Large-scale bioprospecting of cyanobacteria, micro- and macroalgae from the Aegean Sea

Sofia Montalvão¹, Zeliha Demirel², Prabha Devi³, Valter Lombardi⁴, Vesa Hongisto⁵, Merja Perälä⁵, Johannes Virtanen⁶, Esra Imamoglu², SupriyaShet Tilvi³, Gamze Turan⁷, Meltem Conk Dalav², Päivi Tammela^{1,*}

Abstract

Marine organisms constitute approximately one half of the total global biodiversity, being rich reservoirs of structurally diverse biofunctional components. The potential of cyanobacteria, microand macroalgae as sources of antimicrobial, antitumoral, anti-inflammatory, anticoagulant compounds has been reported extensively. Nonetheless, written records of biological activities of marine fauna and flora of the Aegean Sea has remained poorly studied when in comparison to other areas of the Mediterranean Sea. In this study, we screened the antimicrobial, antifouling, antiinflammatory and anticancer potential of altogether 98 specimens collected from the Aegean Sea, including six species of cyanobacteria and 54species of marine algae. Ethanol extract of diatom Amphora cfcapitellata showed the most promising antimicrobial results against Candida albicans while the extract of diatom Nitzschia communis showed effective results against Gram-positive bacterium, S.aureus. xtracts from the red alga Laurencia papillosa and three Cystoseira species exhibited selective antiproliferative activity against cancer cell linesand an extract from the brown alga Dilophusfasciolashowedthe highest anti-inflammatory activity as measured in primary microglial and astrocyte cell cultures as well as by. In summary, our study demonstrates that the Aegean Sea is a rich source of species that possess interesting potential for developing industrial applications.

Keywords

Bioprospecting, Aegean Sea, biological activity, cyanobacteria, marine algae

¹Centre for Drug Research, Division of Pharmaceutical Biosciences, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 56, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland;

²Bioengineering Department, Engineering Faculty, Ege University, 35100,Bornova, Izmir, Turkey; ³CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, 403004, Goa, India;

⁴Euroespes Biotechnology, Department of Cellular Immunology, 15165 Bergondo, A Coruña, Spain; ⁵VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Knowledge Intensive Products and Services; ⁶VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Solutions for natural resources and environment;

⁷Aquaculture Department, Fisheries Faculty, Ege University, 35100, Bornova, Izmir, Turkey

^{*}Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* paivi.tammela@helsinki.fi, *Phone number:* +358 2941 59628

Introduction

Bioprospecting, a tool for biodiversity conservation, can be defined as the collection of biological material and analysis of itsproperties and/or its molecular, biochemical or genetic content for a purpose of developing a commercial product. However, special care must be given to ethical issues and conservation policies (Christoffersen and Mathur 2005; Beattie et al. 2011; Rose, Quave, and Islam 2012). Covering more than 70% of world's surface and with still many unknown habitats and species, the oceans and seas play an important role in the search for new solutions concerning human health. In recent years, many reports have been published about the biological activities of marine species (e.g., cyanobacteria, macro- and microalgae) and compounds isolated thereof (Burja et al. 2001; Pulz and Gross 2004; Raposo, de Morais, and Bernardo de Morais 2013; Barbosa, Valentão, and Andrade 2014; Wang et al. 2014).

The Aegean Sea is a saltwater body in the northeast part of the Mediterranean Sea. Being adjacent to the Mediterranean – a marine biodiversity hotspot (Coll et al. 2010) – makes this embayment an important site to study marine resources. However, the Aegean Sea has received little attention in bioprospecting studies, despite its economic and cultural importance. The Aegean Sea has endemic and rare species. The season in the Aegean Sea is characterized by the presence of two distinct periods (summer and winter) with spring and autumn relatively short and transitional.

Marine macroalgae, macroscopic and multicellular seaweeds, can be classified into three groups based primarily on their photosynthetic pigmentation: green (Chlorophyta), red (Rhodophyta) and brown (Phaeophyta) (Garson 1989). Each group is rich in a type of sulphated polysaccharide: ulvan (mainly in green algae), carrageenan (mainly in red algae) and fucoidan (mainly in brown algae). Many studies have shown that seaweeds provide a rich source of natural bioactive compounds, which havebeen shown to display antibacterial(Pierre et al. 2011), antitumoral (Ji et al. 2008), antiproliferative (Mhadhebi et al. 2014), antioxidant (Farasat et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2013; Mhadhebi et al. 2014), and anti-inflammatory (Mhadhebi et al. 2014; Ribeiro et al. 2014), activities. For example, Abou Zeid et al. (2014) showed that polysaccharide contents of macroalgae *Dictyopteris membranacea* exhibited interesting antimicrobial and antitumoral activities (Abou Zeid et al. 2014).

Microalgae (or diatoms) are microscopic and unicellular, and normally classified not only by the presence or absence of cell nucleus, presence of flagella and cycle of life but also, as seaweed, by their photosynthetic pigmentation. Extracts from diatoms have been studied, for example, for their apoptotic effects (Lee et al. 2009; Prestegard et al. 2009) and biochemical composition (J. A.

Simental-Trinidad, M. P. Sánchez-Saavedra 2001). However, many aspects of diatom's metabolism and production of natural compounds remain unknown.

Macro- and microalgae are well recognized as valuable resources for industrial purposes. Cultivation of microalgae is known to be one of the most profitablebusiness in the biotech industry, being used as nutritional supplements (Harmelen and Oonk 2006; West M. Bishop 2012), for CO₂ fixation(Sydney et al. 2010), in cosmetics (Spolaore et al. 2006; Tran et al. 2014), food colorants (Dufossé et al. 2005), and as biofuel (Singh and Gu 2010), among others. Macroalgae, crucial primary producer in oceanic aquatic food webs, isequally important ecologically and commercially. Their studied applications cover human food (MacArtain et al. 2007; Santos et al. 2015), pharmaceuticals (Smit 2004; Pereira et al. 2012), cosmeceuticals (Thomas and Kim 2013) and energy production (Aitken et al. 2014; Milledge et al. 2014).

The objective of this work was to carry out large-scale screening of antimicrobial, antifouling, antiinflammatory and anticancer properties of a total of 98 specimens collected from the Aegean Sea and to evaluate their potential from biosprospecting perspective.

Results and Discussion

A set of 98 specimens was collected from 21 collection sites located on the Turkish coast of the Aegean Sea such as Urla, Seferihisar, Urkmez, Burhaniye and Turgutreis (see Table S1 for details). The set consisted of seven cyanobacteria, 17 microalgae, 72 macroalgaeand two seagrass samples, which belonged to six different phyla; Cyanobacteria (7%), Chlorophyta (27%), Haptophyta (1%), Ochrophyta (47%), Rhodophyta (16%) and Tracheophyta (2%). Collected macroalgal/seagrass specimen were washed and freeze-dried prior to extraction. Cyanobacteria and microalgal samples were first purified and then cultivated as monoalgal cultures in laboratory conditions to produce sufficient amounts of biomass for the extraction. Maceration with 80% ethanol was used for preparing crude extracts from the samples, which were then subjected to biological evaluation. As a primary screen, the extracts were evaluated at 50-100 µg/ml concentration by using a panel of 32 assays covering antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory and anticancer targets. By using >50% effect as a threshold, five extracts were identified active against pathogenic bacteria and/or fungi, and three against fouling bacteria (Fig. 1). Activity on breast and/or prostate cancer cells were detected for nine extracts and four extracts demonstrated activity in the anti-inflammatory evaluation. Interestingly, in most cases the activities were not overlapping between different assay categories. Altogether, 20% of the extracts were defined as potentially active. Majority (16) of the active samples were from macroalgae specimen, and from the phylum Ochrophyta (11), which was also most abundantly represented within the collected set. The following sections describe the found activities in more detail.

Antimicrobial and antifouling activity

The inhibitory effects were tested against ten pathogenic strains: three Gram-positive (*E. faecalis*, *S. aureus* and *S. aureus* MRSA) and four Gram-negative bacteria (*E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. flexneri* and *V. cholerae*), and three fungi (*A. fumigatus*, *C. albicans* and *C. neoformans*). Extracts 10S014, 10S152 and 10S156 showed the most promising results, with growth inhibition above 50% (Fig. 1). Extract of *Amphora cfcapitellata* (10S014)was found to fully inhibit *Candida* and to inhibit Grampositive *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus* by 68% and 83%, respectively. Interestingly, *Nitzschia communis* (10S152) fully inhibited the growth of *S. aureus* 100 µg/ml concentration. The present study also indicated that two macroalgal species of *Cystoseira* genus (10S022-1 and 10S027) as well as *Enteromorphalinza* (10S054), exhibited moderate inhibitory activity against fouling marine bacteria (*Alcanivorax* sp. and *Pseudoalteramonas* sp.).

Antiproliferative activity

The primary screen results obtained for antiproliferative effects of the extracts. In our study, the extracts of reproductive *Dictyopteris membranacea* (10S039) and *Hypnea musciformis* (10S049 and 10S053) displayed a general cytotoxicity against all four cell lines, with inhibition of growth in the range of 60 to 96%. However, extracts of species from the *Cystoseira* genus (10S035, 10S037, 10S038) and of red algae *Laurencia papillosa* displayed cytotoxic effects on the cancer cells (LNCa, PC-3 and MCF-7) but not on the non-tumorigenic cell line MCF-10, suggesting that these extractsmight be interesting for further studies regarding their potential anticancer effects. The extract of macroalgae *Cystoseirastricta* (10S037) showed significant effects on human breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 cells (inhibition of growth 87%) and similar effect has previously been reported for this species (Mhadhebi et al. 2014). Recent publications (Mikami and Hosokawa 2013; Mhadhebi et al. 2014) have provided evidence that some compounds and pigments present in brown algae are responsible for their antiproliferative activities.

Anti-inflammatory activity

Microglia and astrocytes are able to recognize harmful stimuli and respond by producing inflammatory cytokines such as TNF α , IL-6, IL-1 β , and IFN- γ (Boche et al. 2013). However, inflammatory factors produced by microglia and astrocytes can damage local tissue and can further increase inflammationand glial activation, leading to a persistent inflammatory cycle. This long-term inflammation can give rise to fatal consequences the CNS, ranging from loss of synapses to

impairedcognition and overt neurodegeneration (Ward et al. 2015). The results obtained for anti-inflammatory effects of tested extracts are summarizedin Figure 1. Extractsfrom *Chaetomorphaaerea* (10S018), reproductive *Cystoseiracrinita* (10S038), *Dilophusfasciola* (10S043) and *Caulerparasemosa* (10S020) clearly showed a reduction in the release of IL- β (50µg/ml: 33%, 29%, 34%, 42%; 100µg/ml: 38%, 35%, 45%, 55%), IL-6(50µg/ml: 29%, 36%, 35%, 34%; 100µg/ml: 42%, 47%, 45%, 54%), TNF- α (50µg/ml: 32%, 37%, 38%, 42%; 100µg/ml: 44%, 48%, 51%, 55%), and IFN- γ (50µg/ml: 39%, 45%, 47%, 32%; 100µg/ml: 54%, 59%, 65%, 47%),proinflammatory cytokines when compared to positive controls. An increase inneuron survival was also observed at both concentrations: 10S018 (50µg/ml: 38%;100µg/ml: 48%), 10S038 (50µg/ml: 49%; 100µg/ml: 55%), 10S043 (50µg/ml: 53%; 100µg/ml: 63%), and 10S020(50µg/ml: 43%; 100µg/ml: 48%). The results of both microglial and astrocyte activation indicate a significant activity of 10S018, 10S038, 10S043 and 10S020 extracts (Figure 1), as well as that *Dilophusfasciola* (10S043) extract exhibit the highest anti-inflammatory activity. Taken together these results suggest that several marine extracts could be further investigated as therapeutic tools in experimental pathological conditions were microglial and astrocyte activation may contribute to disease.

Conclusion

In this article, we presented the results of an extensive studyon the bioactivity screening of several species living in the Aegean Sea, i.e., cyanobacteria, micro- and macroalgae. The Aegean Sea, considered as an arm of the Mediterranean Sea (biodiversity hotspot), is an interesting place for the study of marine pharmacology. Based on our results, several macro- and microalgae species showed stimulating results in antimicrobial studies against both pathogenic and fouling microbes. An alga belonging to phylum Rhodophyta, *Laurencia papillosa*, exhibited promising antiproliferative activity against cancer cell lines. Furthermore, an alga belonging to phylum Ochrophyta, *Dilophusfasciola*also showed significant anti-inflammatory activity. However, further work is required to fully elucidate the potential of these findings for the development of industrial applications.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and preparation

Collection of cyanobacteria, and micro- and macroalgae

Altogether 98 cyanobacteria, micro- and macroalgae specimens were collected from the Aegean Sea, Turkey. Details on the collected species, collection sites and conditions are provided as Supplementary Information (Table S1). Macroalgae were collected from the seashore by hand

pickingwhilecyanobacteria and microalgae were collected by plankton net (mesh size $20 \mu m$). Samples were packed into clean nylon bags or polyethylene bottles, placed on ice, and taken to the laboratorywithin 48 hours. Microalgae and cyanobacteria species were checked and identified under microscope, and then diluted and plated onto plates to prepare monoalgal cultures.

Isolation and purification of cyanobacteria and microalgae species

Collected sample (1 ml) was inoculated into 9 ml of appropriate sterilized medium in a 15-ml tube. The tube was incubated for 7 days at 25°C at the light intensity of 30 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. The isolation was accomplished by streaking the sample across the agar surface. Isolated colonies were picked from the agar plate with disposable loop and then both re-streaked on a new agar plate and rinsed in liquid appropriate medium to suspend the cells. Isolates were incubated at 25°C at light intensity of 40 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in 250-ml Erlenmeyer flasks for 14 days. Isolated species were added to the Ege University Microalgae Culture Collection (Ege-Macc;http://www.egemacc.com).

Batch cultivation of cyanobacteria and microalgae

The cyanobacteria and microalgae samples were monoalgal (non-axenic) and cultured in appropriate media at 22 ± 2 °C under continuous illumination (100 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) in 2-1 sterile bottles for 23 days. Illumination was provided by standard cool white fluorescent lamps (18 W) from one side of the flask. Irradiance was measured in the center of the bottle with a quantum meter (Lambda L1-185). Air was continuously supplied to the culture at a flow rate of 11 min⁻¹ (1.25 vvm) by air pump.

Preparation of extracts

After the cultivation period of microalgae strains, the cells from the culture broth (> 5 l) were collected and separated using GEA Westafalin separator mineral oil systems GmbH(30,000 rpm, 30 min,25°C) and the supernatant was removed. If the volume of culture broth was less than 5 l, the cells were separated by using Heichman centrifuge (5,000 rpm, 4 min, and 18°C) and the supernatant was removed. Collected cells were washed with distilled water and then dried using Alpha 1-2 /LD plus lyophiliser (kept at-20°C). Macroalgae specimen were washed with distilled water after collection and stored at -20°C. Then, the samples were dried using Alpha 1-2 /LD plus lyophiliser and powdered using porcelain mill after freezingwith liquid nitrogen. Powdered material was macerated at RT for four hours with 80% ethanol (10 g/100 ml). The obtained extract was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The residue from the filter paper was re-suspended in fresh 80% ethanol, re-extracted by maceration for two hours and filtered. Filtrates were combined and evaporated to dryness using rotary evaporator (at 45°C for 30 min) and lyophilisation if necessary.

For biological assays, the extracts were dissolved in DMSO to yield concentrations 20-50 mg/ml depending on the assay and stored at -20°C.

Biological assays

Evaluation of antimicrobial properties

Antimicrobial properties were evaluated against a panel of pathogenic bacteria and fungi as well as fouling bacteria (altogether 20 strains, see Table 1 for details) by using either agar diffusion or broth microdilution assays according to CLSI and EUCAST guidelines(Kahlmeter et al. 2006; Wikler et al. 2006).

For agar diffusion assays, sterile Whatman No. 1 filter paper (GF/C,ø6 mm) discs were impregnated with the sample (1mg/disc) and placed on Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) plates which had beenpreviously surface-inoculated with uniformly spread test organism [approximately 1.2 × 10⁸colony-forming units (CFU)/ml]. Standard antibiotic discs (10μg/disc) served as positive control (Table 1) and discs containing only solvent as negative control. MHA plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h (bacteria) or 48h (fungi) after which the zone of growth-inhibition observed around each disc was measured in millimeters. In broth microdilution assays, inoculum of 5 × 10⁵CFU/ml in Mueller Hinton broth (MHB) was used for bacteria and 2.5 × 10³CFU/ml in RPMI-1640(withL-glutamine, w/o NaHCO₃ and supplemented with 2% glucose and 0.165 M MOPS, buffered to pH 7)for fungi. After incubation at 37°C for 24h (bacteria) or at 28°C for 48h (fungi), absorbance at 620 nm was used for evaluating antimicrobial activity by comparing with controls. Assays were carried out in triplicate.

Evaluation of anti-inflammatory properties

Primary neuron cell culture preparation and feeding

Dissociated cortical cell cultures were prepared bypapain digestion of embryonic-day-18 rat whole cortex. Timed-pregnant Wistar rats were euthanized by CO₂ inhalation, according to approved protocols forthe care and use of lab animals. Embryos were removed, chilled on ice, and the cortex was micro-dissected under sterile conditions. Papain solution was prepared, quickly frozen by immersion into liquid nitrogen in 2-ml aliquots, stored at -15°C, and thawed at 35°C just beforeuse. Cortex pieces (1 mm) were digested in 2 ml papainsolution for 30 min at 35°C with gentle inversion every5 min. The papain solution was aspirated and the pieceswere triturated three times, each with 1 mlof medium. 20 000 to 50 000 cells per well were plated into96-well platesin Dulbecco'smodified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% equine serum (Hyclone)and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ for 48-72 hours. Since antibiotics and antimicotics are reported to adversely affect neuron viability, they

were not used. Medium was used withoutglial conditioning, but was stored in afluorinated ethylenepropylene (FEP) membrane-sealedflask in the incubator to equilibrate thepH and temperature before feeding. No attempt wasmade to control glial cell proliferation with antimitoticdrugs, because glial cells aid in neuronal survival and synaptic development. The cells were fed by completely replacing the media once per week.

Primary astrocyte cell culture preparation and feeding

Two-day old Wistar neonatal rat pups were sacrificed in a CO₂ chamber. Using micro-dissecting scissors, the skin was opened at the midline of the head, cutting from the base of the skull to the mideye area. After folding back the skin flaps with the scissors, the skull was cut at the midline fissure, without cutting into the brain tissue. The raised skullcap was removed with the curvedforceps, applying slight pressure. The brain was then released from the skull cavity by running a microspatula underneath and along the length of the brain from the olfactory lobes to the beginning of the spinal cord. After gently transferring the brain to a 60-mm Petri dish, it was rinsed with modified DMEM/F12 culture medium, containing 10% FBS, 1% glutamine and gentamycin, to remove blood and to moisten the tissue. Using micro-dissecting forceps, each brain was transferred to an inverted lid of a 35-mm Petri dish, the cerebrum was separated from the cerebellum and brain stem, and the cerebral hemispheres were separated from each other. Cortices were dissociated into a cell suspension using mechanical digestion. After digestion, cells were plated in 75-cm²tissue culture flasks at a concentration of 15x10⁶cells in 11 ml of medium andincubated at 37°C in a moist atmosphere with 5% CO₂ for 48-72 hours, allowingthe cells sufficient time to adhere and multiply.

Primary microglial cell culture preparation, feeding and experiments

Primary microglial cell cultures were prepared from brains of newborn Wistar rats. The neocortex of one-day-old postnatal rats was aseptically dissected out and the meninges and blood vessels were carefully removed. Following cutting in a medium containing 20% horse serum, the suspension was filtered through a 40-mm filter and transferred as a single cell suspension to culture dishes, using 5 mL medium per dish. Cultures were incubated at 37°C in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Minimum Essential Medium Eagle, supplemented with L-glutamine, amino acids, vitamins, penicillin, gentamycin, sodium bicarbonate and 20% heat-inactivated horse serum was used as the medium and changed twice a week. After one week, the concentration of horse serum was reduced to 10%. After 16 days in culture, cells were treated with 1µg/mL of lipopolysaccharide (LPS). After six hours, samples were added and plates were incubated for 72 hours at 37°C,5% CO₂. Positive controls were treated only with LPS while negative controls were treated with complete medium. After

incubation, cells were counted and 2.5 mL of supernatants were collected from each well and frozen at -40°C for cytokine analyses. All experiments were carried out in duplicate.

MTT reduction assay

For evaluating the effects on neuronal cells and astrocytes, a cell proliferation assay based on MTT was used. Cell lines (1×10^5 cells/ml) were incubated in 96 well plates with extracts for 24 hours. Ten μ l of MTT (10 mg/ml) was added to each well and incubated further at 37°C for 4 hours. After incubation, MTT-formazan precipitate was dissolved in 100 μ l of DMSO and absorbance was recorded at 570 nm in an automatic plate reader (BioRAD instrument). Data are presented as percentage of cytotoxicity of treated versus untreated cells.

Determination of cytokine concentration

The supernatants obtained from microglial cell cultures were analyzed for TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, and IL-18 using commercially available ELISA kits following the manufacturers' instructions. All samples were assayed in duplicate and equivocal results were repeated. The cytokine concentration was calculated from a standard curve of the corresponding recombinant cytokine.

Evaluation of antiproliferative properties

Cell culture

Two prostate cancer (LNCa, PC-3), a breast cancer (MCF-7) and non-tumorigenic epithelial(MCF-10A) cell lines were used for the evaluation of antiproliferative properties. LNCaP cells were obtained from Deutsche Sammlung von Microorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH, PC-3 and MCF10A from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA), and MCF-7 cells from Interlab Cell Line Collection (ICLC, Genova, Italy). LNCaP and PC-3 cells were grown in RPMI-1640, 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 2mM L-glutamine.MCF-7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (1 g/l glucose) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. MCF-10A were grown in 1:1 DMEM (4.5g/lglucose) and HAM F-12, 5% horse serum, 5 μg/ml hydrocortisone, 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF), 100ng/ml choleratoxin, 2mM L-glutamine, and 10 μg/ml insulin. All cells were grown at37°C/5% CO₂.

High throughput anticancer assays

Sample stock solutions were transferred into 384-well master plates and subsequently processed with pipetting robot for 1:10 serial dilutions (20 mg/ml, 2 mg/ml and 0.2 mg/ml) for high-throughput

screening. 100 nanolitres of the stock solutions were transferred into white 384-well assay plates (Greiner) in four replicates for ready-made assay plates to be stored in the freezer until used for the screens (final assay concentrations 50, 5 and 0.5 µg/ml).Cell Titer Glo (CTG, Promega) proliferation assay was used for assessing anticancer effects of the extracts. DMSO and water were used as negative, and staurosporine and daunorubicine (5 µg/ml, 0.5 µg/ml, and 0.05 µg/ml of both), as positive controls. Cells (1500 MCF-10A, 1700 PC-3, 2000 MCF-7 and LNCaP cells/well) were plated in 40 µl of culture media into the assay plates on top of the samples. After 72 hours incubation at37°C/5% CO₂, 20 µl of CTG reagent was added, plates were placed in an orbital shaker for 30 minutes for lysis and the luminescence was measured with Envision Multilabel reader (Perkin Elmer). The screens were carried out in two biological replicates in two independentscreen sets. The average signals for the four replicates in duplicate screens were calculated and compared to the averages of the control samples (DMSO or water). The screens were technically successful: DMSO controls showed less than 10% CV, the positive controls were very effective and the replicate samples showed very low variation. Due to the fact that the replicate biological screens correlated so well in early screens only one screen was carried out in the later experiments.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme FP7 under grant agreement no. 245137(MAREX) and by the Academy of Finland (grant no. 277001). We want to thank PirjoKäpylä for the valuable technical assistance in the high throughput anticancer assays carried out at VTT.

References

- [1] Christoffersen LP, Mathur EJ. Bioprospecting ethics & benefits: a model for effective benefit-sharing. Ind Biotechnol 2005;1:255–9. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1089/ind.2005.1.255.
- [2] Beattie AJ, Hay M, Magnusson B, de Nys R, Smeathers J, Vincent JFV. Ecology and bioprospecting. Austral Ecol 2011;36:341–56. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j1442-9993.2010.02170.x.
- [3] Rose J, Quave CL, Islam G. The four-sided triangle of ethics in bioprospecting: pharmaceutical business, international politics, socio-environmental responsibility and the importance of local stakeholders. Ethnobiol Conserv 2012;1:1–25. http://dx.doi.org/10.15451/14.
- [4] Burja AM, Banaigs B, Abou-Mansour E, Burgess JG, Wright PC. Marine cyanobacteria a prolific source of natural products. Tetrahedron 2001;57:9347–77. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4020(01)00931-0.
- [5] Pulz O, Gross W. Valuable products from biotechnology of microalgae. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2004;65:635–48. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1647-x.
- [6] Raposo MFJ, Morais RMSC, Morais AMMB. Bioactivity and applications of sulphated polysaccharides from marine microalgae. Mar Drugs 2013;11:233—52. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/md11010233.

- [7] Barbosa M, Valenta PB. Bioactive compounds from macroalgae in the new millennium: implications for neurodegenerative diseases. Mar Drugs 2014;12:4934–72. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/md12094934.
- [8] Wang L, Wang X, Wu H, Liu R. Overview on biological activities and molecular characteristics of sulfated polysaccharides from marine green algae in recent years. Mar Drugs 2014;12:4984–5020. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ md12094984.
- [9] Dussault D, Vu KD, Vansach T, Horgen FD, Lacroix M. Antimicrobial effects of marine algal extracts and cyanobacterial pure compounds against five foodborne pathogens. Food Chem 2016;199:114–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.11.119.
- [10] Falch B, Ko"nig G, Wright A, Sticher O, Angerhofer C, Pezzuto J, et al. Biological activities of cyanobacteria: evaluation of extracts and pure compounds. Planta Med 2007;61:321–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-958092.
- [11] Gigova L, Gacheva G, Toshkova R, Yossifova L, Gardeva E, Ivanova N, et al. Effects of temperature on Synechocystis sp. R10 (Cyanoprocaryota) at two irradiance levels. II. Effect on antibacterial, antifungal and cytotoxic activities. Genet Plant Physiol 2012;2:38–49.
- [12] Coll M, Piroddi C, Steenbeek J, Kaschner K, Ben Rais Lasram F, Aguzzi J, et al. The biodiversity of the Mediterranean Sea: estimates, patterns, and threats. PLoS One 2010;5:e11842. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011842.
- [13] Garson MJ. Biosynthetic studies on marine natural products. Nat Prod Rep 1989;6:143. http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/np9890600143.
- [14] Pierre G, Sopena V, Juin C, Mastouri A, Graber M, Maugard T. Antibacterial activity of a sulfated galactan extracted from the marine alga Chaetomorpha aerea against Staphylococcus aureus. Biotechnol Bioprocess Eng 2011;16:937–45. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12257-011-0224-2.
- [15] Ji H, Shao H, Zhang C, Hong P, Xiong H. Separation of the polysaccharides in Caulerpa racemosa and their chemical composition and antitumor activity. J Appl Polym Sci 2008;110:1435–40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/app.28676.
- [16] Mhadhebi L, Mhadhebi A, Robert J, Bouraoui A. Antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and antiproliferative effects of aqueous extracts of three mediterranean brown seaweeds of the genus Cystoseira. Iran J Pharm Res 2014;13:207–20.
- [17] Farasat M, Khavari-Nejad R-A, Nabavi SMB, Namjooyan F. Antioxidant properties of some filamentous green algae (Chaetomorpha Genus). Brazilian Arch Biol Technol 2013;56:921–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132013000600005.
- [18] Zhang Z, Wang X, Mo X, Qi H. Degradation and the antioxidant activity of polysaccharide from Enteromorpha linza. Carbohydr Polym 2013;92:2084–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.11.096.
- [19] Ribeiro NA, Abreu TM, Chaves HV, Bezerra MM, Monteiro HSA, Jorge RJB, et al. Sulfated polysaccharides isolated from the green seaweed Caulerpa racemosa plays antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities in a way dependent on HO-1 pathway activation. Inflamm Res 2014;63:569–80. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s00011-014-0728-2.
- [20] Abou Zeid AH, Aboutabl EA, Sleem AA, El-Rafie HM. Water soluble polysaccharides extracted from Pterocladia capillacea and Dictyopteris membranacea and their biological activities. Carbohydr Polym 2014;113:62–6. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.06.004.

- [21] Lee S-H, Karawita R, Affan A, Lee J-B, Lee K-W, Lee B-J, et al. Potential of benthic diatoms Achnanthes longipes, Amphora coffeaeformis and Navicula sp. (Bacillariophyceae) as antioxidant sources. Algae 2009;24:47–55. http://dx.doi.org/10.4490/ALGAE.2009.24.1.047.
- [22] Prestegard SK, Oftedal L, Coyne RT, Nygaard G, Skjaerven KH, Knutsen G, et al. Marine benthic diatoms contain compounds able to induce leukemia cell death New Biotechnology \(\text{Volume 33}, \text{Number 3} \) May 2016 RESEARCH PAPER www.elsevier.com/locate/nbt 405 Research Paper and modulate blood platelet activity. Mar Drugs 2009;7:605–23. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/md7040605.
- [23] Simental-Trinidad JA, Sa'nchez-Saavedra MP, Correa-Reyes JG. Biochemical composition of benthic marine diatoms using as culture medium a common agricultural fertilizer. J Shellfish Res 2001;20:611–7.
- [24] van Harmelen T, Oonk H. Microalgae biofixation processes: applications and potential contributions to greenhouse gas mitigation options. In: Report for the International Network on Biofixation of CO2 and Greenhouse Gas Abatement with microalgae operated under the International Agency Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme; 2006.
- [25] West M, Bishop HMZ. Evaluation of microalgae for use as nutraceuticals and nutritional supplements. J Nutr Food Sci 2012;2. http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2155-9600.1000147.
- [26] Sydney EB, Sturm W, de Carvalho JC, Thomaz-Soccol V, Larroche C, Pandey A, et al. Potential carbon dioxide fixation by industrially important microalgae. Bioresour Technol 2010;101:5892–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech. 2010.02.088.
- [27] Spolaore P, Joannis-Cassan C, Duran E, Isambert A. Commercial applications of microalgae. J Biosci Bioeng 2006;101:87–96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1263/jbb.101.87.
- [28] Tran D, Doan N, Louime C, Giordano M, Portilla S. Growth, antioxidant capacity and total carotene of Dunaliella salina DCCBC15 in a low cost enriched natural seawater medium. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 2014;30:317–22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11274-013-1413-2.
- [29] Dufosse' L, Galaup P, Yaron A, Arad SM, Blanc P, Chidambara Murthy KN, et al. Microorganisms and microalgae as sources of pigments for food use: a scientific oddity or an industrial reality? Trends Food Sci Technol 2005;16:389–406. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2005.02.006.
- [30] Singh J, Gu S. Commercialization potential of microalgae for biofuels production. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2010;14:2596–610. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2010.06.014.
- [31] MacArtain P, Gill CIR, Brooks M, Campbell R, Rowland IR. Nutritional value of edible seaweeds. Nutr Rev 2007;65:535–43.
- [32] Santos SAO, Vilela C, Freire CSR, Abreu MH, Rocha SM, Silvestre AJD. Chlorophyta and Rhodophyta macroalgae: a source of health promoting phytochemicals. Food Chem 2015;183:122–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015. 03.006.
- [33] Smit AJ. Medicinal and pharmaceutical uses of seaweed natural products: a review. J Appl Phycol 2004;16:245–62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:JAPH. 0000047783.36600.ef.
- [34] Pereira H, Barreira L, Figueiredo F, Custo'dio L, Vizetto-Duarte C, Polo C, et al. Polyunsaturated fatty acids of marine macroalgae: potential for nutritional and pharmaceutical applications. Mar Drugs 2012;10:1920–35. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/md10091920.
- [35] Thomas NV, Kim S-K. Beneficial effects of marine algal compounds in cosmeceuticals. Mar Drugs 2013;11:146–64. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/md11010146.

- [36] Aitken D, Bulboa C, Godoy-Faundez A, Turrion-Gomez JL, Antizar-Ladislao B. Life cycle assessment of macroalgae cultivation and processing for biofuel production. J Clean Prod 2014;75:45–56. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro. 2014.03.080.
- [37] Milledge J, Smith B, Dyer P, Harvey P. Macroalgae-derived biofuel a review of methods of energy extraction from seaweed biomass. Energies 2014;7:7194–222. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/en7117194.
- [38] EGEMACC. EGEMACC j Ege U niversitesi Mikroalg Ku"ltu"r Koleksiyonu n.d. http://www.egemacc.com/ [accessed 01.08.15].
- [39] Kahlmeter G, Brown DFJ, Goldstein FW, MacGowan AP, Mouton JW, Odenholt I, et al. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) Technical Notes on antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Clin Microbiol Infect 2006;12:501–3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j. 1469-0691.2006.01454.x.
- [40] Wikler MA, Cockerill FR, Craig WA, Dudley MN, Eliopoulos GM, Hecht DW, et al. Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility tests; approved standard ninth edition. CLSI 2006;26:1–35.
- [41] O" rdo"g V, Stirk WA, Lenobel R, Banc?'r?ova' M, Strnad M, van Staden J, et al. Screening microalgae for some potentially useful agricultural and pharmaceutical secondary metabolites. J Appl Phycol 2004;16:309–14. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/B:JAPH.0000047789.34883.aa.
- [42] Mashjoor S, Yousefzadi M, Esmaeili MA, Rafiee R. Cytotoxicity and antimicrobial activity of marine macro algae (Dictyotaceae and Ulvaceae) from the Persian Gulf. Cytotechnology 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10616-015-9921-6.
- [43] Mazur-Marzec H, B?aszczyk A, Felczykowska A, Hohlfeld N, Kobos J, Torun' ska-Sitarz A, et al. Baltic cyanobacteria a source of biologically active compounds. Eur J Phycol 2015;50:343–60. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2015.1062563.
- [44] Najdenski HM, Gigova LG, Iliev II, Pilarski PS, Lukavsky' J, Tsvetkova IV, et al. Antibacterial and antifungal activities of selected microalgae and cyanobacteria. Int J Food Sci Technol 2013;48:1533–40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.12122.
- [45] Rao M, Malhotra S, Fatma T, Rattan A. Antimycobacterial activity from Cyanobacterial extracts and phytochemical screening of methanol extract of Hapalosiphon. Pharm Biol 2007;45:88–93.
- [46] Tyagi R, Kaushik BD, Kumar J. Antimicrobial activity of some cyanobacteria. In: Kharwar RN, Upadhyay RS, Dubey NK, Raghuwanshi R, editors. Microb. Divers. Biotechnol. Food Secur.. New Delhi: Springer; 2014 . p. 463–70. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-1801-2_41.
- [47] Mikami K, Hosokawa M. Biosynthetic pathway and health benefits of fucoxanthin, an algae-specific xanthophyll in brown seaweeds. Int J Mol Sci 2013;14:13763–81. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms140713763.
- [48] Boche D, Perry VH, Nicoll JAR. Review: activation patterns of microglia and their identification in the human brain. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 2013;39:3–18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nan.12011.
- [49] Ward RJ, Dexter DT, Crichton RR. Ageing, neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration. Front Biosci (Schol Ed) 2015;7:189–204.
- [50] Samarakoon KW, Ko J-Y, Shah MMR, Lee J-H, Kang M-C, O-Nam K, et al. In vitro studies of anti-inflammatory and anticancer activities of organic solvent extracts from cultured marine microalgae. Algae 2013;28:111–9. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.4490/algae.2013.28.1.111.

Table 1. Microbial strains used for the evaluation of antimicrobial properties.

Figure 1.Dose-response results for selected extracts against tumorigenic (MCF-7) and non-tumorigenic (MCF-10) breast cell lines, and two tumorigenic prostate cell lines (LNCaP, PC-3) at 0.5 μ g/ml (light grey), 5 μ g/ml (mid-grey) and 50 μ g/ml (dark grey) concentration.

Figure 2.Dose-response results for selected specimen against tumorigenic (MCF-7) and non-tumorigenic (MCF-10) breast cell lines, and two tumorigenic prostate cell lines (LNCaP, PC-3) at 0.5 μ g/ml (light grey), 5 μ g/ml (mid-grey) and 50 μ g/ml (dark grey) concentration.

Table 1. Microbial strains used for the evaluation of antimicrobial properties.

	Strain	Positive control	
Pathogenic bacteria			
Enterococcus faecalis	ATCC 29212 ^a	Ciprofloxacin	
Staphylococcus aureus	ATCC 25923 ^a	Ciprofloxacin	
Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA)	GMC^b	Methicillin	
Escherichia coli	ATCC 25922 ^a	Ciprofloxacin	
Klebsiellapneumoniae	GMC	Streptomycin	
Shigellaflexneri	GMC	Trimethoprim	
Vibrio cholerae	GMC	Chloramphenicol	
Pathogenic fungi			
Aspergillus fumigatus	GMC	Amphotericin B	
Candida albicans	ATCC 90028 ^a	Amphotericin B	
Cryptococcus neoformans	GMC	Amphotericin B	
Fouling bacteria			
Aeromonashydrophila	FCP ^c	Gentamycin	
Aeromonassalmonicida	FCP	Gentamycin	
Alcanivoraxborkumensis	FCP	Gentamycin	
Alcanivoraxsp.	FCP	Gentamycin	
Allivibriosalmonicida	FCP	Gentamycin	
Erythrobacterlitoralis	FCP	Gentamycin	
Planococcusdonghaensis	FCP	Penicillin	
Pseudoalteromonassp	FCP	Penicillin	
Pseudomonas mendocina	FCP	Penicillin	
Vibrio furnissii	FCP	Gentamycin	

^aObtained from Microbiologics, Inc. (USA), ^bGMC obtained from Goa Medical College and Hospital; ^cFCP, fouled copper panels.

Fig. 1

Antibacterial, antifungal,antifouling,anti-inflammatory and anticancer results for 98 extracts of cyanobacteria, micro- and macroalgae collected from the Aegean Sea. Results are expressed as% effect compared to untreated control. Extracts were tested at final concentration of 100 mg/ml (antimicrobial microdilution assays, anti-inflammation assays), 50 mg/ml (antiproliferation assays) or 1 mg/disc (antimicrobial agar diffusion assays). For larity, color scale has been applied to highlight the differences in values.

			Antibacterial	Antifungal	Antifeuling	Anti-inflammatory	Anticancer	
			(MRSA)			g §		
			. 3 3 3	3 6	the safe court of the court of	othwite days		
			And the same of th	death of the	Propieti Phane Pared Stand Sta	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
			recount for second of seco	ang/gen angles county	erity	cys activati nal survival I microglial II microglial II please release clease		
				Aspergilli Candida. Osgatoven		B # 2 H F K G R	MCP-7 MCP-10 LNCAP PC-1	
	Specimen III							
ŧ	105103 105001	Calethris cristacca Grifforiacma sp.	2 2 0 6 0 0 0	0 13 0 0 25 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	41 0 2 1 1 2 2 2 nd nd nd nd nd nd nd nd	4 5 6 2 6 4 10 2	
Cyanobacteria	105003	Halespirulina sp. Orcillatoria rosca	4 15 0 8 0 0 0 12 19 0 8 0 0 0	0 34 0 0 23 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22 2 3 2 4 1 5 6 25 1 4 3 4 2 2 5	3 3 4 1 5 3 2 1	
38	108155	Orcillatoria 13. Fiormidian 13.	-5 -59 0 n.d. 0 0 0	0 23 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 2 2 1 5 4 3 4 10 2 5 2 2 1 1 3	0 6 11 5	
٠	105002 105014	Facudoscillatoria sp. Amphora of capitollata	1 11 0 4 0 0 0 68 83 0 15 0 0 0	0 21 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 0 2 3 5 3 1 5 ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad	0 1 7 5	
	105011	Chlerella sp.	-41 -2 0 3 0 0 0	0 -107 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 30 0 20	44 0 2 4 5 7 6 4	-6 n.d. 12 2	
	105009	Chlorella 19. Chrysoreinhardia 19.	77 10 0 4 0 0 0 45 41 0 1 0 0 0	0 -170 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	36 0 5 4 3 7 6 4 32 3 4 2 5 4 6 6	7 p.d. 10 1 2 p.d. 6 4	
	105149 105008	Cylindretheca electorium Dunalicila salina	-5 -16 0 4 0 0 0 -27 -21 0 4 0 0 0	0 17 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 2 2 1 4 0 4 5 41 0 4 2 6 5 5 5	-5 8 10 -2 -7 n.d. 13 3	
5	108154	Dunaliella sp. Nannechloregais sp.	-14 -105 0 t.d. 0 0 0	0 22 0	0 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0	21 4 4 4 4 5	4 11 17 0 4 n.4 22 12	
Microsigae	105160	Monofractalism ap.	-62 -8 0 23 0 0 0	40 -28 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	23 2 4 2 8 4 5 6	3 nd 8 2	
8	106152 108150	Nitzschia communiz Nitzschia sp.	-10 59 0 3 0 0 0 -14 -4 0 5 0 0 0	0 -11 0 0 33 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 1 1 2 3 4 1 5 23 2 3 2 3 2 5 6	5 12 14 1	
	105151	Nitrochia thermalis Ochresphaera sp.	9 71 0 6 0 0 0	0 13 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 1 2 3 3 4 4 6 39 0 3 2 4 8 8 6	38 10 59 72 8 n.d. 14 3	
	105153 105147	Phacedactylum tricornatum Picochlorum sp.	12 27 0 13 0 0 0 7 72 0 2 0 0 0	0 -3 0 0 12 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23 2 1 1 3 4 2 4 22 5 3 4 4 1 1 5	7 10 10 0 6 8 10 4	
	105146 105148	Frasinococcus sp. Tetrasolodis striata	4 21 0 10 0 0 0	0 3 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	23 4 2 3 2 2 5 6	6 7 11 65 6 10 11 -2	
	105099	Caulerpa racemena	-2 0 0 3 0 0 0		0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	35 0 1 1 1 3 2 1	7 7 10 7	
	105020	Caulerya racemeta Caulerya tutifolia	40 41 0 4 0 19 0 19 34 0 6 0 0 0	0 -41 0 0 -5 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	34 48 54 45 47 55 39 55 ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad	1 nd 3 5	
	105018	Chactemerpha acrea Cladestephus kirsutus	0 47 0 2 0 0 0	0 30 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	59 48 45 45 54 38 42 44 ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad	-3 1 1 -5	
	108056	Codium fragile Codium fragile	13 -10 0 1 0 0 0 11 3 0 3 0 0 0	0 1 0	0 0 37 48 0 0 0 37 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 0 5 3 5 4 6 4 35 0 2 2 6 4 4 4	2 n.4 8 0 2 n.4 10 2	
	105040	Codium fragile Colpomenia sixueca	3 38 0 5 0 0 0 11 102 0 15 0 0 0	0 49 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	25 1 3 3 3 4 5 4	6 7 15 e3	
	108045 108107	Colporaceia sinuore Corallina officinalis	-2 -88 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 -34 0 -24 0 0 0	0 -51 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	25 2 3 3 5 1 6 5	-5 5 12 1	
	105076	Corollina officinalis	9 -76 0 1 0 0 0	0 46 0	0 0 37 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	nd nd nd nd nd nd nd nd	3 4 13 1	
	105034	Cistleria multifida Cistencira barbata	9 -52 0 5 0 0 0 -1 -5 0 -4 0 0 0	0 -42 0 0 5 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 2 4 4 2 4 4 5 38 0 1 2 2 1 1 1	-8 3 12 -4 5 9 9 8	
	108065	Costosoira barbata Costosoira barbata	39 46 0 1 0 0 0 32 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 •137 ¢	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 27 40 29 0 0 23 0 0 0 0 0 27 0 0	27 2 4 4 2 4 1 5 25 3 3 3 3 5 4 1	12 n.d. 8 8 4 4 5 2	
	109022-1 105030	Cystossira harbata Cystossira harbata	22 27 0 9 0 0 0	0 -190 0	0 0 44 60 0 0 0 57 0 37 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 17 0 0	44 0 3 4 5 6 4 4 28 2 6 4 3 4 5 5	3 n.d. 6 2 5 4 13 6	
	108035 108044	Costoseira barbata, reproductivo Costoseira compressa	12 86 0 5 0 0 0 33 11 50 2 0 0 0	0 •103 ¢	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 4 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	9 39 47 2 n.4 3 6	
	105110	Contractra crisita Contractra crisita	9 5 0 4 0 0 0	0 -47 0	0 0 15 0 0 0 23 7 0 0	37 0 1 2 1 1 3 4	2 3 8 2	
	108062	Cotescine crinite	11 42 0 1 0 0 0	0 -46 0	0 0 41 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad	-1 5 12 0 -6 7 8 2	
	105027	Castescina crinita Castescina crinita, reproductivo	28 13 0 9 0 0 0 -1 44 0 2 0 0 0	0 -139 0	0 0 48 64 0 0 0 60 0 51	55 0 4 5 5 4 4 2 63 55 49 48 59 35 47 48	89 11 42 59	
	105108	Cysteocira spinesa Cysteocira stricta	12 -6 0 -6 0 0 0 -5 -10 0 -4 0 0 0	0 -1 0 0 -45 0	0 6 7 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	57 37 37 39 27 16 23 24 22 4 3 1 5 6 6 1	1 7 9 7	
	105058	Dictyopteris membranacea Dictyopteris membranacea, reproductivo	-4 -81 0 11 0 0 0 -10 -97 0 0 0 0 0	0 21 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25 3 3 1 1 5 1 4 26 2 2 2 4 4 6 5	2 •7 24 •1	
	105043	Dilephus fanciela	10 101 0 2 0 0 0	0 -51 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	65 63 55 58 65 45 54 51 38 0 1 2 2 1 2 1	-6 1 13 -4	
	108054	Енгегопогріка сотресега Енгегопогріка Пиза	18 26 0 6 0 0 0	0 8 0	0 0 56 56 0 0 0 47 0 37	33 0 3 2 5 5 6 5	3 64 11 1	
	105061	Enteremorpha linza Enteremorpha linza	5 81 0 1 0 0 0 25 1 0 1 0 0 0	0 -89 0 0 -147 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 37 48 0 0 0 47 0 31	36 0 5 4 6 5 3 3	3 6 10 3 3 a.d. 8 1	
Macroalgae	105017	Enteromorpha linza Gelidione latifolium	3 69 0 0 0 0 0 0 60 33 0 2 0 0 0	0 44 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31 3 4 3 1 1 7 2 26 3 5 3 3 5 4 3	0 0 1 7	
Egg.	109024-1 105050	Gracilaria verrucesa Gracilaria verrucesa	10 -12 0 3 0 0 0 -1 -17 0 6 0 0 0	0 -137 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	40 0 2 4 4 3 3 1 33 4 4 2 5 5 1 5	-1 n.4. 10 3 -6 6 7 -5	
~	105014	Gracilaria verrucena Gracilaria verrucesa , expespecoplyte	24 -16 0 9 0 0 0 10 97 0 19 0 0 0	0 -147 0	0 0 48 44 0 0 0 47 0 37 0 0 2 5 D 0 2 0 D 0	41 0 5 5 5 4 3 2	4 n.d. 6 1	
	105105	Halepteris scoparia	1 46 0 -19 0 0 0	0 -11 0	0 0 7 24 0 0 0 0 0 0	41 0 1 2 2 2 4 2	3 3 7 3	
	105068	Haloptorio scoparia Haloptorio scoparia	-3 -104 0 0 0 0 0 0 14 -73 0 5 0 0 0	0 2 0	0 0 57 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad	0 2 11 2 6 4 14 3	
	105019	Halopteris scoparia Hyposa muscifermis	5 30 0 2 0 0 0	0 -232 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	37 0 4 3 7 4 4 4 32 5 3 3 1 1 1 6	95 95 92 65	
	1050/3 105033	Hypnea muscifermis Jania robons	-4 -95 0 -2 0 0 0 1 -30 0 -3 0 0 0	0 -51 0 0 -238 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	23 6 2 1 0 6 0 3 65 45 53 55 28 25 27 22	95 95 94 60 -1 n.d. 10 3	
	108125 108063	Laurencia obtura Laurencia papillosa	5 21 0 2 0 0 0	0 475 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 33 0 0	21 3 3 3 5 6 nd nd nd nd nd nd nd nd	-1 n.d. 10 3 30 n.d. 30 6 8 5 6 a)	
	105157 105041	Laurencia papillosa	-62 -41 0 0 0 0 0	0 -133 0 0 -56 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 3 4 2 4 4 5 1 30 2 3 2 5 1 4 6	55 n.d. 44 14	
	108073	Laurencia papillosa Liagora viscida	-5 -25 0 2 0 0 0	0 -30 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad	4 9 11 4	
	105102 105104	Fadina parenica Fadina parenica	10 0 1 0 0 0		0 0 7 0 0 0 20 0 11 0 10 6 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0	50 36 39 44 27 23 26 27 42 0 2 2 3 3 3 3	3 6 8 4	
	105100	Padina pavonica Padina pavonica	19 33 0 13 0 0 0 17 21 0 2 0 0 0	0 -5 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 33 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 0 1 1 1 4 3 2 ad ad ad ad ad ad ad ad	0 5 10 4	
	105074	Padina perenica Padina perenica	3 66 0 3 0 0 0 16 26 0 2 0 0 0	0 -63 0	0 0 44 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ad	6 2 7 •4 2 8 12 1	
	105023	Padina pavonica Padina pavonica	-16 -60 0 24 0 0 0 39 7 0 9 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	22 1 3 3 4 5 6 5 42 0 6 2 4 3 5 6	-1 2 7 -3	
	105072	Fadina parenica	1 75 0 2 0 0 0	0 -65 0	0 0 64 0 0 0 0 0 0	ad ad nd ad ad ad ad ad	4 4 7 -1	
	105021 105156	Fetalonia fascia Polyziphonia clongata	-37 -17 50 1 0 0 0 -85 -2 70 7 0 43 0	40 -34 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 21 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 21 0 55 0 0	23 3 4 4 5 6 2 2 23 2 5 3 5 5 2 4	1 0 4 3 9 n.4. 5 6	
	105042	Sargaesum volgare Taonia atomaria	9 16 0 4 0 0 0	0 •35 Ø 0 •3 Ø	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 0 0 0 7 0 0 0	28 1 4 4 4 4 5 5 42 0 2 1 1 4 3 3	3 5 8 5	
	105101	Uhra rigida Uhra rigida	0 23 0 13 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	37 0 1 1 2 6 2 2 32 0 3 2 4 5 0 3	4 2 9 4	
	108016-1	Ulva rigida Ulva rigida	13 -19 0 1 0 0 0 5 11 0 10 0 0 0	0 -282 0 0 -35 0	0 0 41 0 0 0 0 37 0 29	45 0 2 2 6 4 5 6	7 s.4. 10 1 5 6 10 5	
	105060	Olva rigida	5 -68 0 8 0 0 0	0 -92 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ad ad ad ad ad ad ad	-6 6 11 1	
	108025	Uhra rigida Uhra rigida	-13 -41 0 -5 0 0 0	0 -41 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	25 2 4 4 3 4 6 4	3 2 3 4	
Sea grass	105036 105036	Posidonia occanica Zestera marina	9 428 0 3 0 0 0	0 45 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	27 1 3 5 4 5 4 6 19 2 2 2 3 1 4 4	4 5 15 3 6 5 11 3	
s.A., set determined								
			-100 -FO 0 50 100					

16

Figure 2.Dose-response results for selected specimen against tumorigenic (MCF-7) and non-tumorigenic (MCF-10) breast cell lines, and two tumorigenic prostate cell lines (LNCaP, PC-3) at 0.5 μ g/ml (light grey), 5 μ g/ml (mid-grey) and 50 μ g/ml (dark grey) concentration.

