Yanai Waves in the Western Equatorial Indian Ocean

Abhisek Chatterjee¹, D. Shankar¹, J. P. McCreary Jr.², and

P. N. Vinayachandran³

¹National Institute of Oceanography,

Council of Scientific and Industrial

Research, Dona Paula, Goa.

²Department of Oceanography, and

International Pacific Research Center,

University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu,

Hawaii, U.S.A.

³Centre for Atmospheric and Oceanic

Sciences, Indian Institute of Science,

Bangalore.

- Abstract. Observations and models have shown the presence of intrasea-
- sonal fluctuations in 20–30-day and 10–20-day bands in the equatorial In-
- 4 dian Ocean west of 60°E (WEIO). Their spatial and temporal structures char-
- 5 acterize them as Yanai waves, which we label low-frequency (LFYW) and
- 6 high-frequency (HFYW) Yanai waves, respectively. We explore the dynam-
- 7 ics of these intraseasonal signals, using an ocean general circulation model
- 8 (MOM) and a linear, continuously stratified (LCS) model. Yanai waves are
- forced by the meridional wind τ^y everywhere in the WEIO most strongly dur-
- ing the monsoon seasons. They are forced both directly in the interior ocean
- and by reflection of the interior response from the western boundary; inter-
- 12 ference between the interior and boundary responses results in a complex sur-
- 13 face pattern that propagates eastward and has nodes. Yanai waves are also
- forced by instabilities primarily during June/July in a region offshore from
- the western boundary (52–55°E). At that time, eddies, generated by barotropic
- instability of the Southern Gyre, are advected southward to the equator. There,
- they generate a westward-propagating, across-equatorial flow field, v_{eq} , with
- a wavenumber/frequency spectrum that fits the dispersion relation of a num-
- ber of Yanai waves, and these waves are efficiently excited. Typically, Yanai
- waves associated with several baroclinic modes are excited by both wind and
- eddy forcing, and typically they superpose to create beams that carry en-
- ergy vertically and eastward along ray paths. The same processes generate
- LFYWs and HFYWS, and hence their responses are similar; differences are

- $_{\rm 24}$ $\,$ traceable to the property that HFYWs have longer wavelengths than LFYWs
- ₂₅ for each baroclinic mode.

1. Introduction

Yanai waves are equatorially trapped signals characterized by a meridional-velocity
field that is symmetric about the equator with a single equatorial maximum, and by antisymmetric zonal-velocity and sea-level fields with extrema located one equatorial Rossby
radius from the equator. In the equatorial Indian Ocean (EIO), they are a prominent part
of intraseasonal variability across the basin. Although first detected in the western EIO
(WEIO; box in Figure 1a), recent research has focused on Yanai waves in the central and
eastern regions, a consequence of the availability of direct current measurements there.
In this paper, we further investigate WEIO Yanai waves, focusing on the processes that
generate them.

1.1. Background

One measure of Yanai-wave activity is the part of anomalous sea level that is antisymmetric about the equator (ASLA), as defined in Eq. (1) below. Figure 2 plots a
variance-preserving power spectral map of ASLA from satellite observations across the
WEIO during 2001, a year when intraseasonal variability at period less than 40 days is
significantly strong. The spectra show a strong peak in the 20–30-day band that is localized near $50-55^{\circ}$ E. There is also a weak peak at 10-20 days. Since the temporal resolution
of the altimeter data is 7 days, the biweekly band is poorly resolved and so likely to be
underestimated. In support of this idea, the biweekly band is much stronger relative to
the 20-30 day band in our solution to an ocean general circulation model (OGCM; middle and right panels of Figure 2), and it appears prominently in spectra of the surface,
equatorial, meridional current field (v_{eq}) in the central and eastern EIO [Sengupta et al.,

⁴⁶ 2004; Masumoto et al., 2005]. Hereafter, we label the Yanai waves in these lower- and higher-frequency bands as LFYWs and HFYWs, respectively.

1.1.1. Low-frequency Yanai waves:

- LFYWs were first observed in the Indian Ocean in the early 1980s by equatorial currentmeter moorings deployed in the WEIO from 47–62°E: A 20–30-day signal was prominent
 in v_{eq} at 200 m during the summer monsoon [Luyten and Roemmich, 1982]. Subsequently,
 Yanai waves with a period of ~26 days were shown to exist in sea-surface temperature
 (SST) data by Tsai et al. [1992]. LFYWs have since been revealed in current observations
 from Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCPs) near the surface in the central EIO
 [David et al., 2011] and from 100–250 m at 90°E in the eastern EIO [Masumoto et al.,
 2005].
- The LFYWs exhibit a prominent seasonality in the WEIO. Figure 1c is a longitude-time plot of altimeter ASLAs band-passed at 20–30 days. The signal begins in June, reaches a maximum during August to September between 50–55°E, and essentially is gone by November. We note that these LFYWs are separate from the waves observed farther east during the winter monsoon [David et al., 2011], suggesting that LFYWs west and east of the Maldives (73°E) are dynamically distinct.
- Modeling studies suggest that LFYWs can be generated by both current instabilities and wind stress. Kindle and Thompson [1989] simulated 26-day Yanai waves in a non-linear, reduced-gravity model forced by a monthly wind-stress climatology (see Schott and Mccreary [2001] for a review). They clearly cannot be forced by monthly winds; the authors attributed them to instabilities associated with the Southern Gyre, but did not determine precisely how they were generated. The equatorial τ^y and $(\sigma/\beta) \tau_y^x$ fields

X - 6 ABHISEK CHATTERJEE ET AL.: YANAI WAVES IN THE WESTERN INDIAN OCEAN

also force Yanai waves [Miyama et al., 2006], where τ^y and τ^x are the meridional and zonal components of wind stress, σ is frequency, β is the meridional derivative of the Coriolis parameter, and the subscript y represents the meridional derivative. Figure 1b shows spectra of the two quantities averaged from 45–60°E; they both have a peak in the 20–30-day band, indicating that wind might also force LFYWs in the WEIO. Moore and McCreary [1990] explored this idea using a linear, continuously stratified (LCS) model (see Section 2.2); among other things, they showed that, because the western boundary of the WEIO is slanted, antisymmetric Yanai waves with a 30-day period can be generated when forced by a symmetric τ^x , as well as by τ^y . Kelly et al. [1995] also explored the forcing of 20–30-day Yanai waves by τ^y .

⁷⁹ 1.1.2. High-frequency Yanai waves:

day (biweekly) band [Schott et al., 1994; Reppin et al., 1999; Sengupta et al., 2001, 2004].

Masumoto et al. [2005] also found strong biweekly signals at 90°E, located in the nearsurface ocean above the band of 20–30-day oscillations. Unfortunately, there are no data
from the WEIO that can resolve biweekly variability. As discussed below, our control-run

In the central and eastern EIO, the dominant Yanai-wave signal in v_{eq} lies in the 10–20-

the year (Figures 2).

80

Sengupta et al. [2004] attributed the biweekly Yanai wave in the central EIO to direct

solution using an OGCM suggests that HFYWs are also present in the WEIO throughout

- forcing by τ^y . Using both an OGCM and an LCS model, Miyama et al. [2006] con-
- sidered the generation of HFYWs in detail, among other things considering the relative
- 90 importance of forcing by τ^y and τ_y^x .

1.2. Present research

While model simulations suggest that WEIO Yanai waves can be forced both by instabilities [Kindle and Thompson, 1989] and the wind [Moore and McCreary, 1990; Kelly
et al., 1995], the relative importance of these mechanisms has not been determined; moreover, the processes by which instabilities excite Yanai waves are unclear. Our goal in
this paper is to investigate these issues. Questions that we address include the following. What instability processes are involved in forcing LFYWs in the WEIO? Does wind
stress also force them? What processes generate HFYWs in the WEIO? In particular, do
instabilities, as well as the wind, generate HFYWs there?

To address these questions, we obtain solutions to two types of models: a state-of-theart OGCM, the Modular Ocean Model (MOM) and a dynamically simpler, LCS system.

Each type has its own advantages and limitations. The MOM solutions simulate the
ocean's response most realistically, including instability-driven flows, but it is difficult to
separate wind- and instability-driven signals. The LCS solutions, which are only winddriven, identify the basic properties of the wind-driven response, and help to identify that
response in MOM.

Key results are the following. Yanai waves in the WEIO are forced by both τ^y and eddies, the latter generated by instabilities of the Southern Gyre that advect to the equator from 52–55°E. Typically, Yanai waves associated with several baroclinic modes are excited by both forcings, and they superpose to create beams that carry energy into the deep ocean as well as eastward. Finally, differences between the LFYW and HFYW responses occur primarily because the wavelengths of HFYWs are longer than those of LFYWs for all baroclinic modes.

X - 8 ABHISEK CHATTERJEE ET AL.: YANAI WAVES IN THE WESTERN INDIAN OCEAN

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews both models and the experiments
that are carried out with each of them. Section 3 describes our control runs and compares
them to available observations. Section 4 discusses the dynamics of the LFYWs and
HFYWs in the control runs, among other things isolating their wind- and instabilitydriven flows. Section 5 provides a summary and discussion of results.

2. The ocean models

In this section, we first review our two ocean models (Sections 2.1 and 2.2), and then report the solutions obtained for each of them (Section 2.3).

2.1. Modular Ocean Model

Our OGCM is version MOM4p1 of MOM [Griffies, 2009], configured for the Indian 120 Ocean as in Kurian and Vinayachandran [2007] and Vinayachandran et al. [2007]. MOM 121 has a free surface and uses the hydrostatic approximation. The primitive equations are 122 volume-conserving, employ the Boussinesq approximation, and the equation of state fol-123 lows the nonlinear formulation of Jackett et al. [2006]. Tracer advection uses the multidimensional, piece-wise parabolic method (MDPPM), with a flux limiter based on the 125 MITgcm (http://mitgcm.org). The model uses generalized orthogonal coordinates hori-126 zontally and a quasi-horizontal, rescaled height coordinate vertically [Stacey et al., 1995; 127 Adcroft and Campin, 2004]. In our grid, the horizontal resolution is $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$, and there are 40 vertical levels with 25 levels in the top 200 m and a 5-m resolution in the top 60 m. 130

The model domain extends over the Indian Ocean from 30–120°E and 30°S–30°N (Figure 1). Bottom topography is based on a modified ETOPO2 bathymetry [Sindhu et al.,

2007], with the minimum ocean depth set to 15 m and isolated land points (i. e., single cells) removed. The Palk Strait between India and Sri Lanka is closed, and the outflow regions of the Red Sea and Persian Gulf are broadened and deepened to ensure smooth flow between these narrow channels and the Arabian Sea (Figure 1a). At land boundaries, a no-flux condition for tracers and no-slip and no-normal-flow conditions for velocity are imposed. Sponge layers of width 3.5° are applied to the open southern and eastern boundaries, where tracer fields are relaxed to climatological values [Locarnini et al., 2010; Antonov et al., 2010] with a time scale of 30 days; no restoration is applied to the tracer fields elsewhere.

The K-profile parametrization (KPP) scheme [Large et al., 1994] is used for vertical viscosity and diffusivity with a pre-specified vertical-diffusivity profile [Bryan and Lewis, 1979] and a critical bulk Richardson number of 0.3. Local mixing due to double diffusion is not included to avoid enhanced mixing within the stratified water column. Horizontal friction is a combination of biharmonic and Laplacian mixing, with Smagorinsky mixing coefficients of 0.01 (velocity scale 0.04 m s⁻¹) and 0.1 (velocity scale 0.005 m s⁻¹), respectively [Griffies and Hallberg, 2000]. Penetrative shortwave heating in the upper 100 m is calculated using a Chl-a-based scheme [Morel and Antoine, 1994].

Sources for the model forcing are listed in Table 1. River discharge is specified in the top two layers of the vertical grid. Wind forcing is from the QuikSCAT data set for 2000–2008. For future reference, Figure 3 presents longitude-time plots of τ^y band-passed from 20–30 days (left) and 10–20 days (right). Both bands are similar in that they have strong variability during the summer monsoon. They differ in that the higher-frequency

band is present more uniformly throughout the year, with strong variability also during
the winter monsoon as well as in the eastern WEIO during the intermonsoons.

2.2. LCS Model

The LCS model is described in detail elsewhere [McCreary, 1980; McCreary et al., 1996; 157 Miyama et al., 2006; Shankar et al., 1996, 2010; Aparna et al., 2012]. Its equations of 158 motion are linearized about a state of rest with a background Brünt-Vaïsäla frequency [Moore and McCreary, 1990], and the ocean bottom is assumed to be flat. With these 160 restrictions, solutions can be represented as expansions in the vertical modes (barotropic 161 and baroclinic) of the system. Solutions are found for a realistic basin geometry, in 162 which the 200-m isobath of the MOM topography forms the coastline, and the horizontal 163 resolution is the same as that used for MOM. The ocean bottom is at 4000 m and 25 vertical modes are summed to obtain the sea-level and velocity fields. Following Moore 165 and McCreary [1990], wind stress is introduced as a body force that is constant from the 166 surface to 50 m, ramps linearly to zero from 50–100 m, and is zero at greater depths. 167 Vertical viscosity and diffusion are included with the coefficients, $\nu = \kappa = A/N_b^2$, where $A = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}^3$. This choice for A ensures that vertical mixing impacts only highorder baroclinic modes, and so does not have a strong impact on our solutions. For 170 example, the resulting damping time scale for the nth baroclinic mode is c_n^2/A , where c_n 171 is the characteristic speed; using the values of c_n Table 3, c_n^2/A varies from 6215 days to 172 79 days as n increases from 1 to 10.

2.3. Numerical experiments

Solutions to both models are initialized from a state of rest, with temperature and 174 salinity fields in MOM set using the climatology of Chatterjee et al. [2012]. A background 175 solution is then spun up for seven years using climatological forcing data for 2000–2008. All runs are then started using the final state of the background run as initial conditions. 177 The MOM and LCS control runs (labeled MOMCR and LCSCR, respectively) are the 178 interannual simulations forced by the full QuikSCAT winds from 2000–2008. To isolate the role of instabilities, we also forced MOM with 40-day low-passed wind stress (Experiment 180 $MOMLP\tau$), the assumption being that any high-frequency signals in this experiment must result from a nonlinear process [Kindle and Thompson, 1989]. In Sections 3 and 4, we 182 show these solutions during 2001.

We also carried out additional test experiments to isolate key processes. Most of the 184 tests are defined and discussed in Section 4, and all of them are listed in Table 2. Here, 185 we note four test experiments that are not discussed later. Specifically, to identify the 186 individual effects of forcing by intraseasonal meridional and zonal winds, we forced both 187 models by wind fields that separately low-pass τ^x or τ^y , and so retain intraseasonal forcing only for τ^y (Solutions $MOM\tau^y$ and $LCS\tau^y$) or τ^x (Solutions $MOM\tau^x$ and $LCS\tau^x$), 189 respectively. We find that in the WEIO, Solutions $MOM\tau^y$ and $LCS\tau^y$ are almost the same as in their respective control runs, whereas the responses of $MOM\tau^x$ and $LCS\tau^x$ are 191 weak there. We conclude that forcing by τ^y dominates that by $(\sigma/\beta) \tau_y^x$ in the WEIO. 192 In fact, $(\sigma/\beta)\tau_y^x$ is significant only in the eastern part of the WEIO, where its magnitude 193 reaches a third of that of τ^y .

3. The MOM control run

201

Here, we describe basic properties of our MOM control run, MOMCR, and compare it with available observations. A detailed validation of a similar MOM solution was done by Kurian and Vinayachandran [2007]. We therefore restrict our validation to Yanai waves and other antisymmetric equatorial signals in the WEIO. We note that the LCSCR also has a realistic Yanai-wave response, but without a contribution from instability forcing (Section 4).

One measure of antisymmetric equatorial signals is

$$ASLA = \frac{1}{2} (SLA_n - SLA_s), \qquad (1)$$

where SLA_n and SLA_s are SLAs at $\pm 3^{\circ}$, roughly an equatorial Rossby radius north and south of the equator [Tsai et al., 1992]. Another is the meridional current at the equator, 204 $v_{eq} \equiv v(x,0,t)$. Both measures are consistent with Yanai-wave properties, but neither rules out the possibility of detecting other types of antisymmetric signals (other free waves, eddies, and a directly wind-forced response). At the intraseasonal frequencies of 207 interest to us, the only possible, antisymmetric, equatorially trapped wave is the Yanai wave, so detection of other waves is not a concern. Both eddies and the directly-forced 209 response, however, are as large as the Yanai waves they excite. Thus, since the group 210 velocity of Yanai waves is eastward, both measures detect pure Yanai waves only east of 211 any forcing region. 212

Figure 2 plots a variance-preserving spectral map of ASLA across the WEIO from MOMCR (middle panel). Consistent with the observed spectral map (Figure 2, left panel), the modeled spectra have prominent peaks in the 20–30-day band and a weaker one in the 14–17-day band, the latter corresponding to the biweekly peaks in the central

and eastern EIO [Sengupta et al., 2001; Masumoto et al., 2005]. Figure 2 also plots a 217 spectral map of v_{eq} (right panel). The 20–30-day band shows an energy maximum from 52-55°E, which is due primarily to the cross-equatorial movement of the unstable eddies 219 (see the discussion in Section 4.2). In contrast to the modeled ASLA map (middle panel), the v_{eq} variability in the 10–20-day band extends all the way to the western boundary. 221 Unfortunately, current observations have not been taken in the WEIO since the mea-222 surements reported by Luyten and Roemmich [1982]. The westernmost mooring deployed 223 in the EIO under the RAMA (Research Moored Array for African-Asian-Australian Mon-224 soon Analysis and Prediction) program is at 80.5°E [Iskandar and McPhaden, 2011], and data are available only from November 2004 onwards. A comparison for 2005 shows that 226 the model $v_{\rm eq}$ matches the observations fairly well (Figure 4), the correlation being 0.54 between observed and model raw meridional current and 0.58 between the 40-day highpassed observed and model current. A comparison for other years also shows similar 229 correlations. Correlations between 40-day high-passed observed and modeled ASLAs are 230 not as high, with values of 0.43, 0.33, and 0.16 at 55°E, 80°E and 95°E, respectively. One 231 possible reason for the weaker ASLA correlations is that the 20–30-day band is so weak in the observations. 233 Figure 5 presents longitude-time plots of 20–30-day band-passed ASLA (left panel) and v_{eq} (middle-left panel) from MOMCR during 2001. During the summer monsoon, the 235 MOMCR ASLA response is similar to that of the altimeter (Figure 1c). Later in the year, 236

wind-driven response is too weak there. There is variability in locations and at times with

however, MOMCR is weaker than the observations near the coast, perhaps because its

237

X - 14 ABHISEK CHATTERJEE ET AL.: YANAI WAVES IN THE WESTERN INDIAN OCEAN

little or no forcing (e. g., after the first week of September when τ^y is weak), and hence these signals can only be LFYWs.

A striking aspect of the plots in Figure 5 is the slope of phase lines. They are similar in
the observed and modeled fields. Moreover, they are consistent with those of theoretical
Yanai waves. The Yanai-wave dispersion relation is

$$k_n = -\frac{\beta}{\sigma} + \frac{\sigma}{c_n},\tag{2}$$

where k_n and c_n are the wavenumber and characteristic (Kelvin-wave) speed of the nth baroclinic mode. Table 3 lists values of c_n and $\lambda_n = 2\pi/k_n$ for modes 1–10 and for several values of $P = 2\pi/\sigma$. The wavelength of the signals in Figures 1c and 5 are typically 600–1000 km (Table 3 uses negative values for the wavelength of westward-propagating waves. The sign is dropped in the text because we consider only these westward-propagating waves).

For P=20 days, there are no values of λ_n that lie within this range, whereas for P=25 and 30 days there are several such values for $n \leq 2$ and $n \leq 4$, respectively. These Yanai waves are the ones that are most visible in the responses, but waves with large λ_n are also present (see Section 4).

Figure 6 (left panel) shows a zonal section of v_{eq} from MOMCR band-passed at 20–30 days on 5 September, 2001, a time when the subsurface response is particularly large. The surface-forced Yanai waves associated with several baroclinic modes superpose to carry energy into the deep ocean along ray paths at the slope $dz/dx = -\sigma/N_b$, where $N_b(z)$ is the background Vaisala frequency [e.g., McCreary, 1984; Miyama et al., 2006]. The ray path obtained by integrating dz/dx with $\sigma = 2\pi/(25 \text{ days})$ from a point at the surface and the coast is plotted in the figure, and it closely follows the modeled beam.

Figure 7 and the right panel of Figure 6 show that similar features exist for HFYWs, and that they are prominent over most of the year. During January–February and June– July, they extend to the western boundary, whereas at other times they are confined to the eastern WEIO or are weak. Note that phase lines slope much less (i. e., have longer wavelengths) than they do for LFYWs. This property is consistent with dispersion relation (2): Provided that σ is low enough for k_n to be negative (i. e., to have westward phase velocity), it follows from Eq. (2) that the wavelengths of Yanai waves increase with σ (decrease with P) for all baroclinic modes. A comparison of λ_n values listed in Table 3 for the two period bands illustrates this property.

4. Dynamics

Figures 5 and 7 present longitude-time plots of v_{eq} band-passed at 20–30 days and 271 10–20 days, respectively, for Solutions MOMCR (panels b), MOMLP τ (panels c), and LCSCR (panels d). The three solutions illustrate the total, instability-driven, and wind-273 driven parts of the response, respectively. Solution MOMLP τ (panels c) has a patch 274 of variability from 52–58°E mostly during June-July. This patch is absent in the linear 275 LCSCR solution (panels d) and we conclude that it is due to instabilities associated with 276 the Southern Gyre 4.2). This western-boundary regime off Somalia has been shown earlier to be the one part of the Indian Ocean where even the seasonal cycle of the circulation is 278 significantly affected by nonlinearity during the summer monsoon [Shankar and Shetye, 1997. It is absent in Solution LCSCR (panels d), which has no instabilities. Very roughly, 280 since MOM is nonlinear, panels c and d superpose to give panel b (Solution MOMCR). Note that throughout most of the year and most of the WEIO (with the exception of the 282 longitude-time patch noted above), the features of the MOMCR solution are reproduced reasonably well by the strictly wind-forced LCSCR. In this section, we report test solutions
that examine the wind and instability forcing mechanisms of Yanai waves in the control
runs in detail.

4.1. Wind forcing and boundary effects

To better understand the impacts of wind forcing and boundary effects in solution LCSCR (Figures 5d and 7d), we obtained a suite of test solutions forced by the idealized τ^y field,

$$\tau^y = \tau_o X(x) Y(y) T(t), \tag{3a}$$

291 where

290

$$X(x) = \cos\left(\pi \frac{x'}{L_x}\right) \theta\left(\frac{L_x^2}{4} - x'^2\right), \quad Y(y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \cos\left(\pi \frac{y}{L_y}\right)\right] \theta\left(\frac{L_y^2}{4} - y^2\right), \quad (3b)$$

$$T(t') = \sin(\sigma_w t') \theta \left[\frac{(\Delta t)^2}{4} - t'^2 \right], \tag{3c}$$

 $au_o = 1.5 \text{ dyn/cm}^2$, $P_w \equiv 2\pi/\sigma_w = 25 \text{ days or } 15 \text{ days}$, $t' = t - t_0$, $x' = x - x_o$, $L_x = 70^\circ$,

 $L_y = 5^{\circ}$. Variable θ is a step function, which ensures that τ^y is confined to the region

 $x_o - L_x/2 < x < x_o + L_x/2, -L_y/2 < y < L_y/2, \text{ and is switched on at } t = t_0 - \Delta t/2$

and off at $t = t_0 + \Delta t/2$. Solutions are obtained in a rectangular basin with a western

boundary at x = 20°E. The zonal scale L_x was chosen to mimic the scale of the observed

 $_{800}$ winds (Figure 3).

In the interior ocean, the Fourier transform in space and time of F(x,t) = X(x)T(t),

 $\mathcal{F}(k,\sigma) = \tilde{X}(k)\tilde{T}(\sigma)$, determines which of the Yanai waves in Table 3 can be excited by

the wind: Only those waves with σ and k values that lie within spectral peaks of $\mathcal F$ will be

significantly excited. Because X(x) and T(t) are limited in time and space, $\mathcal F$ has power

in spectral peaks at periods and wavelengths other than P and $2L_x$. As a consequence, a

variety of Yanai waves can appear in the response. (See Kelly et al. [1995] for a discussion of the Yanai waves excited by a switched-on wind.)

At the western boundary, Yanai waves are generated because τ^y forces zonal flow into (or out of) the boundary: The flow is eliminated by the reflection of a chain of short-wavelength Rossby waves that culminates in a Yanai wave [e. g., Moore, 1968; McCreary, 1986]. Because boundary response is forced only by τ^y right at the coast, there is no constraint on the zonal wavenumber of the Yanai waves. As a result, more Yanai waves are present in the boundary response than in the interior response, typically with shorter wavelengths (see below).

4.1.1. Low-frequency Yanai waves:

315

Figure 8 shows longitude-time plots and vertical sections of v_{eq} from three test solutions with $P_w = 25$ days. Solutions LCSIN25 (left panels) and LCSWB25 (right panels) are forced by (Eq 3a) with $\Delta t = 75$ days and $t_0 = 7$ July so that the wind switches on on 1 June and off on 14 August; they differ in that $x_o = 60^{\circ}$ E or 20° E, respectively, so that τ^y is either confined to the interior ocean or centered on the western boundary. Solution LCSDIF25 is the difference between Solution LCSWB25 and Solution LCSIN'25 (Solution LCSIN25 shifted westward by 40°); as such, it provides a measure of the boundary-generated response.

In Solution LCSIN25 (Figure 8, top-left panel), the Yanai waves that have a period close to P=25 days (the primary response) have long wavelengths, which best fit the large zonal scale of the wind; this property is evident in that the response consists of zonally-oriented bands. For P=20, 25, and 30 days, Yanai waves with long wavelengths correspond to the n=4-6, n=7-9, and $n\geq 10$ baroclinic modes, respectively (Table 3).

X - 18 ABHISEK CHATTERJEE ET AL.: YANAI WAVES IN THE WESTERN INDIAN OCEAN

These modes can superpose to form a beam that carries energy eastward and downward along ray paths (Figure 8, bottom-left panel) and, since the beam is composed of longwavelength Yanai waves, phase lines are oriented largely horizontally. Note that the
number of zero crossings in the beam is 6, consistent with the above inferences from the
dispersion relation.

When τ^y switches off on 14 August, the LFYWs propagate from the forcing region along ray paths as a packet of free waves. Because the wave packet is composed of a number of vertical modes, energy still propagates vertically as well as eastward. As a result, the surface response in the forcing region weakens rapidly after 14 August. Interestingly, there is a patch of variability from 90–120°E and from January–March: It is the primary wave packet, which has bounced off the ocean bottom and to return to the surface.

In addition to the primary response, signals with considerably shorter wavelengths and periods other than P=25 days are visible in Solution LCSIN25, a consequence of T(x,t) and X(x) being limited in time and space. In this regard, note that waves with short wavelengths emanate from the edges of the wind region where the slope of the forcing changes rapidly in x. Yanai waves with long wavelengths and a period $\lesssim 20$ days are also visible after 14 August; the sporadic nature of this response indicates that two or more waves with somewhat different periods are present, interfering with each other constructively and destructively.

When there is a western boundary, the total response is a superposition of directly winddriven and boundary-generated responses, as measured by Solutions LCSIN25 (shifted
westward by 40°) and LCSDIF25. In contrast to Solution LCSIN25, Solution LCSDIF25
(which has no long-wavelength constraint) consists of zonally tilted bands with a zonal

wavelength of ≤1000 km (Figure 8, top-middle panel). The boundary-generated Yanai waves also superpose to form a beam. In this case, because low-order baroclinic modes with shorter wavelengths dominate the beam, phase lines are oriented much more vertically (Figure 8, bottom-middle panel). Note that there is also a subsurface region west of the beam where phase lines are nearly horizontal (Region A). It exists only because Solution LCSDIF25 is not a perfect measure of the boundary-generated response: Part of the response in Solution LCSIN'25 is driven by winds west of 20°W (i. e., essentially coming from outside the basin), and Region A results from this erroneous contribution.

As for the interior response (LCSIN25), when τ^y is switched off, the response propagates
eastward and downward as a packet of free Yanai waves. The patch of variability after
October and east of $\sim 60^{\circ}$ E is the return of this wave packet to the ocean surface after it
has reflected from the bottom. In addition, secondary waves, excited because T(t) and X(x) are not perfect sinusoids, are visible as well. They form bands of variability with
shorter periods and longer wavelengths than the primary band, and they appear right of
it (are less tilted vertically) because they have a faster group velocity. Conversely and
less clear, bands with longer periods and shorter wavelengths appear left of the primary
beam.

Solution LCSWB25 (Figure 8, right panels) is a superposition of Solutions LCSIN'25 and LCSDIF25, and its overall structure is similar to those of its two components. A striking aspect of the total response it that it exhibits nodes where its two parts interfere destructively (Figure 8, marked by black arrows), most prominently in the region of the primary forced response (west of 50°E and prior to 15 August).

4.1.2. High-frequency Yanai waves:

374

X - 20 ABHISEK CHATTERJEE ET AL.: YANAI WAVES IN THE WESTERN INDIAN OCEAN

Figure 9 illustrates the response when P = 15 days. It is similar to the response at 375 P = 25 days with some notable differences. In Solution LCSIN15 (left panels), the primary (period of 15 days) response is now dominated by the n=3 baroclinic mode, since it has 377 the longest wavelength (Table 3). There are also secondary Yanai waves, which are more strongly excited than in Solution LCSIN25 because they have longer wavelengths that fit 379 better with the wind. They also have a slightly smaller period, thereby generating an 380 interference pattern with the primary response. The primary waves also form a beam dominated by the n=3 baroclinic mode (bottom-left panel). It descends into the deep 382 ocean at a slope that is steeper than that for 25-day waves by the factor 25/15 = 1.7; the region of intensified flow during September and October is caused by the return of the 384 primary beam to the ocean surface.

Similar differences can be seen between Solutions LCSWB15 and LCSDIBF25 and their counterparts at P=25 days. For example, one difference is that the nodes in Solution LCSWB15 are separated by a greater distance than in Solution LCSWB25, a consequence of 15-day Yanai waves having longer wavelengths than 25-day waves. Another is that there is no tilt of phase lines across the 15-day beam, a result of so few vertical modes contributing to it.

4.1.3. Comparison to LCSCR and MOMCR:

Aspects of Solutions LCSWB25 and LCSWB15 can be identified in Solutions LCSCR and MOMCR, including the existence of offshore nodes (compare the top-right panels of Figures 8 and 9 with the right and middle-left panels of Figures 5 and 7). Differences between the idealized and realistically-forced solutions result from differences in the wind forcings. For LCSCR and MOMCR band-passed at 20–30 days, a single forced

days (compare the bottom-right panels of Figures 8 and 9 with Figure 6).

4.2. Eddy forcing

At the onset of the summer monsoon, the Somali Current begins to flow northward across the equator. Potential-vorticity conservation prevents it from continuing to flow along the coast, and so it bends offshore somewhat north of the equator to form the Southern Gyre (e. g., Schott and McCreary, 2001). In MOMCR, the swift currents of the Southern Gyre become unstable and generate eddies. These eddies, in turn, are advected southward to the equator between 50–55°E, where they excite Yanai waves.

4.2.1. Eddy generation

To identify important nonlinear processes, we analyze the eddy-kinetic-energy (EKE) budget. Following Masina et al. [1999], we divide the flow field into mean and perturbation (eddy) parts, $p = \bar{p} + p'$, $\mathbf{V} = \bar{\mathbf{V}} + \mathbf{V}'$ and $w = \bar{w} + w'$, where $\mathbf{V} = (u, v)$ is the total horizontal-velocity vector, $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$ and \mathbf{V}' are defined similarly, w is the total vertical velocity, and \bar{p} , $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$ and \bar{w} are the means of their respective fields. To estimate the mean fields, we have used a 31-day running-mean Parzen window. With this definition, an "eddy" is simply the deviation from the mean field. We note that the mean field itself evolves over the averaging period (Figure 10, right panels), but these changes in the mean field are much slower than the changes in the deviations from the mean. The mean current

associated with the Southern Gyre, for example, shows that the gyre retains its identity
through June–August even as it moves northward. This slow change in the mean field
permits the use of a 31-day window; with this choice, the filter is short enough to allow
for the slowly changing mean flow and long enough not to disturb our signal of interest
(periods less than 30 days). The basic processes demonstrated here are, however, robust
as they remain unchanged even if we use monthly means, as done by Masina et al. [1999],
instead of the running mean (computed using the Parzen window) to define the mean
fields.

It follows that

$$K_{et} + \underbrace{\bar{\boldsymbol{V}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} K_{e} + \bar{w} K_{ez}}_{A} + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{V}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} K_{e} + w' K_{ez}}_{B} = \underbrace{-\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{V}' p') - (w' p')_{z} - g \rho' w'}_{C} + \underbrace{\rho_{0} \left[-\boldsymbol{V}' \cdot (\boldsymbol{V}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \bar{\boldsymbol{V}}) - \boldsymbol{V}' \cdot (w' \bar{\boldsymbol{V}}_{z}) \right]}_{D} + \underbrace{\left[A_{MH} (\boldsymbol{V}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \boldsymbol{V}') + \boldsymbol{V}' \cdot (A_{MV} \boldsymbol{V}'_{z})_{z} \right]}_{F},$$

$$(4)$$

where K_e is EKE, ρ_0 is a mean density, A_{MH} and A_{MV} are horizontal and vertical viscosity coefficients, subscripts t and z denote partial differentiation with respect to time and the 431 vertical coordinate, and ∇ is the horizontal gradient operator. Terms A and B represent 432 the horizontal and vertical advection of EKE by mean and perturbation flows. C is the pressure work due to eddies (with the aid of the continuity and hydrostatic equations, it 434 can be rewritten $-V' \cdot \nabla p'$). The first two terms of C sum to a total divergence, and 435 so do not provide a net source of EKE. The last term of C represents the conversion of 436 available eddy potential energy into EKE, a measure of baroclinic instability. D is the 437 horizontal and vertical deformation work due to the mean velocity fields. The first term of D, $S_{\rm bt} = -\rho_0 \boldsymbol{V}' \cdot (\boldsymbol{V}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \bar{\boldsymbol{V}})$, represents energy conversion of mean kinetic energy (MKE) to EKE by horizontal deformation work, that is, via barotropic instability. The second

term of D describes the conversion of MKE to EKE by vertical deformation work, that is, by Kelvin-Helmholtz instability. E is the horizontal and vertical deformation work due to the eddy velocity fields, and term F is the dissipation of EKE due to viscosity (For more 443 details, see Masina et al. [1999]). We note that horizontal advection due to mean flow and barotropic energy converstion are two most dominant term in this energy budget. 445 Figure 10 illustrates snapshots of the dominant terms in MOMCR on 15 June, 15 446 July, and 15 August. The EKE field (left panels) is highly asymmetric with respect to the equator, with high values north of the equator in the neighborhood of the Southern 448 Gyre. By far, the largest energy conversion term is $S_{\rm bt}$ (middle-left panels); its values are positive almost everywhere, indicating the generation of EKE. The eddy advection terms 450 $S_{\rm adv}$ (middle-right panels) follow the mean path of the Southern Gyre (last column). In July, S_{adv} has negative values that extend across the equator, suggesting that EKE is 452 being advected either southward or westward. In August, however, the Southern Gyre 453 has shifted northward somewhat, and the negative patch no longer crosses the equator. 454 This advection of the eddies by the Southern Gyre is shown more clearly in Figure 11, 455

4.2.2. Excitation of Yanai waves:

Yanai waves are generated when the eddies advect to the equator during June and July (Figure 11). When they do so, they generate a v_{eq} field that has periods and wavelengths (Figure 12) similar to those of Yanai waves associated with several low-order baroclinic modes, and they are the waves that are efficiently excited by the eddy forcing. The reason why the advection of eddies to the equator excites Yanai waves is not clear: A likely cause is that the quasi-geostrophic eddies become dynamically unbalanced when

which shows $S_{\rm bt}$ following the trajectory of the mean current associated with the gyre.

X - 24 ABHISEK CHATTERJEE ET AL.: YANAI WAVES IN THE WESTERN INDIAN OCEAN

they are advected onto the equator, and radiate waves to try to regain balance. (An analogous eddy-generation process is known to occur in the eastern, equatorial Pacific Ocean, where current instabilities generate tropical instability waves, TIWs, with a period and wavelength similar to several Yanai waves. Similar to our case, the TIWs excite Yanai waves that also superpose to form a beam that carries energy downward. See, for example, Cox [1980] and McCreary [1984]).

To explore the properties of eddy-driven LFYWs, we obtained an analog test solution to the LCS model forced by a τ^y field with spatial and temporal scales similar to that of the eddy forcing in MOM (Solutions LCSED25 and LCSED15). Specifically, τ^y has the form (3a) with the same X(x) and Y(y), except that $L_x = 10^\circ$ and $x_o = 40^\circ$, and with T(t) replaced by

$$T(x,t) = \sin\left(\pi \frac{t'}{\Delta t}\right) \sin\left(k_w x' - \sigma_w t'\right) \theta \left[\frac{\left(\Delta t\right)^2}{4} - t'^2\right],\tag{5}$$

where $t'=t-t_0$, $t_0=1$ June, $\Delta t=75$ days, $P_w\equiv 2\pi/\sigma_w=25$ or 15 days, and $\lambda_w\equiv 2\pi/k_w=-6.7^\circ$. Thus, τ^y is located in a zonally narrow region only 10° wide, lasts for 75 days from 1 June to 14 August, and propagates westward across the region with a period P_w and wavelength λ_w . As for the previous tests, because X(x)T(x,t) is limited in x and t, its Fourier transform has power for wavenumbers and frequencies other than k_w and σ_w , so that Yanai waves with periods and wavelengths other than P_w and λ_w appear in the response.

Figure 13 (left panels) shows longitude-time plots of surface v_{eq} (top) and vertical sections of v_{eq} on 15 December (bottom) for Solution LCSED25. In this case, the response separates into two distinct patterns, suggesting the predominance of two signal types: a primary response with a period close to $P_w = 25$ days, a wavelength of ~ 1000 km, and

475

faster group velocity (less vertically tilted band), and a secondary one with a wavelength near $\lambda_w = 6.7^{\circ}$, a period of ~45 days, and slower group velocity (more vertically tilted band). The division into two clear signals results from the nature of the forcing, which has its strongest spectral peaks near P_w and λ_w . The primary response consists mostly of n = 1 and 2 baroclinic modes. Because only two modes dominate the response, there is not a well-formed beam (Figure 13, bottom); rather, the response appears as the superposition of the two modes. The secondary response is composed of a number of baroclinic modes and forms a beam with slanted phase lines.

The structure of Solution LCSED15 is similar to that of Solution LCSED25, with the presence of two distinct patterns. In this case, the primary response (period close to $P_w = 15$ days, and wavelength of ~ 2000 km) is mostly the n = 1 mode. The secondary response (wavelength close to $\lambda_w = 6.7^{\circ}$) is very weak, because such small wavelengths occur only for periods $\gtrsim 25$ days, and the forcing has very little power at those periods when $P_w = 15$ days.

Both Solutions LCSED25 and LCSED15 appear to extend much farther than the eddydriven v_{eq} field in Solution MOMLP τ . In fact, that extension is an illusion in the plots, a
result of the contour intervals being chosen to emphasize the remote fields. For example,
within the forcing region v_{eq} in Solutions LCSED25 and LCSED15 has peak values of 190
cm/s and 70 cm/s, respectively. If the solutions are scaled so that their peak values are
25 cm/s, as for v_{eq} in Solution MOMLP τ (middle panels of Figures 5 and 7), then the
response outside the forcing region is weak.

5. Summary and discussion

Observations and models have shown the presence of Yanai waves in the WEIO in the 20–30-day (LFYWs) and 10–20-day (HFYWs) bands (Figures 1 and 2). We explore the dynamics of these intraseasonal signals using an ocean general circulation model (MOM) and a linear, continuously stratified (LCS) model.

The MOM control run (Solution MOMCR) is able to simulate the observed WEIO 512 ASLA field in the 20–30-day band reasonably well (compare Figure 1c with the left panel 513 of Figure 5). It also simulates well the observed v_{eq} field in the central EIO (Figure 4). 514 The WEIO response in the two period bands is driven by both winds and instabilities. 515 The middle-right panels of Figures 5 and 7 show the MOM solution forced by 40-day 516 low-passed winds (Solution MOMLP τ), so that its response in both period bands is nec-517 essarily instability-driven. In contrast, the right panels show the response of the LCS 518 model (Solution LCSCR), which is completely wind-driven since the LCS model allows 519 no instabilities. Roughly (since MOM is nonlinear), Solutions MOMLP τ and LCSCR sum 520 to give Solution MOMCR. 521

Wind-forced Yanai waves are driven almost entirely by equatorial τ^y in the WEIO, as
the other wind forcing, equatorial $(\sigma/\beta) \tau_y^x$, is weak there (Figure 1b; discussion at the end
of Section 2). To investigate wind-driven dynamics, we obtain a suite of test solutions
forced by idealized τ^y fields of the form, $\tau^y = \tau_o X(x)T(t)$, defined in (Eq 3a). In the
interior ocean, the Fourier transform of X(x)T(t), $\mathcal{F}(k,\sigma)$, determines the Yanai waves
that can be excited by τ^y : They are the waves for which the Yanai-wave dispersion curve
(2) intersects the spectral peaks of \mathcal{F} . Because the wind forcing has a period P_w and a large
zonal scale (Figure 3), Yanai waves with periods close to P_w and large wavelengths (the

primary response) dominate the interior response (left and middle-right panels of Figures 5 and 7); in addition, there are "secondary" waves with different periods and wavelengths visible in the plots. At the western boundary, Yanai waves are generated because τ^y forces flows into (or out of) the boundary. Boundary-generated Yanai waves have no wavelength constraint, and hence shorter wavelengths can be excited (Figure 5 and 7; right panels). The interior and boundary responses superpose to produce a complicated pattern with nodes where they interfere destructively (Figure 5 and 7; middle-left panels).

Yanai waves are also forced by instabilities, principally during June/July in a region 537 offshore from the western boundary (52–55°E). At that time, eddies (defined here as the deviation from the mean field), caused by barotropic instability of the Southern Gyre, 539 advect southward to the equator along the eastern flank of the gyre (Figures 10 and 11). At such times, they generate a westward-propagating, across-equatorial flow field, v_{eq} , that propagates westward with a wavelength set by the width scale of the eddies (Figure 12). 542 To investigate the Yanai waves excited by v_{eq} , we force the LCS model with a τ^y field 543 that has a structure similar to v_{eq} : one that propagates westward with wavelength of 544 $\lambda_w = 6.7^{\circ}$, has period of $P_w = 25$ or 15 days, and is confined to a narrow region only 10° wide (see the discussion of Eq. 5). In this case, τ^y excites predominately two packets 546 of Yanai waves: one with wavelengths close to λ_w , and another with periods close to P_w (Figure 13). Furthermore, the Yanai-wave response is weak because there are no waves 548 that fit well with both λ_w and P_w , and as a result the locally-forced response is dominant. 549 LFYWs and HFYWs are generated by the same processes, and hence have similar 550 structures. Differences in the responses for each period band are due primarily to HFYWs 551 having longer wavelengths for each baroclinic mode than LFYWs do (Table 3). One result of this difference is that the boundary response in Solution LCSDIF15 is broader than in Solution LCSDIF25, so that the nodes in Solution LCSWB15 are shifted farther offshore than in Solution LCSWB25 (compare middle and right panels of Figures 8 and 9). Another is that the secondary waves in Solution LCSIN15 are longer and more strongly excited than in Solution LCSIN25 (left panels of Figures 8 and 9).

For both LFYWs and HFYWs, waves associated with several baroclinic modes can superpose to create beams that carry energy along ray paths both downward as well as eastward (Figures 6, 8, 9, and 13). When the forcing shuts off, the response propagates as a packet of free Yanai waves. Wherever waves associated with different baroclinic modes continue to overlap, the packet continues to propagate vertically, and can reflect off the bottom to return to the surface. Eventually, though, since the waves have different group speeds, they will tend to disperse, showing up as individual modes.

There are noteworthy differences between our study and that of Kindle and Thompson 565 [1989]. For one thing, all the variability in their model developed from the instability associated with the Southern Gyre, whereas in our model a significant amount of it is 567 wind-driven. For another, they attributed the eddy forcing of Yanai waves by the Southern Gyre to its northward movement: When the westward current on the southern flank of 569 Southern Gyre in their model crosses the equator, an intense anticyclonic circulation forms to its southeast; they associated this disturbance with the generation of a Yanai 571 waves, a process that continued until the Southern Gyre completely crossed the equator. 572 In contrast, we associate the generation of the Yanai waves with v_{eq} , which is generated 573 when the eddies north of the equator are advected southward across the equator by the mean flow associated with the Southern Gyre; in this case, the generation of Yanai waves stops once the eddies cease to cross the equator. Finally, the longitude-time plots of Kindle and Thompson [1989, see their Plate 1] show that, although the Yanai waves weaken east of the Maldives, they exist almost all the way to the eastern boundary of the basin. This difference is due to their using a $1\frac{1}{2}$ -layer model, which consists of only a single baroclinic mode; therefore, their model does not permit the existence of beams that can carry energy into the deep ocean.

In conclusion, we have looked in detail into the processes that excite Yanai waves in 582 the WEIO, finding that they are generated by both wind and eddy forcing. All our 583 results, however, are for 2001 when the Yanai-wave signals are strongest. Furthermore, there is considerable interannual variability in the strength and timing of the monsoons, 585 and this variability can be seen in the oceanic response as well [Meyers and O'Brien, 1994. Nevertheless, monsoon winds blow across the Arabian Sea every year, and they 587 typically generate a Southern Gyre during the summer monsoon[see, for example, Schott 588 and Mccreary, 2001. We expect therefore that the processes identified in this paper are 589 active every year, albeit with different strengths and timings. 590

Acknowledgments.

The authors thank Arnab Mukherjee for help in setting up the LCS simulations and INCOIS for providing access to their parallel computer, on which all the MOM4p1 simulations were carried out. The parallel computer in CSIR-NIO was used for the initial testing of MOM4p1 and for the LCS model simulations. This work was supported by CSIR through its funding of CSIR-NIO's SIP; additional funding was obtained from INCOIS (Ministry of Earth Sciences) under their INDOMOD programme. Julian McCreary acknowledges support from CSIR-NIO under its Adjunct Scientist program. Ferret was

- X 30 ABHISEK CHATTERJEE ET AL.: YANAI WAVES IN THE WESTERN INDIAN OCEAN
- extensively used for analysis and graphics. This paper has benefitted considerably from
- the critical comments of three anonymous reviewers. This is NIO contribution 0000.

References

- A. Adcroft and J.M. Campin. Rescaled height coordinates for accurate representation of
- free-surface flows in ocean circulation models. Ocean Modelling, 7:269–284, 2004.
- J. I. Antonov, D. Seidov, T. P. Boyer, R. A. Locarnini, A. V. Mishonov, and H. E. Garcia.
- World Ocean Atlas 2009, Volume 2: Salinity. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 69, NOAA, U. S.
- Gov. Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 2010.
- S. G. Aparna, J. P. McCreary, D. Shankar, and P. N. Vinayachandran. Signatures of
- Indian Ocean Dipole / Zonal Mode and El Niño Southern Oscillation events in sea-
- level variations in the Bay of Bengal. J. Geophy. Res., 117:(C10012), 2012. doi:
- 10.1029/2012JC008055.
- 610 K. Bryan and L.J. Lewis. A water mass model of the world ocean. J. Geophy. Res., 84:
- 2503–2517, 1979.
- A. Chatterjee, D. Shankar, S. S. C. Shenoi, G. V. Reddy, G. S. Michael, M. Ravichandran,
- V. V. Gopalkrishna, E. P. R. Rao, T. V. S. U. Bhaskar, and V. N. Sanjeevan. A new
- atlas of temperature and salinity for the North Indian Ocean. J. Earth Sust. Sci., 121
- (3):559–593, 2012.
- $_{616}$ M. D. Cox. Generation and decay of 30-day waves in a numerical model of the Pacific. J.
- Phys. Oceanogr., 10:1168–1186, 1980.
- 618 D. T. David, S. P. Kumar, P. Byju, M.S.S. Sarma, A. Suryanarayana, and V.S.N. Murty.
- Observational evidence of lower-frequency yanai waves in the central equatorial Indian

- Ocean. J. Geophy. Res., 116(C06009), 2011. doi: 10.1029/2010JC006603.
- S. M. Griffies. Elements of MOM4p1. GFDL Ocean Group Tech. Rep. 6, NOAA/Geophys.
- Fluid Dyn. Lab., Available online at www.gfdl.noaa.gov, 2009.
- ⁶²³ S. M. Griffies and R. W. Hallberg. Biharmonic friction with a Smagorinsky viscosity
- for use in large-scale eddy-permitting ocean models. Mon. Weath. Rev, 128:2935–2946,
- 625 2000.
- 626 I. Iskandar and M. J. McPhaden. Dynamics of wind-forced intraseasonal zonal current
- variations in the equatorial Indian Ocean. J. Geophy. Res., 116(C06019), 2011. doi:
- 10.1029/2010JC006864.
- D. R. Jackett, T. J. McDougall, R. Feistel, D. G. Wright, and S. M. Griffies. Algorithms
- for density, potential temperature, conservative temperature, and freezing temperature
- of seawater. J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 23:1709–1728, 2006.
- E. Kalnay, M. Kanamitsu, R. Kistler, W. Collins, D. Deaven, L. Gandin, M. Iredell,
- S. Saha, G. White, J. Woollen, Y. Zhu, A. Leetmaa, B. Reynolds, M. Chelliah,
- W. Ebisuzaki, W. Higgins, J. Janowiak, K. C. Mo, C. Ropelewski, J. Wang, R. Jenne,
- and D. Joseph. The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. Bull. Amer. Meteorol.
- Soc, 77(3):437-471, 1996.
- B. G. Kelly, S. D. Meyers, and J. J. O'Brien. On a generating mechanism for Yanai waves
- and the 25-day oscillation. J. Geophy. Res., 100(C6):10589-10612, 1995.
- ₆₅₉ J. C. Kindle and J. D. Thompson. The 26- and 50- day oscillations in the western Indian
- Ocean: Model results. J. Geophy. Res., 94(C4):4721–4736, 1989.
- ⁶⁴¹ J. Kurian and P. N. Vinayachandran. Mechanisms of formation of Arabian sea
- mini warm pool in a high resolution OGCM. J. Geophy. Res., 112, 2007. doi:

- X 32 ABHISEK CHATTERJEE ET AL.: YANAI WAVES IN THE WESTERN INDIAN OCEAN
- 10.1029/2006JC003631.
- ⁶⁴⁴ W. G. Large, J. C. McWilliams, and S. C. Doney. Oceanic vertical mixing: a review and a
- model with a nonlocal boundary layer parameterization. Rev. Geophys., 32(4):363–403,
- 1994.
- R. A. Locarnini, A. V. Mishonov, J. I. Antonov, T. P. Boyer, and H. E. Garcia. World
- Ocean Atlas 2009, Volume 1: Temperature. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 68, NOAA, U. S.
- Gov. Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 2010.
- J. R. Luyten and D. H. Roemmich. Equatorial currents at semiannual period in the Indian
- Ocean. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 12:403–413, 1982.
- 652 S. Masina, S. G. H. Philander, and A. B. G. Bush. An analysis of tropical instability
- waves in a numerical model of the Pacific Ocean 2. Generation and energetics of the
- waves. J. Geophy. Res., 104(C12):29637–29661, 1999.
- Y. Masumoto, H. Hase, Y. Kuroda, and H. Matsuura. Instraseasonal variability in the
- upper layer currents observed in the eastern equatorial Indian Ocean. Geophys. Res.
- Lett., 32(L02607), 2005. doi: 10.1029/2004GL021896.
- ₆₅₈ J. P. McCreary. Modelling wind-driven ocean circulation. Tech. rep. HIG-80-3, Hawaii
- Inst. of Geophys., Honolulu, USA, 1980.
- ₆₆₀ J. P. McCreary. Equatorial beams. J. Mar. Res., 42:395–430, 1984.
- J. P. McCreary, W. Han, D. Shankar, and S. R. Shetye. Dynamics of the East India
- 662 Coastal Current 2. Numerical solutions. *J. Geophy. Res.*, 101(C6):13993–14010, 1996.
- 663 S. D. Meyers and J. J. O'Brien. Spatial and temporal 26-day sst variations in the equatorial
- Indian Ocean using wavelet analysis. G. Res. Lett., 21(No.9):777-780, 1994.

- T. Miyama, J. P. McCreary, D. Sengupta, and R. Senan. Dynamics of biweekly oscillations
- in the Equatorial Indian Ocean. J. Phys. Ocean., 36:827–846, 2006.
- 667 D. W. Moore and J. P. McCreary. Excitation of intermediate-frequency equatorial waves
- at a western boundary: with application to observations from the western Indian Ocean.
- J. Geophy. Res., 95(C4):5219-5231, 1990.
- A. Morel and D. Antoine. Heating rate within the upper ocean in relation to its biooptical
- state. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 24(7):1652–1665, 1994.
- F. Papa, F. Durand, W. B. Rossow, A. Rahman, and S. Bala. Satellite altimeter-
- derived monthly discharge of the Ganga-Brahmaputra river and its seasonal to in-
- terannual variations from 1993 to 2008. *J. Geophy. Res.*, 115(C12013), 2010. doi:
- doi:10.1029/2009JC006075.
- ₆₇₆ J. Reppin, F. A. Schott, J. Fischer, and D. Quadfasel. Equatorial currents and transports
- in the upper central indian ocean: Annual cycle and interannual variability. J. Geophy.
- 678 Res., 104(C7), 1999. doi: 10.1029/1999JC900093.
- F. Schott and J. P. Mccreary. The monsoon circulation of the Indian Ocean. *Prog.*
- Oceanogr., 51:1–123, 2001.
- ⁶⁸¹ F. Schott, J. Reppin J. Fischer, and D. Quadfasel. Currents and transports of the monsoon
- current south of Sri Lanka. J. Geophy. Res., 99(C12), 1994. doi: 10.1029/94JC02216.
- D. Sengupta, R. Senan, and B. N. Goswami. Origin of intraseasonal variability of cir-
- culation in the tropical central Indian Ocean. Geophy. Res. lett., 28, 2001. doi:
- 10.1029/2000GL012251.
- ⁶⁸⁶ D. Sengupta, R. Senan, V.S.N. Murty, and V. Fernando. A biweekly mode in the equatorial
- Indian Ocean. J. Geophy. Res., 109(C10003), 2004. doi: 10.1029/2004JC002329.

- D. Shankar and S. R. Shetye. On the dynamics of the Lakshadweep high and low in the
- southeastern Arabian Sea. *J. Geophy. Res.*, 102(C6):12551–12562, 1997.
- D. Shankar, J. P. McCreary, and W. Han. Dynamics of the East India Coastal Current
- 1. analytic solutions forced by interior Ekman pumping and local alongshore winds. J.
- 692 Geophy. Res., 101(C6):13975–13991, 1996.
- D. Shankar, S.G. Aparna, J.P. McCreary, I. Suresh, S. Neetu, F. Durand, S.S.C. Shenoi,
- and M.A. AlSaafani. Minima of interannual sea-level variability in the Indian Ocean.
- 695 Prog. Oceanogr., 84(3-4):225-241, 2010.
- ⁶⁹⁶ B. Sindhu, I. Suresh, A. S. Unnikrishnan, N. V. Bhatkar, S. Neetu, and G. S. Michael.
- Improved bathymetric datasets for the shallow water regions in the Indian Ocean. J.
- Earth Syst. Sci., 116(3):261–274, 2007.
- ⁶⁹⁹ M. W. Stacey, S. Pond, and Z. P. Nowak. A numerical model of the circulation in Knight
- ₇₀₀ Inlet, British Columbia, Canada. J. Phys. Ocean., 25:1037–1062, 1995.
- P. T. H. Tsai, J. J. O'Brien, and M. E. Luther. The 26-day oscillation observed in the
- satellite sea surface temperature measurements in the Equatorial Western Indian Ocean.
- J. Geophy. Res., 97(C6):9605–9618, 1992.
- P. N. Vinayachandran, J. Kurian, and C. P. Neema. Indian Ocean response to anomalous
- conditions during 2006. Geophy. Res. lett., 34, 2007. doi: 10.1029/2007GL030194.
- C. J. Vörösmarty, B. Fekete, and B. A. Tucker. River Discharge Database, Version 1.0
- (RivDIS v1.0), vols. 0-6, A contribution to IHP-V Theme 1, Technical Documents in
- Hydrology Series. technical report, U. N. Educ., Sci., and Cult. Org., Paris, 1996.

Table 1. List of data sets used to force the MOM4p1 model.

Field	Data source	Frequency	Reference
Wind stress (N/m ²)	QuikSCAT	Daily	www.ifremer.fr/cersat/en/data/data.html
Wind speed (m/s)	NCEP	Daily	Kalnay et al. [1996]
SW rad. down (W/m^2)	NCEP	Daily	Kalnay et al. [1996]
LW rad. down (W/m^2)	NCEP	Daily	Kalnay et al. [1996]
Air temperature (K)	NCEP	Daily	Kalnay et al. [1996]
Spec. humidity (kg/kg)	NCEP	Daily	Kalnay et al. [1996]
Precipitation (m/s)	TRMM	Daily	http://daac.gsfc.nasa.gov/precipitation
River runoff (m/s)	SAGE (UNESCO)	Monthly	Vörösmarty et al. [1996], Papa et al. [2010]
Chlorophyll (mg/m ³)	SeaWiFS	Monthly	http://nomads.gfdl.noaa.gov

From left to right, the table columns list the data type, source, frequency, and a reference or website that describes the data.

Table 2. List of experiments.

Solution	Forcing
MOMCR	MOM control run
$\mathrm{MOMLP}\tau$	40-day low-passed τ^x and τ^y
$MOM\tau^y$	full τ^y , 40-day low-passed τ^x
$MOM\tau^x$	full τ^x , 40-day low-passed τ^y
LCSCR	LCS control run
$LCS\tau^y$	full τ^y , 40-day low-passed τ^x
$LCS\tau^x$	full τ^x , 40-day low-passed τ^y
LCSINq	idealized τ^y in (3a), $x_o = 60^{\circ}$ E
LCSIN'q	Solution LCSINq with $x \to x - 40^{\circ}$
LCSWBq	idealized τ^y in (3a), $x_o = 20^{\circ}$ E
LCSDIFq	Solution LCSWB q – Solution LCSIN' q
LCSEDq	idealized τ^y as discussed near Eq. (5)

For each solution, the first column lists its name and the second column describes how each test differs from the control run. The test runs are separated into groups for MOM runs (top) and LCS runs (bottom). The ending label q is either 25 or 15 days, indicating the period P of the forcing.

Table 3. Values of c_n and λ_n for n = 1-10.

					n					
Parameter	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
c_n	264.2	166.9	104.5	74.7	59.7	49.3	41.5	36.8	32.8	29.7
$\lambda_n $ (10 days)	-16243	5156	1645	953	695	541	437	378	330	294
$\lambda_n $ (15 days)									624	541
$\lambda_n (20 \text{ days})$	-1282	-1533	-2244	-4448	-33380	5730	2530	1749	1309	1053
$\lambda_n $ (25 days)	-931	-1029	-1241	-1588	-2111	-3225	-7491	129202	6194	3228
$\lambda_n (30 \text{ days})$	-739	-789	-885	-1018	-1173	-1396	-1757	-2215	-3092	-5004
$\lambda_n (35 \text{ days})$	-616	-645	-698	-766	-837	-928	-1051	-1175	-1349	-1574
$\lambda_n (40 \text{ days})$	-529	-548	-581	-621	-661	-708	-768	-824	-895	-976
$\lambda_n $ (45 days)	-465	-478	-499	-526	-556	-579	-614	-645	-683	-723

Values of c_n (cm/s) correspond to the $N_b(z)$ profile noted in Section 2.2. Wavelengths λ_n (km)

are given by (2) for the periods P listed in parentheses. Negative (positive) values of λ_n indicate that the Yanai wave has westward (eastward) phase velocity.

- Figure 1. (a) Plot of the model domain and study region (WEIO). Shading shows the model bathymetry derived from Sindhu et al. [2007], with depth increasing from dark to light grey, and the blue box defines the boundaries of the WEIO. (b) Variance-preserving power spectra $(10^{-4} \text{dyne}^2 \text{cm}^{-4} \text{cpd}^{-1})$ of τ^y (black curve) and $5(\sigma/\beta)\tau_y^x$ (red curve) averaged over 45–60°E along the equator in the WEIO. The spectra are computed for year 2001 and smoothed using a 5-point Parzen window. (c) Longitude-time plot of altimeter ASLA (cm) during 2001 band-passed at 20–30 days. The signals are excited during June–October within the western and central WEIO. Note that We have used fourth order Butterworth filter to filter data from the total signal in all our analysis except stated otherwise.
- Figure 2. Variance-preserving spectral map across the WEIO of ASLA ($10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2\text{cpd}^{-1}$) from altimeter observations (left) and MOMCR (middle), and of v_{eq} ($10^{-2} \text{ cm}^2\text{s}^{-2}\text{cpd}^{-1}$) from MOMCR during 2001 (right). Note that sampling interval for the altimeter is 7 days, whereas for MOM it is every day.
- Figure 3. Longitude-time plots of τ^y (dyn cm⁻²) from QuikSCAT data during 2001 bandpassed at 20–30 days (left) and 10–20 days (right).
- **Figure 4.** Near-surface v_{eq} (cm s⁻¹) at 80°E from the RAMA mooring (black curve) and MOMCR (red curve).
- **Figure 5.** Longitude-time plots of fields during 2001 band-passed at 20–30 days, showing ASLA (cm) from MOMCR (a) and surface v_{eq} (cm s⁻¹) from MOMCR (b), MOMLP τ (c), and LCSCR (d).
- Figure 6. Vertical sections of v_{eq} (cm s⁻¹) from MOMCR band-passed at 20–30 days on 5 September (left) and band-passed at 10–20 days on July 8 (right). The black curves represent the ray paths for 25 days (left) and 15 days (right).

Figure 7. Longitude-time plots of fields during 2001 band-passed at 10–20 days, showing ASLA (cm) from MOMCR (a) and surface v_{eq} (cm s⁻¹) from MOMCR (b), MOMLP τ (c), and LCSCR (d).

Figure 8. Longitude-time plots of surface v_{eq} (top panels) and vertical sections of v_{eq} on 15 August (bottom panels) for Solutions LCSIN25 (left), LCSDIF25 (middle), and LCSWB25 (right). In each of these solutions secondary responses (S) are visible along with the primary response (P). Note that in LCSIN25, waves with short wavelengths emanate from the edge of the wind forced region (marked by a red arrow). LCSWB25 exhibits nodes (marked by black arrows) due to destructive interference of the boundary and interior response. There is a shadow zone near the western boundary in Solution LCSWB25 (white region in the bottom-right panel; Region A), which cannot be reached by a Yanai beam. A similar shadow zone should exist in Solution LCSDIF25, but does not because that solution is not a perfect measure of the boundary-generated response.

Figure 9. Longitude-time plots of surface v_{eq} (top panels) and vertical sections of v_{eq} on 15 August (bottom panels) for Solutions LCSIN15 (left), LCSDIF15 (middle), and LCSWB15 (right). LCSWB15 exhibits both primary (P) and secondary (S) responses and also shows nodes (marked by black arrows) due to destructive interference of the boundary and interior response. The secondary response is also seen in LCSIN15 and LCSDIF15, but is weak and the tilt is small. There is a shadow zone near the western boundary in Solution LCSWB15 (white region in the bottom right panel; Region A), which cannot be reached by a Yanai beam. A similar shadow zone should exist in Solution LCSDIF15, but does not because that solution is not a perfect measure of the boundary-generated response.

Figure 10. Snapshots of terms on 15 June (top), 15 July (middle), and 15 August (bottom) from the eddy-kinetic-energy budget from MOMLP τ , showing surface eddy kinetic energy (EKE, gm cm⁻¹ s⁻²; left), barotropic conversion terms ($S_{\rm bt}$, 10^{-5} gm s⁻³; middle-left), eddy horizontal advection ($S_{\rm adv}$, 10^{-5} gm s⁻³; middle-right), and the surface mean current computed using a 31-day running Parzen window (cm s⁻¹; right). $S_{\rm bt}$ and $S_{\rm adv}$ are integrated over the top 100 m of the water column.

Figure 11. Snapshots of daily total barotropic energy conversion ($S_{\rm bt}$, color; $10^{-5}\,{\rm gm\,s^{-3}}$) integrated over top 100 m of the water column and the mean surface current (vectors; cm s⁻¹) from Solution MOMLP τ . The mean fields have been computed using a 31-day Parzen window centered on the day for which the mean is shown; the eddy fields were computed as deviations from these means. $S_{\rm bt}$ is advected along the trajectory of the Southern Gyre. Therefore, during June–July, when the Southern Gyre cuts across the equator, the southward advection along its eastern flank brings the eddy field and associated energy to the equator, thereby generating a $v_{\rm eq}$ there.

Figure 12. Snapshots of 30-day high-passed surface current (vectors; cm s⁻¹) and SLA (color; cm) from Solution MOMLP τ . Only current vectors with a magnitude greater than 2.5 cm s⁻¹ are plotted. The anomalous flow field along the equator is a mixture of eddies ($x \lesssim 55^{\circ}$ W) and LFYWs and has a wavelength of $\sim 6^{\circ}$ (left and right panels) and $\sim 7^{\circ}$ (middle panel).

Figure 13. Longitude-time plots of surface v_{eq} (top panels) and vertical sections of v_{eq} (bottom panels) for Solutions LCSED25 (left) and LCSED15 (right). The vertical sections are snapshots on 15 December and 15 September for Solutions LCSED25 and LCSED15, respectively. The forcing region is located from 35–45°E and from 1 June to 14 August, only a small portion of the plot. In both solutions, secondary responses (S) are visible along with the primary response (P).

























