## Paleoecology of fossil diatoms (the thermometers of salinity) of lake Bonneville, Utah U. S. A.

M. G. ANANTHA PADMANABHA SETTY



Setty, M. G. A. P. (1979). Paleoecology of fossil diastoms (the thermometers of salinity) of Lake Bonneville, Utah. Proc. IV int. palynol. Conf., Lucknow (1976-77) 2: 104-118.

Kolbe (1927) described diatoms as indicators of salinity hence the fossil diatoms can yield paleoecological information of considerable importance to the geologist regarding the environment in which their enclosing sediments were deposited.

The progressive increase in salt content of the former fresh water Lake Bonneville to slightly saline to highly saline and to the extremely saline conditions of the present day Great Salt Lake is revealed by the different types of fossil diatoms that are characteristic of different saline conditions found as diatomite deposit at various terraces of the lake.

The diatom assemblages differentiated into their respective habitats show that Cyclotella meneghiniana, Epithemia hyndmanii, Cymbella tumida, and Surirelia ovalis are characteristic of Bonneville and Alpine sediments at 5,130-4,340 ft levels (in eligohalobien or freshwater condition); Surirella regina, Navicula formosa, Cambylodiscus Sicostata, Amphora Kyaliana, Synedra pulchello, Nitzchia clausii and Denticula valida are chatacteristic of Provo sediments at 4,840-4,800 ft levels (in mesohalobien or brackish water), and Navicula peregrina, N. impressa. and Nitzschia scutellum are characteristic of Stansbury level (in cuhalobien waters). This cuhalobien condition is, similar to marine water conditions. As the water level of the lake fell further slowly, thus increasing its salinity, the diatom population decreased very sharply and finally disappeared At 4,194 feet the Lake Bonneville is only a remnant and is presently the Great Salt Lake of Utah, having a salinity of 276%. It is estimated that the saline content changed at the rate of 1% per foot in stages, which is due to variation in the balance between precipitation and inflow and evaporation and outflow.

Key-words—Palynology, Palaeoccology, Diatoms, Utah, U. S. A. M. G. A. P. Setty, National Institute of Oceanography, Panjim. Goa 403001, India.

A large body of fresh water of Pleistocene time known as Lake Bonneville in Utah was approximately equal to that of Lake Michigan, It orginally covered an area of 19,750 sq miles, stood at a maximum elevation of 5,200 feet above the sea level, having an approximate maximum depth of 1,050 feet (Gilbert, 1890). It enclosed more than half of Utah State and one of its embayments even extended into the neighbouring State of Nevada. It was fed by three fresh water rivers, viz., the Jordan, the Weber, and the Bear. As the lake had no outlet, as a result of high rate of evaporation and closing of its drainage system, the Lake Bonneville gradually became saltire and stood at several levels forming terraces. Today, it is occupying a very small area and is known as the Great Salt Lake. A number of major and minor terraces formed are very prominently seen in western Utah which are part erosional and in part depositional and represent fairly a constant elevation of the lake which stood for a considerable time and thus attests to the existence of the lake. Three of these

terraces namely, (1) the Bonneville, at 5,135 fees (Bissell, 1963, P 107); (2) the Provo, at 4, 820 feet (Jones & Marsell, 1955, P 97); and (3) the Stansbury, at about 4,500 feet (Gilbert, 1890, p. 134-135; Bissel, 1963, p. 122) are very prominent. Another prominent beach marked a stillstand of the lake, has been called as the Gilbert shore line (Eardley The intermediate et al, 1975 p. 1169). terraces of Bonneville and Provo are referred to as the Alpine terraces which are believed to have been marked by a lake earlier than the Lake Bonneville (Hunt et al, 1953, p. 17). The Alpine sediments include those of Bonnevile, the Alpine and partly the Provo 1 and partly the Provo 2 stages (Jones & Marsell 1955, p. 103; Eardley et al. 1957, p. 1167). These terraces and a number of other minor terraces al all levels are characterised by sedimentary deposits. of Bonneville lake deposits is correlated with the Wisconsin Glacial epoch of the Pleistocene period (Hasler & Crawford, 1938). The age of Stansbury deposit is estimated as to be 23,000 to 13,500 years (Schreiber, 1958)

The Lake Bonneville was a fresh water lake, while the present day remanent of it, the Great Salt Lake, showed a salt content of 276% when it stayed at 4,194 feet and it is estimated that the saline content changed at the rate of 1% per foot in stage (Eardley, 1938, p. 1319). This concentration is considered to be due to a variation in the balance between precipitation and inflow, and evaporation and outflow.

Among the sedimentaries found at various levels or terraces of the Lake Bonneville, pockets of diatomaceous earth or diatomate are found as white clayey outcrops in the protected regions of the mountains and other former islands. Wimber and Crawford (1933) reported the occurence of flat-lying diatomite deposits of nearly 40 feet thick along the western shores of the Great Salt Lake. These outcrops are patchy and occur as mounds composed of several layers of elastic sediment and white fluffy and soft diatomaceous material.

A total of 126 species of diatoms are identified from the samples collected at various terraces. Many of these diatoms have their living representatives today, while some others are found to be reworked from the Pliocene and probably earlier? Tertiary deposits. In the present paper the varying phases of salinity indicated by diatom assemblages as 'thermometers of salinity' of Lake Bonneville are presented (Chart 1).

## SALINITY, WATER AND CLASSIFICATION

Unless otherwise stated the salinity of a water body is normally considered as marine when its salt content is between 30-40%, as fresh water when it is less than 5%, s brackish water when it is between 5 to 30%, s; as hypersaline water when it is between

40-80% S. The range of salinity between 5% to 10% S is considered as Stenohaline or Stenosaline waters, while the range between 10 to 30% S is termed as Euryhaline or Eurysaline waters (Kinne, 1964). The author feels at this juncture, that it would be convenient to divide euryhaline waters of Kinne (1964), as mesohaline or mesosaline waters when the range is between 10-20%, and euryhaline or eurysaline waters referred to when the range is 20-30% Instead of treating the entire 10-20% range euryhaline or eurysaline waters.

The distribution of diatom populations in various environments and in different regimes shows, that the salinity influence cannot be underestimated. The progressive increase in salt content of the former fresh water body, the Lake Bonneville, to slightly saline to more saline and later to highly saline conditions of the present day Great Salt Lake is revealed by the application of the halobic system of diatoms that are characteristic of different saline conditions. Thus the fossil diatoms can yield valuable paleoecological information to the geologist regarding the environment of deposition.

According to Kolbe (1927) the diatoms are indicative of salinity of water than any other organic group and through specific types of diatoms a qualitative determination of the salt content in it can be made. Kolbe's system of salinity regime was revised by Budde (1931, 1933) and later by Hustedt (1953, 1957).

Kinne (1971) pointed out several major differences in the classifications of halobic systems of diatoms as proposed by Budde and Hustedt (l. c.) Hence, this author prefered to follow Kolbe's classification with minor modifications, which is as follows:

Chart 1: Classification of water based on salinity and critical diatom indices

Euhalobien (Marine)
 Euhalob euryhaline
 Euhalob stenohaline

2. Mcsohalobien

Mesohalob euryhaline

Mesohalob mesohaline

30% to 40% of salt content Completely marine but never brackish, e. g. Gorathron. Chiefly marine but does occur in brackish water though weakly developed, e. g. Achnanthidium brevipus f. typ.

(brackish)-5%00 to 20%00 of salt content Chiefly brackish water but occassionally in less saline water but never in fresh water, e. g. Achnanthidium brevipus var. interrupta. Chiefly brackish water but also in somewhat more saline waters. e. g. Navicula salinarum, Diploesis interrupta. Chiefly brackish but also found in fresh water. c. g. Bacillarium paradoxa.

a projekt povete povete projekt povete projekt i projekt i povete projekt povete povete povete povete povete p

3. / Oligohalobien --- Halophil "j"...al

> 1 . 1 - 1 . . Indifferent

Halophobe

(fresh water) 5% and less of salt content. (curyhaline fresh water) definite salt dosages stimulate the growth, e. g. Cyclotella meneghiniana, Navicula hungarica Diatoma elongatum. (mesohaline fresh water) usually termed fresh water but many take a little salt too-(Limnophil), e. g. Cymbella sp., Cyclotella sp., Gomphonema sp., Tetracyolus sp. (Stenohaline fresh water) totally fresh water, not even minute quantities of salt tolerated, (Sphagnophil) e. g. Enotia stenoptorobia certain Pinnularia sp. (Common in Peat bogs and mountain lakes).

## DISCUSSION AND PALEOECOLOGY

Though these major divisions are made, considerable caution is necessayy in interpreting the ecological conditions. Boundaries between division of the water bodies are vague because they are susceptible to rapid variations in salinity by factors like currents, inflow and dilution, suspended matter and turbidity, settling debris and organic matter and other factors. Broad inferences drawn from the available data should, therefore, be taken with caution. However, the value of diatoms as thermometers of salinity is undisputed.

The diatom assemblages of the diatomite collected were differentiated in to their respective habitats and their planktonic/benthonic ratios were determined. An analysis of this data revealed that the appearance and the degree of abundance of certain species will reflect the salinity conditions of the water at

those strand lines

1. At 5,135 to 5,050 foot levels—This level is marked by the Bonneville and Alpine sediments. The water at 5, 135 and 5, 050 foot levels was fresh or Oligohalobien (oligohalobin different) in condition. This is indicated by the presence of species like Cyclotella meneghiniana, Epithemia hyndmanii, Cymbella tumida,

Surirella ovalis,, and Surirella elegans.

2. At 4,840 foot level—The water at this clevation is considered still fresh (oligohalob-Indifferent) However, it seemed to tend slowly to oligohalob-halophile to mesoholobien condition as seen by an increase in species like Melosira varians, Rhoicosphenia curvata, Cymbella aspera, Surirella ovalis var. ovala, Cymatopleura solea and others. Some of the mesohalobien and even the euhalobien forms which occur in this assemblage Chart 2-3; Table 1) are fragmentary and hence should be considered as displaced fauna and partly those of Provo (1 and/or2) sediments.

3. At 4.830 foot level—The above species continue to exist here but they are supplemented by the introduction of halophile to mesohalob-mesohaline types like Nuvicula formosa, Surirella regina, Campyiodiscus bicostatus and others. The presence or the oligohalobindifferent species in the assemblage indicates that they were either relict or appeared when the lake became fresh again. The excellent state of preservation and the high ratio of benthonic over the planktonic species indicates quite water and nearshore deposition. The presence of estuarine species like Synedra ulna suggests that the lake must have been fed by fresh water streams nearby.

4. At 4,820 foot level (Provo Strandline)—At this level an increase of mesohalob-mesohaline species like Synedra lancesolata, Synedra pulchella, Epithemia argus var. alpestris, Amphora hyalina, Navicula smchii, Surirella striatuta and others are noticed. It further indicates a quiet Bissel (personal shallow water condition. communication, 1962) stated that of diatoms collected by him and Lohman (USGS diatom locality No. 3304 and Bissell coll. no. 311a) from the silt member of Provo formation of Goshen Valley east of Tintic mountains. some of the dominant species indicate estuarine conditions and some of them are living today in the Pyramid Lake of Nevada.

5. At 4,800 foot level—At this level the mesohalob-mesohaline species continue to flourish and Nitzchia clausii, Denticula valida and

Amphora pellucida predominate.

The lithology and poor preservation and fragmentary nature of diatoms here indicate agitated waters; the presence of some oligohalob forms suggests displaced and/or reworked material and presence of concretions of diatomite indicates current transportation, and lastly the presence of large amounts of pollen and spores suggests distrophic waters in a near-shores environment.

14. meropiuse 16. Coccomissus in 18. Cyclotelia minia 20. Cyclotelia contra 20. Cyclotelia contra 20. Cyclotelia contra 20. Cyclotelia contra 20. Cyclotelia c	isolo Bolley no Agordo ris Gurson ris Etternery ristol ris	F	2 7 7	3 	F	R	. 6	2 77 2 4781 R	8 1300	R F	LOC IOC R	+-		NUME		ANC		EVAT	18 1000 :	(G)	20 4410 :	C C	22 4750 : R A	23	24 4741 -	25	26 4400 ·	27 **50;	28 ***** F	29 NAMO : F	30 4400; R	31 4520 · R R
3 Meisure under A. Meisure under S. Michael et al. Meisure de S. Michael et al. Mich	ata Agarah ris Grunow ns Kutung a Kutung a Kensatah ris Asammal risas A, A	F	E	R		R	. 4520		4900	R	c	+-			н	4030	F	17	A		20 4430 :	c	R	23	74 114)	25	F	С	_	c	30 45031 R	R
3 Meisure under A. Meisure under S. Michael et al. Meisure de S. Michael et al. Mich	ata Agarah ris Grunow ns Kutung a Kutung a Kensatah ris Asammal risas A, A	-	E	R		<del> </del>					C R	F			H					c		С			F		F	С	_	c	R	R
. Melawra sociata S. Melawra S. Melaw	ris Grunos  75 Nutring  a Enerstein  A Semedi  Angere Enerstein  A	F			-	<del> </del>									R					-		С			F					С	R	R
Melostro distore     Melo	ns, Kutung a Einstein an Einstein an Einstein an Einstein an Einstein an Inngare Einenberg astroe Einenberg astroe Einenberg mitdulus Graph gerühlt an Einstein Einstein an Einstein Einst				-	<del> </del>									R				Ē											С		С
6. Melatra statical  6. Melatra statical  7. Meladinaca val  8. Stephandiacus  10. Sephandiacus  10. Sephandiacus  10. Sephandiacus  10. Sephandiacus  10. Sephandiacus  11. Controdutus  12. Controdutus  13. Controdutus  14. Controdutus  15. Controdutus  16. Controdutus  17. Controdutus  18. Controdutus  18. Controdutus  18. Controdutus  18. Sephandiacus  18. Nordutus  18	a Entervien  ters A. Schmid  nagare Ehrenberg  nagare Ehrenberg  nitidatus Gregots  populatus Enterherg  settlings of the settlings of the settlings					<del> </del>										<del>  _</del>	=	=												С		Ě
	magare Ehrenberg strate					<del> </del>							-		Ε	T	ļ						-							С		
J. Ospidonosticus II. Controllativa III. Controllativa II	ostrae Energery Indulus Graphy ppculatus Energery ppculatus Chembery ppculatus Chembery aireaustistadent Sertin trus vos capacidentes Sertin trus vos capacidentes pagno Cercilie conferentes Serty n. s.p. tegno Cercilie to Sertin to Serty n. s.p. tegno Cercilie to W. Simin to Graphy					<del> </del>						F			<b>⊢</b> …							F		_				С				
11. Controlations of programmers of the controlation of the contro	poculato a Chembra  veltars A Chambra  veltars A Ch					R					<u> </u>	<b>—</b>	1	$\Gamma^{-}$		-	+		_		1	F					<del> </del>	С				٠.,
1.5. porceta 1.5. fickscnooscy at morpholos 1.5. fickscnooscy at morpholos 1.5. fickscnooscy at 17. Controlled to the control of the control	anievostistadeni Setty n. pa intu var. campeachinan scalarimis Setty n. pa igano, Greelle scalarimis Setty n. pa pagnissa scalarimis Setty n. pa pagnissa scalarimis pagnissa scalarimis scala					R					<b>→</b> - —					<u> </u>	=								1 1	1			-		$\rightarrow$	
14. meropiuse 16. Coccomissus in 18. Cyclotelia minia 20. Cyclotelia contra 20. Cyclotelia contra 20. Cyclotelia contra 20. Cyclotelia contra 20. Cyclotelia c	itus var, comeactivin na sicilariii Setti na na sicilariii Setti na na sicilariii Setti na na sicilariii Setti na sicilarii Setti na sicilarii Setti na sicilarii					- N	-					1	┢	+	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	+		<u> </u>		<b>⊢</b> - ,								1			_
16. Gotkmanister, et al.  16. Cyclorelia mena 16. Cyclorelia mena 16. Cyclorelia onique 27. Cyclorelia onique 28. Cyclorelia onique	isgans Greville Cucilierims Sety n.s. phiniana Kulting up W. Smith 10 Kulting o Kulting o Kulting o Granow ca Grunow ina Desmaleres uonii Grunow iteschi Enianberg r. biccos Schoenfela o Kulting o Kulting o Kulting o Kulting				-		-!	<del>-</del> -		<del> </del>		1																_ c _	-	F	=	_
17. Coscinalistas a menili 19. Cyclorial menel 19. Cyclorial control 19. Cyclorial menel 19. Navicula memenol 19. Navicula me	iculiforms Sety n.sn. piphniana Kutting up W. Smith 10 Kutzing a Kutzing a Kutzing a Granow co Grunow ina Desmatleres ionii Orunow riesson Grunow riesson Entenberg i Diceps Schoenfei a Kutzing lo (Relfs) Kutzing lo (Relfs) Kutzing lo (Relfs) Kutzing	-			-			+ _		<u> </u>	1-	$\vdash$	-		<b>⊢</b>	R	-				ļ						_	С			-+	_~
19. Cyclested oning 20. Cyclested oning 20. Cyclested common 21. Cyclested country 22. Cyclested strategy 22. Cyclested construction 22. Cyclested construction 22. Cyclested strategy 22. Cyclested strategy 22. Cyclested strategy 22. Cyclested strategy 23. Cyclested strategy	up W. Smith  D. Kufzing  D. Kufzing  D. Kufzing  D. Kufzing  D. Grahow  Con Grunow  Inn Desmazieres  Lonii Grunow  Itania  Listen  Desmazieres  Lonii Grunow  Itania  Listen  Desmazieres  Lonii Grunow  Listen  Desmazieres  Lonii Grunow  Listen  Desmazieres  Lonii Grunow  Listen  Desmazieres  Listen  Desmazieres  Listen  Listen  Desmazieres  Listen						+-		+			Τ	ļ	-		Ε	T			_			. —		-					-		R
20. Cyclerto somular 22. Cyclerto somular 22. Cyclerto somular 22. Cyclerto somular 22. Cyclerto somular 22. Cyclerto somular 22. Fregularia partis 25. Fregularia partis 26. Fregularia partis 27. Synaria ulno 18/10. 27. Cyclerto somularia 27. Cyclerto somularia 27. Cyclerto somularia 27. Noricula primana 28. Oscornia somularia 28. Noricula primana 29. Novicula primana	10 Kutzing D Kutzing O Grahow CO Grahow CO Grahow Inin Ursmazieres Ionii Grahow Itzsch Enrenberg To becop Schoenfeld Its Kutzing O (Relts) Kutzing O (Relts) Kutzing O (Relts) Kutzing							1_		$\perp$	<u> </u>	†  —	<del>-</del>	· · · -	<del> </del>	R	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	_			- <sub>c</sub>										R
22. Cyctorella striata 22. Cyctorella striata 22. Fragium aguar 22. Fragium aguar 23. Fragium aguar 26. Fragilaria constr 26. Fragilaria constr 26. Fragilaria constr 27. Synedia un tikilia 28. Synedia unin var 39. Ceccomi sucul 31. Rovicula concrotata 31. Novicula concrotata 32. Novicula corretaria 33. Novicula corretaria 34. Novicula corretaria 35. Novicula corretaria 36. Novicula corretaria 36. Novicula corretaria 36. Novicula corretaria 36. Novicula corretaria	no Granow co Grunow inn Desmarleres sonii Grunow ruens Grunow risschi Ehrenberg r. biceps Schoenfeld old Kutzing to (Relfs) Kutzing turvata Grunow	r					<del></del> -	-	┼	+ -	T		1 =	=							ات	c -					-	$\vdash$		-;		
73. Fragium and Time 24. Fragium and County 25. Fragitar and State 26. Fragitar and State 27. Fragitar and State 27. Fragium and State 28. Syndra June 29. Syndra June 29. Syndra June 29. Syndra June 29. Syndra June 29. Syndra 29. Synd	co Grunow ina Desmazieres sonii Grunow iruens Grunow itzschi Ehrenberg r. biccos Schoenfeld pla Kutzing la (Reifs) Kutzing jurvata Grunow	- ,-	<u></u> -		j		. i=-			R	+	H	<del> </del>	├-	<del> </del> -		+								=			C				
26. Fragilaria narrisia 26. Fragilaria consti 27. Synedra ulna (Ni- 28. Synedra ulna vari 28. Synedra ulna vari 29. Synedra pulchellia 30. Synedra pulchellia 31. Halossaheria co 32. Coccones inratalos 33. Coccones inratalos 35. Naricula numulul 36. Naricula corretato 37. Naricula pretesta 38. Naricula pretesta 38. Naricula pretesta 39. Naricula polemica obermica	conii Grunow  Truens Grunow  Itsch) Ehrenberg  F. biceps Schoenfeld  Its Kutzing  Its (Raifs) Kutzing  Its (Raifs) Kutzing	ſ	1	1 -	+		+	+	+	1 =	Γ		Ī	F			F			_			-					$\vdash$ $\dashv$		$\neg$	R	_,
26 Fragilaria constra 77 Synedra ulna Voir 78 Synedra ulna var 79 Synedra tancealo 50 Synedra tancealo 51 Hholcosphenia cu 52 Cocconers scutelli 53 Cocconers lineato 54 Cocconers placen 55 Navicula nummulo 16 Navicula carctata 17 Navicula pretezto 18 Navicula benemica obehmica obehmica	ruens Grunow itzsch) Ehrenberg r. biceps Schoenfeld pla Kutzing la (Ralfs) Kutzing urvata Grunow		Δ.	Ř	1	#		Ť	Ŀ	1-	_	-	H	-	R	l	c		С	Ć.			-	- <sub>7</sub>			C				$=\pm$	
28 Synedra uina var 99 Synedra Duchelia 10. Synedra pulchelia 11. Malcasphenia c. 20 12. Cocconeis scutelli 13. Cocconeis lineato 14. Cocconeis placeneis 15. Navicula nummulci 16. Navicula carctata 17. Navicula prefessi 18. Navicula prefessi 19. Navicula bahemica 19. Navicula bahemica	r. biceps Schoenfeld sta Kutzing la (Rolfs) Kutzing urvata Grunow			_	+	F	+	-	+	+ -	-	_	1	Ε		<u> </u>					F	Ť			-	-	c	С	-	Α	R	R
99 Synedra tanceala 10, Malcasheria cu 10, Malcasheria cu 10, Malcasheria cu 10, Cocconeis sculelli 10, Cocconeis Iineala 10, Cocconeis Iineala 10, Navicula nummula 10, Navicula caarctata 17, Navicula potentia 19, Navicula andromana 19, Navicula bohemica	ota Kutzing la (Relfs) Kutzing urvata Grunow	_	<u> </u>	<del>_</del>	Ι.	드		Τ	<u> </u>	_	1	1 -				ļ <u> </u>		-			1	С		_			F					
30. Synedra pulchello \$1. Hnolcosphenia co \$2. Cocconeis scullello \$3. Cocconeis lincato \$3. Accoconeis placent \$5. Novicula nummulo \$6. Navicula coorcrato \$7. Navicula pretexta \$8. Navicula marmano \$9. Navicula bahemica \$9. Navicula bahemica \$9. Navicula bahemica	a (Relfs) Kutzing urvata Grunow	-		R-	-	+	÷ .	+-	+ -	∔ =	$\perp$	I	R -								R	Ť			-+		Н	<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$		$\rightarrow$	
32. Cocconeis scutelli 33. Cocconeis Tincato 34. Cocconeis Tincato 35. Navicuta nummulo 35. Navicuta coarctoto 37. Navicuta pretexto 38. Navicuta mormano 39. Navicuta bohemico	lum Chrenhaus					$\perp$	$\pm$	#_	‡	R			<u> </u>	-	R	<u> </u>	F .	-	<u> </u>	_ c	R	-			F							R
33. Cocconeis linivato 34. Cacconeis placent 35. Navicula nummulo 36. Navicula caarctote 17. Navicula pretexto 18. Navicula mormano 19. Navicula bohemica	u.n timenoeig ji			<del> </del>	┼		+	<del>-</del>	- R	H		P				ī			F	+	P	R	-;-	۴	R	<u> </u>	F			-	R	F
55. Navicula nummulo 66. Navicula coorstate 17. Navicula pretexta 18. Navicula mormono 59. Navicula bohemica	Ehrenberg		R	R	R	T-	Ψ-	Α	二	1	F	С	_	R		L.	F		<sub>F</sub>		С		F	-	<u>C</u>	1	С		R			
56. Navicula caarctote 57. Navicula pretexto 58. Navicula mormano 59. Navicula bahemica	orio Greville			) ft	1	+-	+-	+-	<u>i</u>	1 —	1	<del> </del>	H-		R					F		F			c		Ľ	EH	╚┪	-F	C	F
88. Navicula mormono 99. Navicula bohemica	a A. Schmidt	C R		=	$\vdash$	F	1	1	1	г	L			<u> </u>		_	<u> </u>	<del>   </del>	R		$\vdash$	-			$\neg$		R	-			=	_
9. Navicula bahemica	orum Grunow			c	c	+-	+ -	1	-	С	ļ						ļ ļ			=											_+	<u>_</u>
O. Navicula peregrino	a Ehrenberg			c	1.5.	I =	-	R	R	<u>_c</u>	н	f_		c		_	F		Ċ	г		R	-+	Ř	R	<u>F</u>	c	-	一		-	-
I. Novicula longe Ra	olfs	:-	_		C	+		+	ł	-	<u> </u>	- C	ļ	<b>∤-</b> □	$\vdash$					_							Ť					Ľ.
2 Novicula impresso 3 Navicula acrospher	Urunaw				F	F-:	ļ <u>.</u>	1			T	. F.				i _	<u> </u>		i		<u> </u>							-			=	-
4. Novicuio doctylus .	Kutzing			٠, -	<del> </del>	<del>∤</del> —	+	· ·-	├	-	ł	<b>-</b> -	_	-	F		I	L. Ţ					[	$\Box$								_
5. Navicula fischeri i 6. Navicula radiosa i	A. Schmidt	$\dashv$	-c	_	-	Ţ	<u> </u>		Γ	1	$\vdash$	1						i	}					$\dashv$	- 7	_	H	$\vdash \exists$	$\vdash$	-,	=	<u> </u>
7. Navicula brebisson	nii Kutzing		<u> </u>	_C R	1	1		_	<del> </del>	-C				C		L						L						С			_	F
8 Navicula oblonga K 9 Navicula formosa C	Kutzing	$\exists$	- 4	С				=							_		-1	- f	-		{	$\dashv$		$\dashv$				-1	-			=
O. Navicula affinis Er	prenderd			С			<del> </del>	┢	-	-	-				· · [					=	R	R			F	С						
I. Navicula firma var. 2. Navicula maculata	r. subunaciata					_	<u> </u>											$\dashv$		_		-					_		$\vdash$		=	=
3 Navicula hombus i	Ehrerberg	R	— <del> </del>	с	F		├-	F	<u> </u>	c -	F -	F	ų	R	F		-					·H								6	$\overline{}$	
4. Navicula ellipsis 5. Navicula smithii B	Setty r. sp.														·- <del>^-</del>		-			R				-i	-12		R		$\Box$	, C	=	=
5. Navicula crabro En	hrenberg	H	F	_F	-F	F	<b></b>	·	F	F	F	f	R	H	R	R	F.		F	4	1	R	_R		н		F	7	R	R	c	С
7, Pinnularla major (K 3, Pinnularia nobilis	Kutzing) Cleve								R				-		+	-		$\rightarrow$	}		-		-+			1	A		$\rightarrow$		R	=
9, Planularia viridis	Ehrenberg	-+	— t		-	<del> </del>	-	F	R									= 1	-	=				_			Λ			F	R	
. Calonels amphishae	lis W. Smith				F.							F						-	-	F	—}	R	<del>-i</del>	F		,	-+	c		-+		$\dashv$
2. Diploneis . elliplica (i	(Kutzing) Cleve		-	F			ļ				_														R					$\pm$		
5. Diploneis Interrupto 4. Stauroneis inclinati	a (Kutzing) Cleve					<u> </u>									F										-+			+	-+		+	$\dashv$
Pleurosigma decorun	m W. Smith	_					<del> </del>					R	!			-7			7								R			=	F	$\equiv$
. Pleurosigma eximium 7. Gomphonema Ialitha										R						!												-+	$\rightarrow$	$\dashv$		
3 Gomphonema novicu	ulatoris Setty n.sp.	_			-	<del></del>	-	$\vdash$		R	-	$\rightarrow$						-								-					R	F
). Cymbella aspera (Et	hrenberg) Cleve					С		F	<u>}</u>		F				=1	С	c			С					i	-	R	-+	-+	-	R	-
. Cymbella tumida V	on Huerck	С	F	-	- ^-				Ç.		F	C F	- · i	4	C	$\rightarrow$			6		F	F	F	C	F		F			C	F	
Cymbella lanceolata 5 Cymbella cymbiform	O Van Huerak	$\Box$	F										c -				c				г	.f	-+	R	F			$\rightarrow$	<b>-</b> - +	F	-	F
Cymbella ventricasa	Aggrah			ł		L				-			ļ	+	<del>-</del>						F			[						=	$\dashv$	=
. Cymbella bonneville	ensis Setty n.sp.				_ 1												†			$\pm$	r				$\dashv$				-		-+	
Amphora ovalis Ku Amphora cymbifero	Gregory		<u>c</u>	$\dashv$						-		F	-+		- +		F		$\dashv$	F		R	1				F	_	_	F	R	R
Amphoro hyaling K. Amphoro pellucida	utzing							R						F		=t	=		$=$ $\pm$					_	-	-		∵′ ∤∙		$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	$\neg$
,Amphora crassa Gr	regory	-	R	- c	i		$\vdash$					- 1			F		+	-				[.	_	-		=		$\Box$	=	4	_	=
Amphoro profess Gr Epithemia hyndmoni	regory				F			$\neg$	-:			•	<u></u> ;										士		R							$\dashv$
Enithemia argus Ki		Δ .	c T	Δ.	- F		-+		· F.	C	R C	_^_	Α.		Ā -	Α.		. Ţ.	Δ	.^.	C	F	F	fr C	F	コ	F		C C	A	R	С
Epitheroly varial Epithemia turgida	Ipostria Grucow	E F	-1	F				$=\pm$								Ē.			-+				-+		+	1	ΞŦ			-	<u> </u>	$\vdash$
Epithemia Sorex. Ky	utzing	i-				_c	-	<del>c</del>		<del></del> -i	С	-+	C.		1	T	-F-i		i	-+		H	-1.					- 7-			-	二
Epithemia gibberula Epithemia zebro (Eh	a Kutzing			R		$\Box$							_ i				-: f:		. : f			R									_	č
Epithemia gibba var.	ventricosum	Fİ	F				<u></u> -	_ +		!	-		^-	Ŧ		i		<del>[</del> -		,	. 4	. Ft	<u> </u>	- T	. R.					-	7	-7
. Epithemia gitina Ku Nivischia thermatis	palsic	c	С	н		-		-, 1	G.	1							R		F		1	R			-		F			두.).	<u> -</u>	1
Nitzschla oblusifida	o Setty n. se	二	士			1					+	=		_+	- +	<del>i</del>	1-	-+	+	<b>-</b> -∮			T	Ŧ	<b>-</b> -T	_7	'-F		-1	1:	1	- 1
Nitzschia ocicularia Nitzschia olousi: Ha	(Kutzing)W Smith			-				-=	-=	F.	-		-7	_			+		- j-	7	[	- j-	1	- 1	- [-	- 1		.		i-	-4-	-
Nitrschig linearis (4	Agardh) W.Smith												$\equiv$			1			#			4								二二	士	
Nitzchia commutata Nitzschia circumsuta	Grungw	<b>-</b> ∓	$\dashv$			-	i—I			T	-			$ \bot$		f	C .		+					+		, C	-			+	-	
Nitzschia scalaris V	W Smith							$\equiv$									= #	=‡	A	7	#	$\dashv$	-			#	4.		$=\downarrow$	$\pm$		
Nitzschia vnida Cle Nitzschia distans Gi	eve eve			R	R		$\Box$		7		-7	-7	寸		Ŧ	+	:-	_ +			_+		<u>-</u> †-	+-		С	_+	_+		-+	+	
Nitzschia punctota (	(W. Smith) Grunow									—- <del> </del>		=t							7	_		_	7			c	_		$\neg$	$\dashv$	$\mp$	コ
Nizzschia panduriter Hantzschia amphiox	imis Gregory	<b>-</b> Ŧ		$\dashv$	$\vdash$	-	$\mapsto$	С									_+		_+	_	_+		_	_	_+	C A	_	_+	F	+	_+	$\dashv$
Denticula Ipula Raile	ley .	R			$\equiv$								R			7			$\perp$	7			7		_			$\dashv$			$\dashv$	F
Denticula thermalis Denticula valida(Per	Kutzing	干			<del></del>	7	$\Box$			R	F				R	- +	R			_+	+	_	+	-+-	-+		R		-+	-+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$
Cymatopleura solec	W. Smith	$\exists$														ė T	R	#	_			$\equiv$	,	_	_		$\neg \neg$	$\dashv$			R	二
Surinella stelatula T Surinella ovalis Ure		R	H E	F	۲.	C C	I	H	-C	F	C -		R	F .			C C		G C	F	F		F	R	R		F F			C	C F	C
Surirella ovalis Bre		-+	<u>-</u> -	ċ						- · ·			=	==	=	=	F		-7	-	F		_	_	$\equiv$	$\dashv$	F		$\dashv$		$\neg$	$\neg$
Surirella biseriata B	Brebisson	7		=	$\vdash \exists$	F		$\neg$	$\Box$	_=			-+		_			_	F	_+	_	-+	+	-	+	+	-+	-+	+	-+		C F
Suriretto angusta K Suriretta utanensis				<u> </u>					=1				<del>-</del> -	=#		7				_	-								$\rightarrow$	#	#	
Surirena regina Ja	nisch				<b>—</b> I	F	$\Box$			.—]	-+		+	+		F	F	_+	_+		-+	+	+	+	+	-+	R			+	-	$\dashv$
Surfrello crumeno l	Brebisson	R	_			c							=‡			7		=	F	_		$\dashv$	#	$\Rightarrow$	$\Rightarrow$		_	$\dashv$	_	r		コ
Surfrella rostrata. S	Setty n. sp.		$\exists$					-7				-,-		$\rightarrow$	+	+	+		+	-+				+	-+-			-+	-+	+	-+	$\dashv$
Surirella rhombus Surirella roburu S	Setty n.sp	+						士	二士			F		-4	_		-		. +	_				#	_				$\Rightarrow$	===	#	$\exists$
Surtrella elegans E	Ehrenberg				F		$\square$			- +		<del></del> +	-+	-+		-+	- R	-+	+	-	-			+	+	-+	+	-+-				c
Surirella spiendida CompyloJiscus hiber	rnicus Etrentero		-			Ŕ		+			$=\bot$	=1		$\exists$	н	E .		二	#			_	#	_		$\Rightarrow$	۲		$\Rightarrow$	F	н	$\exists$
. Campylodiscus ehren	nbergii Rolfs	$=$ $\pm$	_		F				=	<b>-</b>			-+	-+	R	+	$\dashv$	- <u>+</u> -	+	+	R	$\dashv$	-+	+		$\dashv$	-	_+		_+	$\pm$	$\dashv$
Campylodiscus bicos	otone W. Cmith	$\dashv$			$\vdash$		<b>├</b> <del> </del>	_+				=	$\equiv$	=	$\Rightarrow$			_	_		R	$\pm$	=t		#	=			<b>-</b> F	丰	R	R
Campylodiscus noric	cus Enrenberg	H	1			н		1	I		<u> </u>	1			<u> </u>	1				н		<u></u>				i				<u></u>		<del>=</del>

AMES OF DIATOMS	-		_				_ :				Œ	L V	110	15		_		r	++>>		4970	4950	1000	1050	5115
	700	6745	+ 4 OU	4420	••••	4440	4500	4523	*530	460^	4700	•130	4740	4765	4760	4800	4020	4810	4633	4840	4970	4950	1000		
Melocina granutario binitry Virtualira vallara Agardh Melocina undulata Agardh Melocina scularis Grunon	- 1			_	-=	=		. =				_==		E	= 1	*-	=					E	¥	<u> </u>	
Melosira scularis Grundin	_			_=				Ţ				-	-	-		-			_	_				<u></u>	
Melasira distant Kutrina Melasira solido Enlestein Mejandiscus valens a Schmidt		H!		=	_:	:			ļ	=			Ε.	ㄷ	-		=	F 1			<u> </u>	-	⊨.		
St. annodiscus voiem & Schmidt		<u> </u>	-	_	i -				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	-	<u> </u> _			-	Η.			-:-	1	Ε.	F	一	
GLI annodiscus inggre Enrenberg Srephanudiscus astrae Enrenberg Cascinosticus altraulus Gregory Costrodiscus apiculatus Enrenberg Gostrodiscus apiculatus Enrenberg Gostrodiscus ventus A Schmidt	_	<u> </u>	-:	F-	-	⊢-	ī -	Γ.	-	-	Ĺ-	-	Ė			=	-	<u> </u>		-	; =	1	E	-	=
Costinacistus apiculatus Ententiera	_	<u>'</u>	1	1 =	1	-		-		μ.	-	-	<del>-</del>	L	=	=	-	i _	=	ᅳ	1=	=	<u> </u>	1	-
parcelainen Wylodde Selfy		<u> </u>	Ė	i	Τ.		-	. =	1-	<u> </u>	Ī	Γ.	<u> </u>	1 -	1	L	<u></u>	<u> </u>	i –	† · -	+ -	1=	1 =	1_	=
parcelainen, Usladder Selfy margiculatus var campeachiana Coscinadiscus distribumis Selfy n. sp. Coscinadiscus elegans Gree-IIA	_		Ė	<u>!</u>		├::	¦ -	Ŀ	1	‡:-	ļ	Ī	-	-	-	<u> </u>		Ŀ.	<u>-</u>	E	1_	+-	1=	1.	۳
Consciously of Fernit Constitution of the Cons		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1	<u>.</u>	+	† -	₽.	!	†	Ļ.	i	1 -	<u> </u>	-	- 1		ŀ÷	İΞ	1		-	士	1
Cyclotella meneghinand Kufzing	-	-	F	į.	i	-	i =	<u>L</u> -	÷	! =		<u>+-</u>	!	1	I	_	<del> -</del> -	F	<u></u>	+	Ţ.	+-	<u> </u>	+ -	1
Cyclotella compta Kulting	_	Ţ	1	<u> </u>	Π.	二	Ť٠	ŀ	<u> </u>	- I		<u>_</u>		<u> </u>	=	i	t_	1	<u>i — </u>	1_	+	+	+	+	-
Cyclotella Striata Grunom		L	1	† †	-	<del>-</del>	⊢		T	ή÷.	-	<u>.</u>	<u>i-</u>	+-	۲.	<u></u>	+	+.	<u></u>	Τ-	‡ 7	1-		Ŧ	F
Programa concerns (learner)		1	1_	ļ	‡	Ť	7	-	1		ŀ.	<u> </u>	+ 1	<u> </u>	-	+.		1 -	Τ.	丰	Ε	1	+		Τ-
Fre july real construens Grandw	_	士	1_		‡	1	_	ļ		1	₹.	į .	i.—	1	1	i-	Ι.	上:	-	† ;	Τ.		Τ-	-	
Synedia ulnu (filites:h) Ententiera Synedia ulnu vor birees Schoeideld	-	t		L. '	†_	-	‡_	1	+	1-	ī-	Ţ.	-	Ŧ-,	Ī.	+٠	<u></u>	+-	上.	Ŀ	+	1	_	丰.	+
Synedra funcionara Karring	_	-	╆.	÷.	j	+	<u> </u> .	<u> </u>	✝ -	<u> </u>	-	+-	Ξ.	-		+	Ţ-	-i ÷	<del>-</del>	1.3	1	+	ַ וַ	土	+-
Renalcosaliente curicia prunos		7 -	Ţ.,	-		+	1 =	ŀ÷	1_	T÷	1=	-	i		Ξ.	Ŧ.	Ξ,	┰.		+	-1 -	٠,٠	+	-1_	1
Cuccorers linealn Ehrenberg	_	₽	+:	Ξ-	Τ-	+ :		۲.	J-'	1.5	<u></u>	10		<del>+</del> ;	1-	Ţ.	<del>-</del>			Ţ	:.Г	_¦_,	+	+	+
Navicula nummularia Granite	_	‡.	i-	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	F.	j.	- j	1-	+-	ļ_	! -	+	=	+	+	$\pm$	ᆂ	⇇	-		_	4	4	-1
Novicula pristrata & Scheller Navicula pristratath hienberglight gary	-	+-	1	Τ_	1-	Ŧ.	<u> </u>	_		-ļ	Ŧ	1	-	1	- I	-  _	$\perp$	-1:=	i.,	‡	#	土	丰	Ŧ.	+
Special and Detroited Controlled Special Speci	<u> </u>	+	†	1-	+-	† ÷	1	+-:	Γ.	17.7	Τ-	Ι.		-	1-3	Ε	÷	-   -		1	<u> </u>	_t_	1	Ė	#
Newculn peregrina Kulzing	Ė	$\pm$	+.	1	+	†_	$\top$	+		‡.	‡.	ij.	_!	1	+	i=	1	4	=1 -	Ī	$\pm$	士:	$\pm$	土	$\pm$
Acresia impressa Grunom		Ţ.	+-	-1-		+	- j -	Ŀ	1	_		#	<u>+</u>	-1-	_	1		_ 7	4-	- j-	Ŧ	+	+-	+.	$\pm$
Newlecto doctrius mutaing	-	. ]	- 1	+-		1	+-	上		+	<u></u>	Ξ	=!_	#	Ε	Ļ	Ŧ	1-	Τ-		-	-  -		· i=	
Neverta redines Multing		7	∓ :		-   -		+	+ 1	Ή.				-	_		1=	1			=   =		-	-	}	- <u> </u> -
Navicula biebissom Kulting 3 Navicula objekty Kulting	F	#	1_	#_	Π-	-	1-	-	-Ţ.,		-i-	ii-		_	<u>.</u>  -	土	#	-1-	-		- 1-	<del>- 1</del>			7
And could be company with registry and regis	Ł-			上		‡	-	-1-	Ψ.	+-	∃ -	-	—ţ -	-	-i-	· <u>L</u>	<u> </u>	士	土	-	-		#	+	
Naricula firma ver subunduinta	+	+-	+-	$\vdash$	+	╬.	<u> </u>	ŧ	+		_t_	7	Ţ.	+	. + .:	7	+			_	ΞĹ		-1	-	
5 Navicuin bumilus Ffrencers	F-	7-	12	+	+.	+	+:	1		T	- 1	1		4.	- 1	Ŧ	-1-		-	-{	긒	+	-	글.	_
5 Novicula emissis Gerry (C.C.)	<b>F</b> -	#.	-	-  -	+	Ŧ	╁	÷E	<u>:</u>	115	Ξ.	=		= :		-	- j_			- 1		$\exists$	-	-	닠.
C Navicula crabio Errenbera 7 Pinnifaria major (Kalzing) Creve	t:	Τ.	#		-  -	Τ.		7	- F	- F	- -	-!	÷		<u>.</u>			- ‡	Ťį.	7	7	٦,		$\dashv$	-7
A Pinnularia robilis Ehrenberg	Ŀ-	1	+,	-   -:		+	÷	Ξ.	; F	-  -	Ţ	#			<u> </u>		.±	=		$\Rightarrow$	=1.	_1	_‡		
3 Francisco Coldina is w Smith	-	+			士	4	$\pm$	ΞL		=	-1-			- <del>-</del> -		-	+		_1:	_	=1	_+		= ‡	_
2 Digiereis elligi cu (Nort no) Cleve	1-	+	7		- -	-  -	Ť.	<u>±</u>	- ‡	-  -	_	#	: <b>-</b>  -			Ŧ	7		4	+	+	<u> </u>	- ±	=	コ
4 franconers inclinatus Setty in so	F	Ŧ	F	-  -	: i-	-		+	Ť		_1.	-1	_;	_†.	Ŧ.	:- -	7	$\exists$		$\dashv$				$=$ $\pm$	- +
55 Previosigns eximum diebissin		#	Τ.	-  -	-	4	17		7	크.:		<del>. t</del>	-;- <del>\</del>		713	- 1	1	-7	-	$\exists$	- 🔄		-+	×	
57 Gamphonema Intritued Serry in 30 NB Scriphonema Intritutations Serry in 50	士		1		F	7	= :	뚜	$\Xi$	7	·  -	`  -		흽	ÈΤ	- 1	7	7	Ţļ	-	_[	<del>-</del>		- +	
69 Competito experis (Enventiera) Cleve	+	+	:		#	-1-		-  -	7	[ -	- <del>-</del>	!		士		$\pm$	<b>=</b> 1.	_ t:	-	- 1	_ †	=	=	=	-7
71 Cymbell ) tumide Van Huerch	-1-	Ť	<u>.</u>	$\pm$	-1		ΞŤ-				7		-, <del>†</del>		_ <u> </u>	╛	$\pm$	_+	긐	_	;-	= ‡			=
73 Cymbe to cympilermis Hiebiston	Ę		7	<u>;</u> +	+	- [-	5 L	+		$\Rightarrow$	-#	-	- i	- i	=	-1	Ŧ	+	· • ÷		-				
75 Cymtelia bonnevidensis Selly a si		_   -	_1_	Ξ.	7	+	j-	. +				i		†		- !	7	-1	- Ŧ	- 7			-		
76 Amphoro camb terr Gregory	-	=	$\Box$	: ‡	-	_ i.	_i	4		+	-	- 1		-	彗	_	=	<u>.</u> .t	Ţ		7	. —	Ξ,	- ;-	-
78 Amohum Lealing Autzing	-L-	_ !	_	-		!-	1		- 74	∸,	13.	-	<u>-</u> ;	- , -	ÈΙ	ं	=	3	랲	=	- 🎦	=		-	
AO Amphore Costs Gregory	=-	— ¦-	¦-	È		-+		- 1-	İ	L I	.=	_	- F	_				<del>- 1</del>	: 1		-	-			
82 Cointerna by Jodein W Corolli		=	-	7	÷	— i	_ :-				- 1-		- 1	_ =		- 7			4		<b>-</b>		t <u>.                                    </u>		
64 company or related States	- F	—ï		-	1.	+	- <u>}</u> -	Ė	-	-1-	‡		1		- 1	• • •		= !			-	-	<u>-^-</u>	-	
RE FORTER SOME MULTING	-	_;	· F				-	1	†	+	- , ‡		1	٠.			:			_	÷	-	<del>-</del> -	<u> </u>	H.
Mf Epithemia gibberala Kutsing Mh Faithemia Jebrii (6 hienbera) Kutsini	듸	:	_‡				— <u> </u>		-	-  -	÷		Ŀ i					_ i	- !	_	-	-	=	-	
My Epithemia giblic var ventricatum	반	j	t			7	- 1		;		-1		_	1			:	_ †			Ε.	_	드	! =	
37 Noticens transport freehern	t.	i	_!		i		_	į	-7		-i			_ =		- 1	:			-	_	T	1	i	
3.3 Higgs how on a kind in condition	-			-	:			- 1			i						_1					1	L	1	
us Nursee a linearly (Agardh) wiSe	<del>"</del>	=		<u>.</u> -		-7		- 1	-		-		T -	-	-+	_	j		- 1	_	-	二		二	-
97 Mary school Community (Crange) City						- :	i		_	F-	1		<u> </u>	_			-			<u>.</u> -		-	-	1 =	=
93 Normatic estado Clear	-1	_	i			!	=		=		<u> </u>		=			÷					1 -	+-	ļ-	<u>i-</u>	÷
3. 12 TECHNO CHANGE THE CHANGE TO		-	Ŀ		_		-			اب!	$\exists$		F				-;-		_		<u> </u>	Γ-	+	<u></u>	E
103 Hartistes at thouse france			Ŀ	<u>-</u>		!		12	_				-	<u>.</u>				-		_	F	Γ.	Ħ.	l-	i
105 Derneum the main Hulling		! -	Ι.	 		ļ ·—	H		÷٦.	$\dot{=}$			F	!		3.4	1	ļ ·	÷	=	1	Γ	ļ٠	<u> </u>	-
A passion mentalist Carrect  A process and the control of the cont	<u> </u>	F-	1	ļ	1	1-	1		1	!		<u>.</u>	1	Ţ	!	_		ī	ļ_`	<u>—</u>	!	-	-	-;	†-,-
107 Committee of the Co		Γ.	Τ-	<del> </del>	Ţ.	F	F-				Ξ		1 .:	Ε.	1	Ξ	! .		1		=	=	ļ.,	-	1-
no Systema event Chrenberg			.! - + -	Ė.	<u>i</u>	F	+		T -	-		L.			i _	-	i _	-	i :		Ė	ļ	† -	ļ.:-	÷-
112 Corretto Bryasto Katzing		1 -	†:	1	†-·	Ľ	Τ	ļ-	+	-	-	!	<u>+</u> -	1-	-	ᆵ		-	-	<u>+</u> _	L.	-	1—∶	ŀŤ	
sea forceptio regina uninsti	_	-1:-	1-		j÷	1.5	<u>+</u>		i		<u>-</u>	1 -		Ε	! =	_	!	Ļ. :		L.	i	$\pm$	+		<u>i=</u> -
115 Cyclepia inerigota Civenbera 116 Syckepia Civeneria Bresincan	= =	Ļ	<del>-</del> † -	F	Ĺ-	-	1.5		+ -	-	-	1	<u>+</u> -	<del>; -</del>	-		<u> </u>	Į	E		<u>+</u>		L	: =	1.
IIA Supreella enambus Sette A	<u>_</u>	1-	ήΞ	1-		+-	i-	1=	Œ	-	,	1-	i :		!_	ļ <u>.</u> -	<del>-</del> :	-		<u> </u>	£	Ŀ	+	<u></u>	H
no Syntains return Setty Cot		<u>-</u> }_	‡=	1-	-1-	F	E		ijΞ	1	-	t-	Ξ.	 + -	İ.	-	1=	F	-	1 -	F	Ł.	-	F	i —
and formation addition from the first term of th	0	七	1.	+	=	1	1-	ŧΞ	1-	‡ =		± ¯	1-		<u></u>	=	1 -	1	TΞ	Ť-	Ļ	4. 7	÷	Τ-	Η
123 Camping active phrentergi. Re	-115	1-	1	上	+	<u>.</u>	#-	Ļ	T-	7	Ī :	F	-L-	1-	+_	<u> </u>	+-	1=	1=	Τ-	1=	4 =	+-	+	F
			Τ-	1	1 -	4 -	1	-t-	+-	1 - 1	-	1-	T_	1 -	1 .	1	4	4 —	-	4 —	4		1	+	t

**TABLE 1** Distribution of Halobic system of diatoms at various elevation

	OLIGOHA	VI.OBIEN	MESOH	MESOHALOBIEN	EUB	EUHALOBIEN	FC/1.13		<b>8</b>	BENTHONIC	ıc
Elevation & Strandlines	Indifferent (F-W)	Halophil	Meso- haline	Eurybaline		Steno- Euryhaline RINE	RINE	TONIC	Vagrant	Vagrant Sessile	Sessile
5135 to 1 4840 ft.	7	G	-   	-	-	-		1	5	4	7
4h30 ft.	10	.0	4	2	i		1	4	æ	4	7
4820 ft.	y	-		-	2	61	:	4	9	2	ம்
(Provo Strandline)											
4840 ft.	8	9	c.i		2	2	-	က	8	ıc	₹"
4780 ft.	10	7	_	÷	4	33	!	9	10	7	7
4765 ft.	8	4	-	2	2	7	1	-	9	7	ເລ
4600 ft.	80	11	3	င	ß	3	f 1	မ	9	6	11
4500 ft.	7		4.	j	ę	C1	!	7	2	8	6
(Stausbury Strandlinc)					i			:			

6. At 784,0 foot level—Though mesohalobmesohaline species continue to occur, the
first appearance of mesohalob-euryhaline
forms like Coscinodiscus velatus, Fragilaria pacifica,
Navicula mormanorum, Surirella angusta and
Denticula lauta strengthen the belief that the
salinity of the lake water has increased
considerably.

The lithological analysis revealed large grains of salt being present in coarse clastic sediment with fragmented, poorly preserved diatoms. This indicates a high energy and nearshore condition.

However, in quiet water and undisturbed conditions, species like Surirella ovalis var. ovata, Nacicula mormanorum, Cocconeis lineata are well developed and well preserved with planktonic species of Melosira, Cyclotella and Coscinodiscus. The presence of oligohalobien forms suggests mixing of fresh water through streams and/or reworking.

7. At 4,600 foot level—There is a marked increase in number and kind of mesohalob to nearly euhalobien forms over all others as seen by the presence of Coscinodiscus elegans, Fragilaria pacifica, Navicula bombus, Nitzchia thermalis, Denticula valida, Surirella striatula and others.

8. At 4,500 foot level (Stansbury Strandline)—As the water level fell 100 feet below the 4,600 foot level, it became more concentrated in salt than it was before. This is clearly indicated by an increase in mesohalob-euryhaline and euhalobien forms like Navicula peregrina, N. impressa, Nitzchia scutellum and others. The presence of any oligohalob species in this assemblage (Table 1) is clearly due to an influx through streams or reworked wind-blown material.

As the water level of the lake fell further slowly, thus increasing its salinity, the population decreased sharply, and finally disappeared. No diatom flora is found to be living in the present lake today. However, living forms of diatoms reported from this lake have been found in the surrounding brackish marshes and rivers which have a lower salt concentration.

As a result of this investigation, it is very clear that diatoms indicate various milieus of salinity (as thermometers of salinity) of a lake which was fresh water to begin with and as it shrank due to evaporation and other causes slowly got enriched in salinity and this change is recorded by the diatoms at its various terrace levels. So, it is logical to conclude that the lake Bonneville was

oligohalob indifferent (fresh water) from 5,200-4,840 foot level; it had halophil condition at 4,830 foot level; it became mesohalob-mesohaline at 4,820 foot level; it remained meso-HASLER, J. W. & CRAWFORD, A. (197"). halobien (brackish water) upto 4,800 foot level; it became mesohalob-euryhaline at 4,780 foot level; but it became definitely euhalobien when it reached the 4,500 foot level. Patrick (1936), contends that true marine forms seem never to have established themselves in the lake. Earlier, according to Patrick (1936), Ehrenberg (1870) also mentioned of the rarity of marine forms in the lake.

The author wishes to acknowledge the help and assistance by many, but prominent among whom are Drs Daniel J. Jones, William Lee Stokes, Seville Flowers, Armand J. Eardley, Harry D. Goode, Melven A. Cook, G. D. Hanna, Hans E. Thalmann, Taro Kanaya and former fellow graduate students (now) Drs Hellmut H. Doelling and Peter B. Stifel. This paper is part of an unpublished Doctoral dissertation submitted to the University of Utah, Salt Lake City. The author also thanks Dr S. Z. Qasim, Director National Institute of Oceanography for his interest.

## REFERENCES

Bissell, H. J. (1963). Lake Bonneville; Geology of Southern Utah Valley, Utah. U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Paper 257B.

BUDDE, H. (1931). Die Algen-flora westfalischer Salinen. I. Arch. Hydrobiol., 23 462-490.

Budde, H. (1933). Die Algen-flora westfalischer Salinen. II. Arch. Hydrobiol., 25: 305-325.

EARDLEY, A. J. (1938). Sediments of Great Salt Lake, Utah. Am. Assoc. Petrol. Geol. Bull., 22: 1305-1411.

EARDLEY, A. J., GVOSEDETSKY, V. & MARSELL, R. E. (1957). Hydrology of Lake Bonneville and sediments and soils of its basin. Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 68., 1141-1201,

EHRENBERG, C. G. (1870). Uber die Wachsende Kentnisse des unsichtbaren Lebens als selsbildende Bacillarean in

Californien. Abh. Berk. AK. 57: 1-75. GILBERT, G. K. (1890). Lake Bonneville. U. S. Geol. Surv. Monograph, 1: 438.

Diatomaceous marl of Bonneville Utah Acad. Sci. Proc., 15: 25-26.

Hunt, C. B., Varnes, H. D. & H. E. (1953). Lake Bonneville: Geology of northern Utah Valley, Utah. U.S. geol. Surv. Prof. Paper, 257A: 99.

HUSTEDT, F. (1953). Die Systematik der Diatomeen in ihren Bezichungen zur Geologie und Okologie nebst einer Revision des Halobien-Systems. Svensk. bot. Tidskr., **47**: 509-519.

Hustedt, F. (1957). Die Diatomacen-Flora des Flussystems der Weser in Gebiet der Hanse-stadt Bremen. Abh. naturw. Ver. Bremen, 34: 181-440.

Jones, D. J. & Marsell, R. E. (1955). Pleistocene sediments of lower Jordon Valley, Utah, p. 85-112 in: Tertiary and Quaternary Geology of the Eastern Bonneville Basin, (Ed: Eardley, A. J.). Utah Geol. Soc. Guidebook to Geology of Utah, no. 10:132

KINNE, O. (1964). The effects of temperature and salinity on marine and brackish water animals-II. Salinity and temperaturesalinity combinations. Oceonogr. mar. Biol. A. Review, 2: 281-339.

KINNE, O. (1971). Salinity, animals—I. Invertebrates, pp. 821-995 Marine Ecology (Ed. Kinne, O.), 1 (2). Wiley-Interscience, New York.

KOLBE, R. W. (1927). Zur Okologie, Morphology und Systematik der brackwasser-Diatomeen. Heft, 7. Jena pflanzenforschung: 138.

PATRICK, RUTH (1936). Some diatoms of Great Salt Lake. Bull. Torrey bot. Club, **63**: 157–166.

Schreiber, Jr., J. (1958). Sedimentary record of Great Salt Lake. Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. Utah.

SETTY, M. G. A. P. (1963). Paleontology and paleoeology of Diatoms of Lake Bonneville, Utah. Ph. D. Thesis. Univ of Utah, 119 p.