Ebel, R., Edrada, R. A., Schupp, P., Lin, W. H., Wray, S. V. & Steube, K., Detection of pharmacologically active natural products using ecology. Selected examples from Indopacific marine invertebrates and sponge-derived fungi, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 75 (2003) 343.
- 9 Fontana, A., Cavaliere, P., Wahidulla, S., Naik, C. G. & Cimino, G., A new antitumor isoquinoline alkaloid from the marine nudibranch *Jorunna funebris. Tetrahedron*, 6 (2005) 7305.
- 10 Tilvi, S. & Naik, C. G., Tandem mass spectrometry of kahalalides: identification of two new cyclic depsipeptides, kahalalide R and S from *Elysia grandifolia*. J. Mass Spectrom. 42 (2007) 70-80.
- 11 Prabha Devi, Solimabi, W., D'Souza, L., Sonak, S., Kamat, S.Y. & Singbal, S.Y.S., Screening of some marine plants for activity against marine fouling bacteria, *Bot. Mar.* 40 (1997) 87-91.
- 12 McCaffrey, E.J. & Enden, R., Antimicrobial activity of tropical and subtropical sponges, *Mar. Biol.*, 89 (1985) 1.
- 13 Becerro, M. A., Lopez, N. I., Turon, X. & Uriz, M. J., Antimicrobial activity and surface bacterial film in marine sponges. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 179 (1994) 195.
- 14 Gonzalez, A. G., Darias, V. & Esteves, E., Contribution to the biological study of *Spongia officinalis* II, *Farmaco*, 37 (1982) 179.
- 15 Gonzalez, A. G., Estrada, D. M., Martin, J. D., Martin, S. W., Perez, C. & Perez, R., New antimicrobial diterpenes from the sponge *Spongia officinalis*, *Tetrahedron*, (1984) 4109.
- 16 Rinehart, K.L., Shaw, Jr P.D., Shield, L.S., Gloer, J.B., Harbour, G.C., Koku, M.E.S., Samian, D., Schwatz, R.E., Tymiak, A.A., Weller, D.L., Carter, G.T., Swyneberg, M.H.G., String, D.A., Vavva, J.J., Coats, J.H., Zurenko, G.E., Kuentzel, S.L., Li, L.H., Bakus, G.J., Brusca, R.C., Craft, L.L., Young, D.N. & Conner, J.C., Marine natural products as a source of antiviral, antimicrobial and antineoplastic agents, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 53 (1981) 93.
- 17 Singh, K. S., Kaminsky, W. H., Rodrigues, C. & Naik, C. G. Structural studies and antimicrobial properties of norcembrane diterpenoid from an Indian soft coral *Sinularia inelegans* Tixier–Durivault. J. Chem. Sci. 121 (2009) 1041-1046.
- 18 Shaw, P.D., McClure, W.O., Van, G.V., Blaricom, J.S., Fenical, W. & Rude, J., Antimicrobial activities from marine organisms, In: Webber, H.H. & Ruggieri, G.D. (eds) Food and drugs from the sea Proceedings, Washington: *Mar. Technol. Soc.*, (1976) 429.
- 19 Biard. J.F., Guyot, S., Roassaki, G. & Verbist, J.F., Lepadiformine, a new marine cytotoxic alkaloid from *Clavelina Lepadiformis, Tetrahedron lett.* 35 (1994) 2691.

- 20 Tursch, B., Brackman, J.C., Daloze, D. & Kaisin, M., Triterpenoids from Coelenterates. In, Marine natural products: Chemical and biological perspectives, edited by P.J. Scheuer, Academic Press, New York, 2(1978) 247.
- 21 Manes, L.V., Crews, P., Ksebati, M. B. & Schmitz, F., J. Nat. Prod., 49 (1986) 787.
- 22 Thakur, N. L., Anil, A. C. & Muller, E.G.W., Culturable epibacteria of the marine sponge *Ircinia fusca*: temporal variations and their possible role in the epibacterial defense of the host, *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.*, 37 (2004) 295.
- 23 Ortiz-Garcia, M. & Rodriguez, A. D., Palominin, a novel furanosesterterpene from a Caribbean sponge *Iricinia sp. Tetrahedron*, 46 (1990) 1119.
- 24 Zanker, H., Chemische und ökologische Studien an Nordseeschwämmen. Dissertation zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades der Naturwissenschaften, 2004.

- 25 Pangan, A.C.G. & Odarit, U.M.J., Antimicrobial properties of some marine sponges (Porifera) from Mactan, Cebu Philippines, *Philipp Scenti*, 44 (2007) 35.
- 26 Kongkum, N. & Jongaramruong, J., Secondary metabolites of the sea grasses *Halodule pinifolia*, *Enhalus acoroides* and *Halophila ovalis* from Kung Krabaen Bay, 31st Congress on Science and Technology of Thailand at Suranaree University of Technology (2005).
- 27 Bandaranayake, W.M., Bioactivities, bioactive compounds and chemical constituents of mangrove plants, *Wetlands Ecol and Management*, 10 (2002) 421.
- 28 Jensen, P.R., Jenkins, K.M., Porter, D. & Fenical, W., Evidence that a new antibiotic flavone glycoside chemically defends the sea grass *Thalassia testudinum* against zoosporic fungi, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 64 (1998) 1490.