thor Version : Aquatic Microbial Ecology, vol.80(3); 2017; 273-287		Formatted: Font: Not Bold
asonal variation in bacterial community composition and $\beta$ – glucosidase expression in a tropical-		Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12
miith Fewaran, Lidita Khandonarkor*		talic, Complex Script Font: Times New Roman, 12 p
SIR National Institute of Oceanography. Dona Paula. Goa 403004. India.	<u> </u>   \U	Formatted: Line spacing: single
•		Formatted: Left: 0.75", Right: 0.75", Width: 8.27", Height: 11.69", Header distance from edge: 0.5", Footer distance from edge: 0.5"
Running page head: Rectorial communities and B glucosidese expression		F <b>ormatted:</b> Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Italic, Complex S Font: 12 pt
Rumming page neur. Dieternal communities and p - Greeshause expression		Formatted: Justified, Line spacing: single
		Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Italic, Complex Script Font ot, Bold
		Formatted: Font: Italic, Complex Script Font: 12 pt Bold, Check spelling and grammar, Pattern: Clear
		Formatted: Justified, Space After: 0 pt, Line spaci single
		Formatted: Font: Italic
		Formatted: Line spacing: single
		Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Not Bold, Italic
		Contered Line specing: single
		<b>Cominance</b> , centered, Line spacing, singre
*Corresponding outlor Tal : 101(0)922 2450422; for: 101(0)922 2450615		
<u>*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615.</u> Email: <u>klidita@nio.org</u>		Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single
*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: <u>klidita@nio.org</u> Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary		Formatted: Centered, Line spacing. Single Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spac single
*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: <u>klidita@nio.org</u> Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary		Formatted: Centered, Eine spacing. Singre Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spac single Formatted: Line spacing: single
*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: <u>klidita@nio.org</u> Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary Ranjith Eswaran, Lidita Khandeparker*		Formatted: Centered, Eine spacing: Singre Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spac single Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold
*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: <u>klidita@nio.org</u> Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary Ranjith Eswaran, Lidita Khandeparker* CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa-403004, India.		Formatted: Centered, Elite spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line space ingle Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold Formatted: Space After: 0 pt
*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615.         Email: klidita@nio.org         Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary         Ranjith Eswaran, Lidita Khandeparker*         CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa-403004, India.         *Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615.         Email: klidita@nio.org		Formatted: Centered, Elite spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line space single Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold Formatted: Space After: 0 pt Formatted: Font: 12 pt
<ul> <li>*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: klidita@nio.org</li> <li>Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary</li> <li>Ranjith Eswaran, Lidita Khandeparker,*</li> <li>CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa-403004, India.</li> <li>*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: klidita@nio.org</li> </ul>		Formatted: Centered, Ene spacing: Singre Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line space single Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold Formatted: Space After: 0 pt Formatted: Font: 12 pt Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: <u>klidita@nio.org</u> Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary Ranjith Eswaran, Lidita Khandeparker* CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa-403004, India. *Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: klidita@nio.org		Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line space single Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold Formatted: Space After: 0 pt Formatted: Font: 12 pt Formatted: Font: 12 pt Formatted: Space After: 0 pt Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 Line spacing: single
*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: <u>klidita@nio.org</u> Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary Ranjith Eswaran, Lidita Khandeparker.* CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa-403004, India. *Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: klidita@nio.org STRACTAbstract:		Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line space single Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold Formatted: Space After: 0 pt Formatted: Font: 12 pt Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
<ul> <li>*Corresponding author. Tel.: +01(0)832-2450432; fax: +01(0)832-2450615.</li> <li>Email: klidita@nio.org</li> <li>Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary</li> <li>Ranjith Eswaran, Lidita Khandeparker*</li> <li>CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa-403004, India.</li> <li>*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615.</li> <li>Email: klidita@nio.org</li> <li>STRACTAbstract:</li> <li>dal estuaries receive carbohydrate-rich organic matter from various sources, which are mainly</li> </ul>		Formatted: Centered, Ene spacing: Singre Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line space single Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold Formatted: Space After: 0 pt Formatted: Space After: 0 pt Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt
<ul> <li>*Corresponding author. Tel.: +01(0)832-2450432; fax: +01(0)832-2450615. Email: klidita@nio.org</li> <li>Seasonal variation in bacterial community composition and β – glucosidase expression in a tropical monsoon-influenced estuary</li> <li>Ranjith Eswaran, Lidita Khandeparker*</li> <li>CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa-403004, India.</li> <li>*Corresponding author. Tel.: +91(0)832-2450432; fax: +91(0)832-2450615. Email: klidita@nio.org</li> <li>STRACTAbstract:</li> <li>bal estuaries receive carbohydrate-rich organic matter from various sources, which are mainly oken down by enzymatic processes and β – glucosidase (β-Glu) is one of the ectoenzymes</li> </ul>		Formatted: Centered, Ene spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line space ingle Formatted: Line spacing: single Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Bold Formatted: Space After: 0 pt Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 ine spacing: single Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt Formatted: Fort: Bold

abundance, andnumber of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu. The resultsrevealed a higher number of  $\beta$ -Gluexpressing cells, $\beta$ -Glugene, and bacterial abundance at the estuarine mouth when compared to the upstreamandwas coupled with high phytoplankton biomass and lowtransparent exopolysaccharides. A clear distinction in bacterial assemblages along with  $\beta$ -Glu expression levels wasevident during different seasons. Likewise, aspatialpattern in major bacterial taxawas observedalong the salinity gradient, with high proportions of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria at the saline mouth and $\beta$ -proteobacteria at the upstream freshwater region. During the southwest monsoon which brings high freshwater influx, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes were high at the mid-estuarine region. Bacterial production at this time was mainly fueled by allochthonous riverine sources with a significant decrease in the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu to gene ratio. The  $\beta$ -Glu gene abundance was related to diverse groups ( $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Firmicutes). The number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu covaried with  $\gamma$  – proteobacteria bundance and wasinfluenced by suspended load, salinity, chlorophyll  $\alpha$  and organic pool. Future studies should assess response of marine, brackish, and freshwater bacteria to different environmental conditions to ascertain their specific role in organic matter processing.



**INTRODUCTION** 

Estuaries are considered as a resource pool of diverse microorganisms (Hu et al. 2014). The mixing between fresh and marine waters results in significant changes in structure and growth rates of the bacterial communities in an estuarine system (Henriques et al. 2006, Campbell & Kirchman 2013). These biological communities often respond to changes in the input of different substrates due to riverine influences, land run-off and thereby an alteration in organic matter (OM) quality and composition.

Carbohydrates, which form a significant portion of estuarine and marine OM, are released as simple sugars or complex polymeric forms (Hedges et al. 1988; Decho 1990). Transparent exopolysaccharides (TEP)are such polymers abundant in estuarine and oceanic waters (Passow 2002). In estuaries, they play a prominent role in OM cycling either through degradation, aggregates formation or sedimentation processes (Simon et al. 2002, Barrera-Alba et al. 2009, Mari et al. 2012). Microbial degradation of TEP and other polymeric forms is mainly mediated by a group of hydrolytic ecto or extracellular enzymes; the hydrolysis is a rate-limiting step in the aquatic environment (Hoppe 1983, Chrost 1990). β-Glucosidases (β-Glu; EC 3.2.1.21) are hydrolytic enzymes produced by bacteria tocleave beta(1-4) glycosidic linkages of complex carbohydrates.The production of these ectoenzymes is regulated by composition, concentration, and the availability of OM (Chrost 1991). The other environmental factors which can alter the ectoenzyme activity are temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, nutrients, and pH (Hoppe et al. 1996, Riemann et al. 2000, Cunha et al. 2001, Arrieta & Herndl 2002). Few researchers have observed a direct relation between β-Glu activity, bacterial abundance, and primary production (Chrost 1989, Romani & Sabater 1999, Cunha et al. 2000), but they focused on total bacterial population and their activity with not much emphasis on the bacterial community structure.

The recent developments in molecular methods have\_revealed an enormous bacterial diversity which is capable of producing an array of hydrolytic enzymes and established a link between bacterial community composition (BCC) and their metabolic activity (Lammle et al. 2007, Kielak et al. 2013). Many studies are carried out in estuarine and coastal waters relating BCC and hydrolytic activity, but all are restricted to temperate and sub-tropical regions (Kirchman et al. 2004, Haynes et al. 2007, D'ambrosio et al. 2014, Li et al. 2015), and not much have been explored in tropical monsoonal estuaries. Quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) and flow cytometry (FCM) are promising and cost-effective techniques in such community expression studies and relate to functional diversity (Deepak et al. 2007; Steenbergh et al. 2011). Few studies have also used FCM in differentiating cells, which are expressing different hydrolytic enzymes (e.g., chitinase, phosphatase) from total bacterial populations and linked to environmental variables (Steenbergh et al. 2011, Beier et al. 2012).

However, the studies involving the use of these tools in elucidating the relation between the number of cells expressing  $\beta$  – Glu and BCC are limited and was addressed in the present study.

The present study area, Zuari is a monsoonal estuary influenced by high runoff periods and shows a non-steady state (Vijith et al. 2009). Freshwater discharge occurs in high magnitude during southwest monsoon season, which regulates the hydrodynamics of this system on an annual scale. Hence it is expected that along with the changes in the biogeochemical processes;major bacterial taxawould significantly differ from those in dry condition. We hypothesized that high allochthonous inputs during the monsoon would influence and alter the bacterial populations and trigger or decline the  $\beta$ -Glu gene expression. The aim of the present work was to assess the influence of seasons on major bacterial taxawith an emphasis on  $\beta$ -Glu expressing populations and relate to environmental variables which trigger their expression.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Sampling Sites and collection

Surface water samples were collected along the Zuari estuary during premonsoon (PreM;  $20^{th}$  February 2015 &  $21^{st}$  April 2015), southwest monsoon (SW-Mon;  $24^{th}$  August 2015 &  $3^{rd}$  September 2015), and post monsoon seasons (PostM;  $16^{th}$  October 2015 &  $23^{rd}$  October 2015) during spring – neap tidal cycles (Fig.1). The Zuari estuarine system represents large salinity variations ranging from 34 - 0 psu. Based on the salinity, sampling stations were divided into four parts representing mouth (S1, S2), lower middle (S3, S4), upper middle (S5, S6), and upstream (S7, S8) (Fig. 1). Samples from eight different stations were collected using 5 L Niskin sampler and transferred to acid washed carboys and storedon ice until brought to the laboratory.

#### Physico - Chemical parameters

Water temperature and salinity were recorded in situ using a portable conductivity – temperature – depth (CTD) probe (Seabird 19plus, USA) with the accuracy of 0.005 °C in temperature and 0.005 psu in salinity. Dissolved oxygen (D.O) was measured using Winkler's method (Parsons et al. 1984). Dissolved nutrients concentration such as nitrite, nitrate, phosphate,

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

```
Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines
```

silicate, and ammonia were measured using an automated nutrient analyzer (Skalar SAN PLUS 8505 Interface v3.31, Netherland).

Total phytoplankton biomass was estimated as total chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*). Briefly, 500 ml of water samples were filtered through GF/F filter papers and subsequently overnight extraction was carried out using 90 % acetone and the raw fluorescent units (RFU) were measured using fluorometer (Turner, USA). Similarly, surface water samples were filtered on pre-ashed and preweighed filter papers (GF/F) in triplicate for measuring suspended particulate matter (SPM). To analyze dissolved organic carbon (DOC) content pre-ashed GF/F filtrates were also collected in precombusted glass vials (450 °C for 3 hrs) and acidified using 2 % H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Filter papers with the particulate materials were dried in the oven at 60° C and reweighed on a weighing balance (Mettler Toledo). Total and dissolved organic carbon was measured using total organic carbon analyzer (Shimazu; Model: TOC – L). Particulate organic carbon (POC) values were calculated from the mean difference between the total and DOC and expressed as mg L<sup>-1</sup>. For TEP analysis, samples were filtered on 0.4 µm filter papers (HTTP; Millipore, USA) and analyzed using modified dye binding assay protocol as described by Klein et al. (2011). Gum Xanthan was used as the standard, and TEP values are expressed as µg equivalent Xanthan Gum litre<sup>-1</sup> (µg. equiv. X. L<sup>-1</sup>).

#### Flow cytometric analysis

Enumeration of number of cells expressing β–Glucosidaseand total bacterial count (TBC)

The number of cells expressing  $\beta$ –Gluwere enumerated using Fluorescein di- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (FDGlu; Molecular Probes, USA) coupled with flow cytometry. Before sample analysis, this method has been validated using  $\beta$ –Glupositive pure strain (*Exiguobacterium indicum*; NCBI accession number: KR047884),and details are provided in the supplementary material. Briefly, non-fluorescent FDGlu substrate is hydrolyzed by  $\beta$ –Glu via two-step process; first to fluorescein mono glucoside (FMG) and later to highly fluorescent fluorescein. A few studies have used FDGlu in measuring exo $\beta$ –Glu activity of yeast and recombinant bacterial strains (Kohen et al. 1993, Cid et al. 1994). The surface water samples were passed through the cell strainer cap (40 µm mesh size, BD Biosciences) to remove larger particles. For  $\beta$ –Glu activity, one set of samples (n = 3) were incubated with FDGlu (2mM final concentration, Molecular Probes, USA). The FDGlu working stock solutions were always stored on ice to prevent hydrolysis. Control samples (n=3) were also prepared without addition of FDGlu. Subsequently, the stained, as well as the control samples, were incubated for 30

mins at 30° C in the dark. After incubation, the samples were analyzed using a BD FACSAria<sup>TM</sup> II flow cytometer (BD-Biosciences, USA) equipped with a 488nm nuclear blue laser. The cells with exo  $\beta$ -Glu activity hydrolyzed FDGlu, and the emitted light signalwas collected through different filter sets:(1) 488/10 band pass for right angle light scatter (RALS), (2) 530/30 band pass filter for green fluorescence signal from the fluorescein hydrolysis product of FDGlu (Suppl. Fig. 1A-E).Fluorescent beads (1µm, Polysciences) were used as internal standards for calibrating the above parameters. Gating was done against green versus RALS signals to determine the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu(Suppl. Fig. 1D).

The TBC Samples were preserved using 1 % paraformaldehyde (final concentration) at -20 °C were thawed to room temperature (n=3), stained with SYBR Green I and incubated in the dark for 15 mins (1:10000 final concentration; Molecular Probes, USA). After incubation, the stained samples were analyzed using a BD FACSAria<sup>TM</sup> II flow cytometer equipped with 530/30 bandpass green filter and calibrated using 1 µm fluorescent beads. The collected data sets were processed using BD FACS Diva software (Version 6.2).

### Bacterial production (BP)

In the present study, 5-Bromo-2-Deoxyuridine (BrdU) was used to measure the BP in estuarine samples. BrdU is a thymidine analog and can be incorporated specifically into actively synthesizing DNA in place of thymidine. Many studies warrant that BrdU is a suitable substrate for measuring BP in pelagic environments (Pernthaler et al. 2002, Hamasaki 2006). BrdU was added to the surface water samples (1µM final concentration; BD-Biosciences, USA) and incubated for 3 hrs at in situ temperature. After incubation, the samples were fixed with paraformaldehyde (1% final concentration) and stored at -20° C until BrdU immunofluorescence detection (IFD). IFD was performed using FITC-labeled anti-BrdU. In brief, samples stained with FITC labeled anti – BrdU with DNase I (BD – Biosciences) were incubated for 30 mins in the dark and analyzed using a BD FACSAria<sup>TM</sup> II flow cytometer equipped with a 488nm nuclear blue laser. The samples without BrdU were used as controls. For calculating the bacterial carbon production, 11 fg C per bacterium as a cell-to-carbon conversion factor was used (Garrison et al. 2000).

## Enumeration of protists abundance

Protists abundance wasdetermined using the modified protocol described by Christaki et al. (2011). Briefly, paraformaldehyde fixed (1% final conc) frozen samples were thawed, stained with SYBR Green I (1:10000 finalconc Molecular Probes, USA) and incubated in the dark for 15 mins before measurement. Analysis of stained samples was carried out using BD FACS Aria II instrument. Emitted light was collected through 488/10 band pass filter for right angle light scatter (SSC), 530/30 band pass filter for green fluorescence and 695/40 for red fluorescence. The detector voltage was reduced based on SSC vs. green signal plot to cut off the intrusion of bacterial populations with protists abundance. 1µm yellow – green fluorescent beads (Polysciences) were used as an internal standard. The data sets were processed using BD FACS Diva (Version 6.2) software-

#### Extraction of DNA

Water samples collected in the field were brought to the laboratory under cold condition. Subsequently, 2 L of samples were filtered onto 0.22  $\mu$ m pore size filters (GSWP04700; Millipore, USA) under low vacuum. The filters were then stored at -20 °C until the extraction procedure was performed. DNA extraction was performed using power water DNA isolation kit (MOBio, USA) as per manufacturer's instructions.

### Quantitative-PCR (qPCR) analysis

#### Bacterial community composition (BCC)

Q - PCR analyses were performed to evaluate BCC using a set of primers which are specific to 16S rRNA genes of *Proteobacteria* subclass ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ), *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes*, and *Actinobacteria*(Table. 1). The reaction was carried out in a real-time PCR system (Rotor-Gene Q 5X HRM, Qiagen, Germany) using Quantitech SYBR Green PCR master mix (Qiagen, Germany). Each 20 µl reaction mix contained 10 µl of 2 × SYBR Green PCR Mix, 0.5 µl of each primer, 1 µl of a template and 8 µl of RNase – free water. Primer sets and thermal cycler conditions are described in Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

**Formatted:** Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Table 1 and Suppl. Table. 1 respectively. Melt curve analyses wereperformed to test the specificity of each primer sets at the end of the cycle. In brief, melt curveswere obtained by adding a high-resolution melting (HRM) step at the end of the final cycle. The temperature was raised from 60 to 90 °C with 0.5 °C interval. A clear single peak was obtained between 84 - 86 °C indicating the presence of site-specific PCR amplicon (Suppl. Fig. 2). A tenfold serially diluted purified PCR product containing target DNA was used to generate the standard curve for quantification of unknown target samples. The curves were attained by plotting the cyclic threshold (Ct) values against the logarithm of the concentration of each 10-fold dilution series of PCR fragments. A known series of standards in triplicate were included during each run to correct the potential error for unknown samples. The reaction efficiency was always noted to be 0.98 to 1.0. Data sets were processed using Rotor-Gene Q Software 2.3.1.49 (Qiagen).

## Quantification of β-Glucosidase gene

In the present study, the gene encoding  $\beta$ -Glu was quantified by Q-PCR using a set of degenerated primers (BgluF2/BgulR4; Table. 1) as described by Canizares et al. (2011). The standard curve and Ct was calculated by serially diluting a purified PCR amplicon of a  $\beta$ -Glugene from *Exiguobacterium indicum*. Further, the specificity of the primer set was evaluated using a melt curve analysis, and obtained data were processed using Rotor-Gene Q Software 2.3.1.49 (Qiagen).

#### Statistical analysis

Before statistical analysis, data were log (x+1) transformed to meet assumptions of parametric tests.Shapiro-Wilk test proved normality of log-transformed data. Three-way analysis of variance (Three-way ANOVA) followed by post hoc Tukey's HSD test was performed to assess the significant temporal and spatial variation in bacterial components and environmental variables along the estuary with tides, seasons, and stations as factors (IBM SPSS Statistics 22). Further, a similarity (SIMPROF) test was performed to identify the spatial variation in BCC using Primer 6 software (Clarke &Gorley 2006).

The relations between biotic (TBC, BP, protists abundance,  $\beta$ -Glu gene abundance, number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu, and BCC) and environmental variables were evaluated using multivariate

Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

redundancy analysis (RDA). The analyses were performed using CANOCO 4.5 for Windows software package(ter Braak & Smilauer 2002). Before RDA analyses, the data were checked for linear characteristics and standardized (length of first detrended correspondence analysis (DCA) axes <2 standard deviation (SD) units), and the Monte Carlo test was used to assess the significance of the RDA method (p < 0.05; 999 permutations). Meanwhile,generalized linear models (GLM) in a stepwise manner followed by F-statistics were performed to predict the species response to environmental ordination axes in RDA.

## RESULTS

Environmental parameters, dissolved nutrients, Chl a and TEP

The variations in the environmental parameters along the Zuari estuary during the sampling periods are provided in Fig. 2 and 3 respectively. Briefly, the average surface water temperature was high during PreM spring tide from mouth to the upstream of the estuary (31.36 - 32.48 °C). Salinity ranged from 34 - 0 psu, was high in the mouth, decreased from upper mid to upstream during non-monsoon, with a significant reduction during SW-Mon throughout the estuary (df = 3; F=24.30; p = 0.001; Fig. 2A, B). A Similar decreasing trend from mouth to upstream was evident in nitrite (df = 3; F = 17.93; p = 0.001) and nitrate (df = 3; F = 2.28; p = 0.01) concentration during spring tide (Fig. 2C, 3C). Whereas, phosphate and silicate werehigher towards the upstream, especially in the SW-Mon (2.90 & 53.76  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>) and PostM (4.62 & 95.69  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>) irrespective of the tides (Fig. 2G, H, K, & L).

During all the seasons, total Chl *a*, and SPM increased with increasing salinity (Fig. 3A-D). TEP values were high towards the upstream and peaked during spring tide of PostM (497.47  $\pm$  153.81 µg equiv. X. L<sup>-1</sup>) and SW-Mon (345.10  $\pm$  29.47 µg equiv. X. L<sup>-1</sup>) respectively (Fig.3E - F). Overall, organic carbon showed a significantseasonal variability (ANOVA; df = 2; F = 65.20; p = 0.001) with highPOC during PostM, and DOC during PreMespecially during spring tide (Fig. 3G-L).

## Variation in TBC, BP, and protists abundance

Overall, TBC and BP showed a significant temporal variability (ANOVA; df = 2; F = 13.14; p = 0.0001 & df = 2; F = 12.50; p = 0.0001 respectively) when compared to sites and tide (Fig. 4A-D). The TBC was high during PreM at the mouth  $(1.01 \pm 0.4 \times 10^7 \text{ cells mL}^{-1})$ , lower mid  $(1.74 \pm 0.7 \times 10^7 \text{ cells mL}^{-1})$ , upper mid  $(2.44 \pm 0.7 \times 10^7 \text{ cells mL}^{-1})$  with a clear decrease during SW-Monfrom mouth to upstream ( $2.28 \pm 0.2 \times 10^6$  to  $4.70 \pm 0.9 \times 10^6$  cells mL $^{-1}$ ) irrespective of the tides (Fig. 4A, B). The TBC was positively related to temperature, salinity, Chl *a*, and negatively with TEP (Fig.5A, C, D, F). Unlike TBC, BP was high towards upstream during SW-Mon ( $7.61 \pm 1.46 \mu \text{g C L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) and PostM ( $7.31 \pm 1.46 \mu \text{g C L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ), and negatively related to salinity, SPM, Chl *a* and positively with TEP especially during spring tide (Fig. 4C, D and Fig. 5A).

In the case of protists abundance, a significant temporal (ANOVA; df = 2;F=31.00; p=0.0001), spatial (ANOVA; df = 3; F=8.13; p=0.0001), and tidal variation was observed (ANOVA; df = 1;F=32. 16; p=0.0001) with higher abundance during neap tide of SW-Mon  $(3.76 \pm 0.5 \times 10^5$ 

cells mL<sup>-1</sup>) and PostM (4.31  $\pm$  0.4  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> cells mL<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 4E, F). Their abundance increased with decreasing salinity during SW-Mon (mouth<lower mid< upper mid<upstream) and reversed during PreM (mouth>lower mid>upper mid> upstream)(Fig. 4F). The protists numbers were positively related to temperature, SPM, TOC and negatively with Chl *a* and POC especially during neap tide (F= 15.1; p=0.0008; Fig. 5B, F).

## Variation in β-Glu gene abundance and number of cells expressing β-Glu

In general,  $\beta$ –Glugene abundance andnumber of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu varied significantly with the sites (df = 3; F=10.85; p=0.0001 & df = 3; F=19.57; p=0.0001 respectively) as well as the seasons (df = 2; F=24.86; p=0.0001 & df = 2; F=43.54; p=0.0001 respectively) (Fig. 4G, H, K, L). The tidal variation was not significant. The number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu increased during PreM (4.05 ± 1.5 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells mL<sup>-1</sup>&49% with respect to TBC), and a clear decrease was evident during SW-Mon (3.65 ± 1.3 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells mL<sup>-1</sup>&16% with respect to TBC) and PostM (1.62 ± 0.9 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells mL<sup>-1</sup>&4% with respect to TBC) from mouth to upstream(Fig. 4G, H& Suppl. Fig. 3A, B).Whereas, gene abundance showed a significant increase from PreM (3.18 ± 0.13 × 10<sup>6</sup> copies  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) to PostM (1.51 ± 0.16 × 10<sup>8</sup> copies  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 4k, L). The gene abundance and number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu was more pronouncedduring SW-Mon (Fig. 5A, D; Suppl. Table. 2C). The gene abundance was positively related to salinity, TOC, and POC (Fig. 5A, C, D,& F). While,number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu werepositivelyrelated to temperature, salinity, SPM, Chl *a*, DOC and negatively to TEP (Fig. 5A, C, D, F,& Suppl. Table. 2A-C).

Variation in BCC and their relation with  $\beta$  –Glu gene abundance and number of cells expressing  $\beta$  –•–Glu

Overall, a clear shift in BCC was evident between the seasons and sites (post hoc Tukey's HSD p < 0.05; Fig. 6A-L; Fig. 5B, E). Likewise, the total community recovery also varied with the seasons (Suppl. Fig. 3C, D). A higher percentage of populations recovered during PostM (45 - 68%) as compared to other seasons and high recovery was mainly related to *a-proteobacteria* bundance

## Formatted: Space After: 6 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

(Suppl. Fig. 3C, D). The mouth and lower mid-estuary were dominated by  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ proteobacteria which seems to be marineas evidenced by their significant positive relation to salinity (Fig. 5A, D; p < 0.05 and Fig. 6A,B, E, &-F). Both the groups were abundantduring non-monsoon seasons. The  $\beta$ -proteobacteria dominated upper mid and upstream region of the estuary and were negatively related to salinity during SW-Mon (Fig. 6C, D). Similarly, the proportions of Actinobacteria and Firmicutes were high at the upper-mid estuary, and their abundance increased during SW-Mon and PostM seasons (Fig. 6I - L). The Bacteroidetes was observed in significant numbers along the estuary irrespective of the seasons (Fig. 6G, H). The RDA analysis showed a strong coupling between TBC, Chl a, SPM, DOC, number of cells expressing β-Glu, and γproteobacteriaabundance during PreM (Fig. 5A-E; p < 0.001; Table. 2A-C). Further, a decreaseinyproteobacteriaabundance and number of cells expressing β-Glu during SW-Mon was significantly related to protists abundance and salinity change. The  $\beta$ -Glugene abundance was associated with diverse groups ( $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Bacteroidetes) in which  $\alpha$ proteobacteria appeared to be most significant especially during PostM (Fig. 5A, D, Table, 2C, p < p0.01). However, non-expression of the gene in these communities could be related to the environmental factors mainly SPM, salinity, and TOC (Fig. 5A, D; Monte Carlo test; p < 0.05). The BP was strongly influenced by  $\beta$ -proteobacteria abundance, which largely uncoupled with  $\beta$ -Glugene abundance and thenumber of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu during SW-Mon (Suppl. Table.2C).

## DISCUSSION

In the present study, total bacterial abundancewas high during PreM and was mainly driven by temperature, salinity, and TOC. Whereas, bacterial production was high during SW-Mon and PostM towards upstream. A recent study by kaartokallio et al. (2016) reported similar results in the inner estuarine regions of west and south coast of Finland, and this was related to the strong influence of riverine water mixing with an estuarine gradient. The number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Gluwere high at the mouth(40 to 80% of the total abundance) and lower-mid estuary (10 to 50% of the total abundance) with a seasonal pattern similar as that of total bacterial abundance. Both, the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu and total abundance was positively related to Chl *a* and negatively with TEP. The high Chl *a* (3.44 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) at the mouth indicated high phytoplankton abundance. Most of the estuaries are highly productive, and both autochthonous (in situ production) and allochthonous (external input) substrates influence the bacterioplankton abundance and their production (Coffin & Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Sharp 1987). Heterotrophic bacteria are known to actively consume DOM produced by the phytoplankton cells (Cole et al. 1982, Petit et al. 1999). The phytoplankton producescarbohydraterich polymers either as a low molecular weight or polymeric forms that are degraded during enzymatic degradation (Hama & Yanagi, 2001, Steen et al. 2008). TEP's are one of the organic polymers and activity/expression of  $\beta$ -Gluis frequently used to describe the degradation of these carbohydrate-rich polymers (Hoppe et al. 1998). Thus, low levels of TEP at the mouth in the present study indicated theiractivebreakdown by high numbers of  $\beta$ -Glui expressing bacteria.

However, the TEP were high in numbers at the upstream especially during the onset of monsoon, and can be related to a decline in the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu from mouth to upstream. This relation suggests the influence of large salinity variations in our study area between monsoon and non-monsoon seasons (i.e., < 5 psu at the mouth, and >15 psu towards upstream).A study by Cunha et al. (2000) also pointed out that exo enzymaticactivities are significantly related to temperature, salinity and Chl a.Similarly, a study at Baltic Sea region showed that the alteration in organic matter composition and salinity via high river loads resulted in a significant change in the enzymatic activity (Daniela et al. 2016). It is known that microbial processes in estuaries are also controlled by the nature, source, and composition of particles in SPM. In the present study, a significant positive relationship was observed between SPM and the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu. Observation at Elbe turbid estuary also reported a similar correlation between enzymatic activity and SPM and noted to be a controlling factor for the distribution of organisms and nutrients (Bernat et al. 1994). A recent study by Boras et al. (2015) at Blanes Bay too reported a similar relation with  $\beta$ -glucosidase activity. The suspended load in the present study area is influenced by an annual seasonal cycle with high inorganic mineral grains and allochthonous materials during SW-Mon as compared to dry seasons (Gonsalves et al. 2009). Thus, other than salinity, the decrease in the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu during SW-Mon could be due to change in the SPM composition.

A clear seasonal and spatial shifts in the bacterial community structure were evident. Among the sites, proportions of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ -proteobacteriawere higher at the marine mouth, lower mid region and decreased gradually towards the upstream. A Similar finding was reported in other estuarine regions such as Gulf of Delaware (Cottrell & Kirchman 2004,Elifantz et al. 2005), Chesapeake Bay (Bouvier& del Giorgio 2002),Columbia River estuary(Crump et al. 1999), Baltic Sea (Herlemann et al. 2011), and River Pearl estuary (Zhang et al. 2006).The mouth and lower-mid stationsshowed similar bacterial community composition, and physical characteristics, i.e., salinity. On the other hand, the upstream region was distinctly different and was dominated by  $\beta$ -proteobacteria irrespective of the seasons. Earlier studies have also reported the dominance of  $\beta$ -proteobacteria in freshwater and  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria in saltwater condition (Bouvier & del Giorgio 2002, Kan et al. 2006). The TOC and POC were linearly related to $\alpha$ -proteobacteria abundance. The temporal variations in bacterial communities are linked to the changes in Chl *a*, temperature, salinity and availability of organic matter pool (Murray et al. 1998, Crump et al. 1999, Bouvier & del Giorgio 2002, Pinhassi et al. 2004, Kan et al. 2006).

Other than environmental factors, grazerscan also play a significant role in shaping the bacterial communities (Pernthaler et al. 2001). In the present study, an increase in the protists abundance resulted in a significant decrease in the relative abundance of y-proteobacteria towards the upstream and during SW-Mon. Beardsley et al. (2003) also reported that selective grazing by heterotrophic nanoflagellatescontrolled they-proteobacteria genera at North Sea region. While the upper midestuaryshowed higher proportions of Actinobacteria and Firmicutes. Members of these have been detected in the mesohaline condition (<20 psu) and related to freshwater taxa (Newton et al. 2011). Further, members of this clade are sensitive to salinity change and depend on a specificrange of organic substrates (Sharp et al. 2008, Campbell & Kirchman 2013). A Study by Harji (2011) in the study regionshowed that terrestrial-derived organic matter mainly dominated the lower-mid estuarydue to riverine influence. Thus, it seems that low saline condition during SW-Mon and high input of riverine sources favored the proliferation of freshwater taxa with low  $\beta$ -glucosidase activity at the upper-mid estuary. However, Bacteroideteswere fairly persistent along the estuary as observed in other estuaries andtheir abundance was related to SPM and TEP (DeLong et al. 1993, Bouvier & del Giorgio 2002; Crump et al. 2004). Members of Bacteroidetesare known to be dominant in organic-rich particles and possess large degree of hydrolytic enzymes to degrade complex polysaccharides (Azam & Malfatti 2007, Dang et al. 2009, Edwards et al. 2010).

Microbial degradation via ectoenzyme process is one of the major sources of substrate addition in aquatic systems for bacterial utilization processes (Hoppe et al. 1988). Although previous studies hadhypothesized that the source of OM and microbial community can significantly influence the seasonality of  $\beta$ -Glu compared to hydrography condition (Bhaskar & Bhosle 2008, Boucher & Debroas 2009), such links were not evaluated in this estuary. During this study,  $\beta$ -Glu gene abundance and the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu showed a strong seasonality along with the changes in BCC. The number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu to gene abundance ratio were high during PreM and apparently decreased during SW-Mon and PostM as well as towards the upstream. The  $\beta$ -Glugene copies covaried with the relative abundance of *a*, *y*-proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria irrespective of the tides. The number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Gluand the relative abundance of  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria were related and influenced bysalinity, Chl a, TOC, and SPM. Most of the factors showed a positive loading on the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Gluand yproteobacteria bundance, and negative with  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria. In estuaries,  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$  – proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes play a significant role in the degradation of DOC and exopolymers and have shown association with microalgae (Hold et al. 2001, Grossart et al. 2005, Haynes et al. 2007). Specifically, members of  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria benefit from algae and their derived organic matter (Puddu et al. 2003, Teeling et al. 2012). Further, they contribute significantly in the decomposition of organic matter which is produced by algal communities suggesting  $\gamma$  – proteobacteria to be the potential group expressing the  $\beta$ -glucosidase enzyme. A few exopolymers enrichment studies have revealed that members of  $\gamma$  – proteobacteria mainly genus Acinetobacterand Pantoea possess genes for  $\beta$ -Glu production and degrade polysaccharides (Tajima et al. 2001, Arora et al. 2012). Zoppini et al. (2005) also pointed out that organic matter enrichment favored  $\gamma$  – proteobacteria growth in Northern Adriatic Sea. A previous study by Khandeparker et al. (2011) in this estuary showed that 65% of the bacterial isolates could produce complex carbohydrate degrading enzymes and majority of the strains included Vibrio, Alteromonas, Enterobacter, Marinobacter, Aeromonas and Exiquinobacterium indicating major contribution of  $\gamma$  – Proteobacteria taxain the degradation of carbohydrate derived organic matter. Similarly, a study by Yu et al. (2011) evaluated degradation capability of glucosidase substrates and reported that it was mainly distributed in  $\gamma$  – Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetesgroups when compared to a-proteobacteria and Actinobacteria.A study by Moreno et al. (2012) also observed higher genetic diversity of β-Glu encoding genes in Proteobacteria followed by the representative members of Chloroflexi, Deionococci, Actinobacteria, Thermotogae, and Firmicutes. Likewise, Berlemont and Martiny (2013) analysed 5,123 bacterial genome sequences and reported that 79 % of the analysed bacterial genome contained  $\beta$ -Glu gene which included phylum's such as Proteobacteria (2200), Cyanobacteria (70), Actinobacteria (514), Fusobacteria (33), Spirochetes (236), Aquificae (7), Chloroflexi (17), Planctomycetes (13) and Acidobacteria (9). Further, a transplant experiment by Lindh et al. (2015) at Baltic sea basin waters revealed a significant correlation between  $\beta$ -glucosidase activity and taxon such as Flavobacteriaceae, Chromatiaceae, and Verrucomicrobia.

The proportions of  $\gamma$  – *Proteobacteria*decreased during SW-Mon along with a decrease in the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Gluthroughout the estuary along with the changes in DOM. It is known that in this estuary along the salinity gradient, an upper freshwater region is dominated by terrestrial, and phytoplankton derived DOC dominated the saline mouth region(Mannino & Harvey 2000, Harji 2011). Although the present study didn't measure DOM chemistry, the decoupling between BP,  $\beta$ -Glu

gene andthe number of cells expressing  $\beta$ –Glu could be related to changes in organic matter composition which influences the bacterial community. An increase in BP can be related to  $\beta$ *proteobacteria* and decrease in salinity, and this increase neither influenced the  $\beta$ –Glugene abundance nor the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ –Glu. An earlier study carried out in Zuari estuary also indicated that BP is fueled by the allochthonous sources and behave as a net heterotrophy system during the monsoon months (Ram et al. 2007). A few studies also stated that decrease in glucosidase activity could be explained by substrate composition or by achange in the bacterial community (Martinez et al. 1996, Jost & Pollehne 1998). It has been reported that the physical and chemicals factors partially control the ectoenzymatic patterns by indirectly controlling the bacterial community structure (Langenheder et al. 2005, Boucher & Debroas 2009).

Overall, the results of the present study indicateda clear seasonal shifts in BCC withhigh proportions of *Proteobacteria* ( $\gamma$ , and  $\alpha$ ) groups during PreM to *Actinobacteria* –  $\beta$  – *Proteobacteria* – *Firmicutes* groups during SW-Mon, a period of high riverine influx, which reversed to  $\alpha$  - *Proteobacteria* group during recovery PostM season. Although  $\beta$ -Glu gene abundance was related to the relative abundance of diverse phylogenetic groups, the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu were mainly related to the relative abundance of  $\gamma$ -*proteobacteria* taxa. A higher ratio of number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu observed at saltwater and decline towards the freshwater region could be related to change in the spectrum of DOM input in this estuary. In conclusion, the number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glu was influenced by the shifts in BCC that seems to be regulated by different environmental drivers. Further studies should focus on translocation of marine, brackish and freshwater bacterial communitiesinto different environmental conditions and evaluate their potential influence on the processing of the organic matter.

Acknowledgements.We are grateful to The Director, CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, for this support and encouragement. The Editor and reviewer's comments have been helpful in improving the manuscript and are gratefully acknowledged. We gratefully acknowledge Dr. A. C. Anil, Head of the Department and Dr. Dattesh Desai, Senior Scientist, NIO, for their support during this work. We also thank Mr. Sundar from POD and colleagues of BBD department for their help. This work was supported by Ballast Water Management Program, India (Ministry of Shipping and DG shipping) and CSIR funded Ocean Finder Program (PSC0105). R. E. acknowledges CSIR for the award of Senior Research Fellowship (SRF award no: 31/26(282)/2015-EMRI). This is a NIO contribution No ####

Formatted: Space After: 6 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

### LITERATURE CITED

- Arora M, Anil AC, Delany J, Rajarajan N, Emami K, Mesbahi E (2012) Carbohydrate-degrading bacteria closely associated with *Tetraselmisindica*: influence on algal growth. Aquat Biol 15:61-71.
- Arrieta JM, Herndl GJ (2002) Changes in bacterial β-glucosidase diversity during a coastal phytoplankton bloom. Limnol Oceanogr 47:594-599.
- Ashelford KE, Weightman AJ, Fry JC (2002) PRIMROSE: a computer program for generating and estimating the phylogenetic range of 16S rRNA oligonucleotide probes and primers in conjunction with the RDP-II database. Nucleic acids Res 30:3481-3489.
- Azam F, Malfatti F (2007) Microbial structuring of marine ecosystems. Nature Rev Microbiol 5:782-791.
- Barrera-Alba JJ, Gianesella SMF, Moser GAO, Saldanha-Corrêa FMP (2009) Influence of allochthonous organic matter on bacterioplankton biomass and activity in a eutrophic, sub-tropical estuary. Estua. Coast Shelf Sci 82:84–94.
- Beardsley C, Pernthaler J, Wosniok W, Amann R (2003) Are readily culturable bacteria in coastal North Sea waters suppressed by selective grazing mortality? Appl Environ Microbiol 69:2624-2630.
- Beier S, Mohit V, Ettema TJ, Östman Ö, Tranvik LJ, Bertilsson S (2012) Pronounced seasonal dynamics of freshwater chitinase genes and chitin processing. Environ Microbiol 14:2467-2479.
- Berlemont R, Martiny AC (2013) Phylogenetic distribution of potential cellulases in bacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:1545-1554.
- Bernát N, Köpcke B, Yasseri S, Thiel R, Wolfstein K (1994) Tidal variation in bacteria, phytoplankton, zooplankton, mysids, fish and suspended particulate matter in the turbidity zone of the Elbe estuary; interrelationships and causes. Aquat Ecol 28:467-476.
- Bhaskar PV, Bhosle NB (2008) Bacterial production, glucosidase activity and particle-associated carbohydrates in Dona Paula bay, west coast of India. Estuar Coast Shelf S 80:413-424.
- Boras JA, Vaqué D, Maynou F, Sa EL, Weinbauer MG, Sala MM (2015) Factors shaping bacterial phylogenetic and functional diversity in coastal waters of the NW Mediterranean Sea. Estuar Coast Shelf S 154:102-110.
- Boucher D, Debroas D (2009) Impact of environmental factors on couplings between bacterial community composition and ectoenzymatic activities in a lacustrine ecosystem. FEMS Microbial Ecol 70:66-78.
- Bouvier TC, del Giorgio PA (2002) Compositional changes in free-living bacterial communities along a salinity gradient in two temperate estuaries. Limnol Oceanogr, 47:453-470.
- Campbell BJ, Kirchman DL (2013) Bacterial diversity, community structure and potential growth rates along an estuarine salinity gradient. ISME J 7:210-220.

Formatted: Space After: 12 pt, Line spacing: single

- Cañizares R, Benitez E, Ogunseitan OA (2011). Molecular analyses of β-glucosidase diversity and function in soil. Eur J Soil Biol 47:1-8.
- Christaki U, Courties C, Massana R, Catala P, Lebaron P, Gasol JM, Zubkov MV (2011) Optimized routine flow cytometric enumeration of heterotrophic flagellates using SYBR Green I. Limnol Oceanogr Methods 9:329-339.
- Chróst RJ (1989) Characterization and significance of b-glucosidase activity in lake water. Limnol Oceanogr 34:660-672.
- Chróst RJ (1990) Microbial ectoenzymes in aquatic environments. In Aquat Microb Ecol (pp. 47-78). Springer New York.
- Chrost RJ (1991) Environmental control of the synthesis and activity of aquatic microbial ectoenzymes. In Microbial enzymes in aquatic environments (pp. 29-59). Springer New York.
- Cid VJ, Alvarez AM, Santos AI, Nombela C, Sanchez M (1994) Yeast exo-beta-glucanases can be used as efficient and readily detectable reporter genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 10:747–756.
- Clarke KR, Somerfield PJ, Gorley RN (2008) Testing of null hypotheses in exploratory community analyses: similarity profiles and biota-environment linkage. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 366:56-69.
- Coffin RB, Sharp JH (1987) Microbial trophodynamics in the Delaware Estuary. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 41:253-266.
- Cole JJ, Likens G,Strayer DL (1982) Photosynthetically produced dissolved organic carbon: An important carbon source for planktonic bacteria1. Limnol Oceanogr 27:1080-1090.
- Cottrell MT, Kirchman DL (2004) Single-cell analysis of bacterial growth, cell size, and community structure in the Delaware estuary. Aquat Microb Ecol 34:139-149.
- Crump BC, Armbrust EV, Baross JA (1999) Phylogenetic analysis of particle-attached and free-living bacterial communities in the Columbia River, its estuary, and the adjacent coastal ocean. Appl Environ Microbiol 65:3192-3204.
- Crump BC, Hopkinson CS, Sogin ML, Hobbie JE (2004) Microbial biogeography along an estuarine salinity gradient: combined influences of bacterial growth and residence time. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:1494-1505.
- Cunha MA, Almeida MA, Alcântara F (2000) Patterns of ectoenzymatic and heterotrophic bacterial activities along a salinity gradient in a shallow tidal estuary. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 204:1-12.
- Cunha MA, Almeida MA, Alcantara F (2001) Short-term responses of the natural planktonic bacterial community to the changing water properties in an estuarine environment: ectoenzymatic activity, glucose incorporation, and biomass production. Microb Ecol 42:69–79.
- D'ambrosio L, Ziervogel K, MacGregor B, Teske A, Arnosti C (2014) Composition and enzymatic function of particle-associated and free-living bacteria: a coastal/offshore comparison. The ISME J 8:2167-2179.

- Dang H, Zhu H, Wang J, Li T (2009) Extracellular hydrolytic enzyme screening of culturable heterotrophic bacteria from deep-sea sediments of the Southern Okinawa Trough. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 25:71-79.
- De Gregoris, TB, Aldred N, Clare AS, Burgess JG (2011) Improvement of phylum-and class-specific primers for real-time PCR quantification of bacterial taxa. J Microbiol M 86:351-356.
- Decho AW (1990) Microbial exopolymer secretions in the ocean environments their role(s) in food webs and marine processes. Oceanogr Mar Biol Ann Rev 28:73-153.
- Deepak SA, Kottapalli KR, Rakwal R, Oros G, Rangappa KS, Iwahashi H, Masuo Y, Agrawal GK (2007) Real-time PCR: revolutionizing detection and expression analysis of genes. Curr Genomic 8:234-251.
- DeLong EF, Franks DG, Alldredge AL (1993) Phylogenetic diversity of aggregate-attached vs. free-living marine bacterial assemblages. Limnol Oceanogr 38:924-934.
- Edwards JL, Smith DL, Connolly J, McDonald JE, Cox MJ, Joint I, Edwards C, McCarthy AJ (2010) Identification of carbohydrate metabolism genes in the metagenome of a marine biofilm community shown to be dominated by *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes*. Genes 1:371-384.
- Elifantz H, Malmstrom RR, Cottrell MT, Kirchman DL (2005) Assimilation of polysaccharides and glucose by major bacterial groups in the Delaware Estuary. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:7799-7805.
- Figueroa D, Rowe OF, Paczkowska J, Legrand C, Andersson A (2016) Allochthonous Carbon—a Major Driver of Bacterioplankton Production in the Subarctic Northern Baltic Sea. Microbial Ecol 71:789-801.
- Garrison DL, Gowing MM, Hughes MP, Campbell L, Caron DA, Dennett MR, Shalapyonok A, Olson RJ, Landry MR, Brown SL, Liu HB (2000) Microbial food web structure in the Arabian Sea: a US JGOFS study. Deep Sea Res PT II: Top Stud Oceanogr47:1387-1422.
- Grossart HP, Levold F, Allgaier M, Simon M, Brinkhoff T (2005) Marine diatom species harbour distinct bacterial communities. Environ Microbiol 7:860-873.
- Gonsalves MJ, Nair S, Bharathi PL, Chandramohan D (2009) Abundance and production of particleassociated bacteria and their role in a mangrove-dominated estuary. Aquat Microb Ecol 57:151-159.
- Hama T, Yanagi K (2001) Production and neutral aldose composition of dissolved carbohydrates excreted by natural marine phytoplankton populations. Limnol Oceanogr 46:1945-1955.
- Hamasaki K (2006) Comparison of bromodeoxyuridine immunoassay with tritiated thymidine radioassay for measuring bacterial productivity in oceanic waters. J Oceanogr 62:793-799.
- Harji RR (2011) Lipids in marine environment. Ph.D. thesis pp.260.
- Haynes K, Hofmann TA, Smith CJ, Ball AS, Underwood GJ, Osborn AM (2007) Diatom-derived carbohydrates as factors affecting bacterial community composition in estuarine sediments. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:6112-6124.
- Hedges JI, Clark WA, Cowie GL (1988) Organic matter sources to the water column and sediments of a marine bay. Limnol and Oceanogr 33:1116-1136.

- Henriques IS, Alves A, Tacão M, Almeida A, Cunha Â, Correia A (2006) Seasonal and spatial variability of free-living bacterial community composition along an estuarine gradient (Ria de Aveiro, Portugal). Estuar Coast Shelf S 68:139-148.
- Herlemann DP, Labrenz M, Jürgens K, Bertilsson S, Waniek JJ, Andersson AF (2011) Transitions in bacterial communities along the 2000 km salinity gradient of the Baltic Sea. The ISME J 5:1571-1579.
- Hold GL, Smith EA, Rappë MS, Maas EW, Moore ER, Stroempl C, Stephen JR, Prosser JI, Birkbeck, TH, Gallacher S (2001) Characterisation of bacterial communities associated with toxic and nontoxic dinoflagellates: *Alexandrium* spp. and *Scrippsiellatrochoidea*. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 37:161-173.
- Hoppe HG 1983 Significance of exoenzymatic activities in the ecology of brackish water: Measurements by means of methylumbelliferyl substrates. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 11:299-308.
- Hoppe HG, Kim SJ, Gocke K (1988) Microbial decomposition in aquatic environments: combined process of extracellular enzyme activity and substrate uptake. Appl Environ Microbiol 54:784-790.
- Hoppe HG, Gocke K, Alcantara F (1996) Shifts between autotrophic and heterotrophic processes in a tidal lagoon (Ria de Aveiro, Portugal). Arch Hydrobiol Spec Issues Adv Limnol 48:39-52.
- Hoppe HG, Giesenhagen HC, Gocke K (1998) Changing patterns of bacterial substrate decomposition in a eutrophication gradient. Aquat Microb Ecol 15:1-13.
- Hu Y, Wang L, Tang Y, Li Y, Chen J, Xi X, Zhang Y, Fu X, Wu J, Sun Y (2014) Variability in soil microbial community and activity between coastal and riparian wetlands in the Yangtze River estuary–Potential impacts on carbon sequestration. Soil Biol Biochem 70:221-228.
- Jost G, Pollehne F (1998) Coupling of autotrophic and heterotrophic processes in a Baltic estuarine mixing gradient (Pomeranian Bight). In Eutrophication in Planktonic Ecosystems: Food Web Dynamics and Elemental Cycling (pp. 107-115). Springer Netherlands.
- Kaartokallio H, Asmala E, Autio R, Thomas DN (2016) Bacterial production, abundance and cell properties in boreal estuaries: relation to dissolved organic matter quantity and quality. Aquat Sci 78:525-540.
- Kan J, Crump BC, Wang K, Chen F (2006) Bacterioplankton community in Chesapeake Bay: predictable or random assemblages. Limnol Oceanogr 51:2157-2169.
- Khandeparker R, Verma P, Meena RM, Deobagkar DD (2011) Phylogenetic diversity of carbohydrate degrading culturable bacteria from Mandovi and Zuari estuaries, Goa, west coast of India. Estuar Coast Shelf S 95:359-366.
- Kielak AM, Cretoiu MS, Semenov AV, Sørensen SJ, van Elsas JD (2013) Bacterial chitinolytic communities respond to chitin and pH alteration in soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:263-272.
- Kirchman DL, Dittel AI, Findlay SE, Fischer D (2004) Changes in bacterial activity and community structure in response to dissolved organic matter in the Hudson River, New York. Aquat Microb Ecol 35:243 -257.

- Klein C, Claquin P, Pannard A, Napoléon C, Le Roy B, Véron B (2011) Dynamics of soluble extracellular polymeric substances and transparent exopolymer particle pools in coastal ecosystems. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 427:13-27.
- Kohen E, Kohen C, Hirschberg JG, Santus R, Grabowski G, Mangel W, Gatt S, Prince J (1993) Aninsitu study of beta-glucosidase activity in normal and gaucher fibroblasts with fluorogenic probes. Cell Biochem Funct 11:167-177.
- Lämmle K, Zipper H, Breuer M, Hauer B, Buta C, Brunner H, Rupp S (2007) Identification of novel enzymes with different hydrolytic activities by metagenome expression cloning. J Biotechnol 127:575-592.
- Lane DJ (1991) 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. In: Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics. Stackebrandt, E., and Goodfellow, M., eds., John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, pp. 115-175.
- Langenheder S, Lindström ES, Tranvik LJ (2005) Weak coupling between community composition and functioning of aquatic bacteria. Limnol Oceanogr 50:957-967.
- Li X, Hou L, Liu M, Lin X, Li Y, Li S (2015) Primary effects of extracellular enzyme activity and microbial community on carbon and nitrogen mineralization in estuarine and tidal wetlands. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99:2895-2909.
- Lindh MV, Figueroa D, Sjöstedt J, Baltar F, Lundin D, Andersson A, Legrand C, Pinhassi J (2015) Transplant experiments uncover Baltic Sea basin-specific responses in bacterioplankton community composition and metabolic activities. Front Microbiol 6:223.
- Mannino A, Harvey HR (2000) Biochemical composition of particles and dissolved organic matter along an estuarine gradient: Sources and implications for DOM reactivity. Limnol Oceanogr 45:775-788.
- Mari X, Torréton JP, Trinh CBT, Bouvier T, Van Thuoc C, Lefebvre JP, Ouillon S (2012) Aggregation dynamics along a salinity gradient in the Bach Dang estuary, North Vietnam. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 96:151-158.
- Martinez J, Smith DC, Steward GF et al (1996) Variability in ectohydrolytic enzyme activities of pelagic marine bacteria and its significance for substrate processing in the sea. Aquat Microb Ecol 10:223-230.
- Murray AE, Preston CM, Massana R, Taylor LT, Blakis A, Wu K, DeLong EF (1998) Seasonal and spatial variability of bacterial and archaeal assemblages in the coastal waters near Anvers Island, Antarctica. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:2585-2595.
- Moreno B, Cañizares R, Nuñez R, Benitez E (2013) Genetic diversity of bacterial β-glucosidaseencoding genes as a function of soil management. Biol Fertil Soils 49:735-745.
- Newton RJ, Jones SE, Eiler A, McMahon KD, Bertilsson S (2011) A guide to the natural history of freshwater lake bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 75:14-49.
- Parsons TR, Maita Y, Lalli CM (1984) A manual of chemical and biological methods for seawater analysis. Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press.

- Passow U (2002) Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in aquatic environments. Prog Oceanogr 55:287-333.
- Pernthaler A, Pernthaler J, Schattenhofer M, Amann R (2002) Identification of DNA-synthesizing bacterial cells in coastal North Sea plankton. Appl Environ Microbiol 68:5728-5736.
- Pernthaler J, Posch T, Šimek K, Vrba J, Pernthaler A, Glöckner FO, Nübel U, Psenner R, Amann R (2001) Predator-specific enrichment of actinobacteria from a cosmopolitan freshwater clade in mixed continuous culture. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:2145-2155.
- Petit JR, Jouzel J, Raynoud D, Barkov NI, Barnola JM et al (1999) Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420,000 years from the Vostok ice core, Antarctica. Nature 399:429–436.
- Pinhassi J, Sala MM, Havskum H, Peters F, Guadayol O, Malits A, Marrasé C (2004) Changes in bacterioplankton composition under different phytoplankton regimens. Appl Environ Microbiol70:6753-6766.
- Puddu AA, Zoppini S, Fazi M, Rosati S, Amalfitano, Magaletti E (2003) Bacterial uptake of DOM released from P-limited phytoplankton. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 46:257–268.
- Ram AP, Nair S, Chandramohan D (2007) Bacterial growth efficiency in a tropical estuary: seasonal variability subsidized by allochthonous carbon. Microb Ecol 53:591-599.
- Riemann L, Steward GF, Azam F (2000) Dynamics of bacterial community composition and activity during a mesocosm diatom bloom. Appl Environ Microbiol 66:578-587.
- Romaní, A.M. and Sabater, S., 1999. Epilithic ectoenzyme activity in a nutrient-rich Mediterranean river. Aquatic Sciences-Research Across Boundaries, 61(2), pp.122-132.
- Simon M, Grossart H, Schweitzer B, Ploug H (2002) Microbial ecology of organic aggregates in aquatic ecosystems. Aquat Microb Ecol 28:175–211.
- Sharp JH, Yoshiyama K, Parker AE, Schwartz MC, Curless SE, Beauregard AY, Ossolinski JE, Davis AR (2009) A biogeochemical view of estuarine eutrophication: seasonal and spatial trends and correlations in the Delaware Estuary. Estuar Coast 32:1023-1043.
- Steen AD, Hamdan LJ, Arnosti C (2008) Dynamics of dissolved carbohydrates in the Chesapeake Bay: Insights from enzyme activities, concentrations, and microbial metabolism. Limnol Oceanogr 53:936-47.
- Steenbergh AK, Bodelier PL, Hoogveld HL, Slomp CP, Laanbroek HJ (2011) Phosphatases relieve carbon limitation of microbial activity in Baltic Sea sediments along a redox-gradient. Limnol Oceanogr 56:2018-2026.
- Tajima K, Nakajima K, Yamashita H, Shiba T, Munekata M, Takai M (2001) Cloning and sequencing of the beta-glucosidase gene from Acetobacter xylinum ATCC 23769. DNA Res 8:263-269.
- Teeling H, Fuchs BM, Becher D, Klockow C, Gardebrecht A, Bennke CM, Kassabgy M, Huang S, Mann AJ, Waldmann J, Weber M (2012) Substrate-controlled succession of marine bacterioplankton populations induced by a phytoplankton bloom. Science 336:608-611.

- ter Braak, CJ, Smilauer P (2002) CANOCO reference manual and Cano Draw for Windows user's guide: software for canonical community ordination (version 4.5).
- Vijith V, Sundar D, Shetye SR (2009) Time-dependence of salinity in monsoonal estuaries. Estuar Coast Shelf S 85:601-608.
- Yu Y, Li HR, Zeng YX, Chen B(2011) Bacterial diversity and bioprospecting for cold-active hydrolytic enzymes from culturable bacteria associated with sediment from Nella Fjord, Eastern Antarctica. Mar Drugs 9:184-195.
- Zhang Y, Jiao N, Cottrell MT, Kirchman DL (2006) Contribution of major bacterial groups to bacterial biomass production along a salinity gradient in the South China Sea. Aquat Microb Ecol 43:233-241.
- Zoppini A, Puddu A, Fazi S, Rosati M, Sist P (2005) Extracellular enzyme activity and dynamics of bacterial community in mucilaginous aggregates of the northern Adriatic Sea. Sci Total Environ 353:270-286.

Formatted: Space After: 12 pt

## Legends to figures

Fig. 1: Map showing sampling stations along the Zuari estuary. Mouth (S1 & S2); Lower middle (LM; S3 & S4); Upper middle (UM; S5 & S6); and Upstream (S7 & S8).
Fig. 2: The average values of salinity and dissolved nutrients concentration in surface water from mouth to upstream of the Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides. values are mean ± standard deviation; Lower Mid-Lower Middle; Upper Mid - Upper Middle; PreM - Premonsoon; SW-Mon - Southwest monsoon; PostM - Post monsoon; significant differences among the seasons and stations are evaluated using three-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's HSD test at the significance level of p< 0.05; different letters (a-c) and numbers (1-7) on the bars denote significant differences among the seasons and stations respectively.</li>

**Fig. 3** The average values of transparent exopolysaccharides (TEP), suspended particulate matter (SPM), total organic carbon (TOC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), and chlorophyll a (Chl a) concentration in surface water from mouth to upstream of the Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides. values are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; Lower Mid - Lower Middle; Upper Mid - Upper Middle; PreM - Premonsoon; SW-Mon - Southwest monsoon; PostM - Post monsoon; significant differences among the seasons and stations are evaluated using three-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's HSD test at the significance level of p< 0.05; different letters (a-c) and numbers (1-6) on the bars denote significant differences among the seasons and stations respectively.

**Fig. 4:** The average values of total bacterial count (TBC), bacterial production (BP), protists abundance, number of cells expressing  $\beta$  -glucosidase (no. of cells exp  $\beta$ -glu), 16S ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA),  $\beta$ -glucosidase ( $\beta$ -glu) gene abundance in surface water from mouth to upstream of Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides, values are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; Lower Mid - Lower Middle; Upper Mid - Upper Middle; PreM - Premonsoon; SW-Mon - Southwest monsoon; PostM - Post monsoon; significant differences among the seasons and stations are evaluated using

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

three-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's HSD test at the significance level of p < 0.05; different letters (a-c) and numbers (1-7) on the bars denote significant differences among the seasons and stations respectively.

**Fig. 5.** Redundancy analysis (RDA) showing the relation between biotic and abiotic variables during the spring and neap tides; A and D) RDA triplots; Red arrows indicate environmental variables; Dotted red lines are highly significant (p<0.05; Monte Carlo test); Blue arrows indicates species variables; B and E) RDA sample ordination plots; individual colored dots denote all biotic data set of a particular station and season; C and F) Eigenvalues and intersect correlation scores of RDA axes 1 and 2 respectively.

**Fig. 6** The average copy numbers of different bacterial groups in surface water from mouth to upstream of the Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides. values are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; Lower Mid - Lower Middle; Upper Mid - Upper Middle;PreM - Premonsoon; SW-Mon - Southwest monsoon; PostM - Post monsoon; significant differences among the seasons and stations are evaluated using three-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's HSD test at the significance level of p< 0.05; different letters (a-c) and numbers (1-7) on the bars denote significant differences among the seasons and stations respectively.

**Suppl. Fig. 1**: Validation of flow cytometric analysis A)  $\beta$  – glucosidase positive pure culture *Exiguobacterium indicum* isolated from Zuari estuary; B) Dot plot showing control (I)- sample without addition of FDGlu; C) Dot plot showing control (II) – addition of FDGlu without bacteria; D)Dot plot showing positive sample;E) Histogram plot.

Suppl. Fig. 2: Melt curve analysis.

**Suppl. Fig. 3**: A-B) The number of cells expressing  $\beta$  - Glucosidase in percentage with respect to total bacterial count from mouth to upstream of Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides; C-D) The percentage of bacterial community recovered by Q-PCR with respect to total community from mouth to upstream of Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides; Lower Mid - Lower Middle;

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Upper Mid - Upper Middle; PreM - Premonsoon; SW-Mon - Southwest Monsoon; PostM - Post monsoon.

## Legends to tables

Table 1: Q-PCR Primer sets used in this study.		Formatted: Font: Bold
Suppl. Table 1: PCR cycling conditions.		Formatted: Font: Bold
Suppl. Table 2A-C: RDA associated statistics; A) Monte Carlo permutation test-spring tide; B)	_	Formatted: Font: Bold
Monte Carlo permutation test-neap tide; C) Species response on RDA environmental axes 1 and 2		
using generalized linear model and F statistics; GLM – generalized linear model; no. of cells exp. $\beta$ -		
Glu number of cells expressing $\beta$ -Glucosidase; $\beta$ -Glu gene - $\beta$ -Glucosidase gene; NS - Not		

Significant.



Fig. 1: Map showing sampling stations along the Zuari estuary. Mouth (S1 & S2); Lower middle (LM; S3 & S4); Upper middle (UM; S5 & S6); and Upstream (S7 & S8).







Fig. 3 The average values of transparent exopolysaccharides (TEP), suspended particulate matter (SPM), total organic carbon (TOC), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), and chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*) concentration in surface water from mouth to upstream of the Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides. values are mean ± standard deviation; Lower Mid - Lower Middle; Upper Mid - Upper Middle; PreM - Premonsoon; SW-Mon - Southwest monsoon; PostM - Post monsoon; significant differences among the seasons and stations are evaluated using three-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's HSD test at the significance level of p< 0.05; different letters (a-c) and numbers (1-6) on the bars denote significant differences among the seasons and stations respectively.



Fig. 4: The average values of total bacterial count (TBC), bacterial production (BP), protists abundance, number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -glucosidase (no. of cells exp  $\beta$ -glu), 16S riboso -mal RNA (16S rRNA),  $\beta$ -glucosidase ( $\beta$ -glu) gene abundance in surface water from mouth to upstream of Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides, values are mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; Lower Mid - Upper Mid - Upper Middle; PreM - Premonsoon; SW-Mon - Southwest monsoon; PostM - Post monsoon; significant differences among the seas -ons and stations are evaluated using three-way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's HSD test at the significance level of p< 0.05; different letters (a-c) and numbers (1-7) on the bars denote significant differences among the seasons and stations respectively.



Fig. 5. Redundancy analysis (RDA) showing the relation between biotic and abiotic variables during the spring and neap tides; A and D) RDA triplots; Red arrows indicate environmental variables; Dotted red lines are highly significant (p<0.05; Monte Carlo test); Blue arrows indicates species variables; B and E) RDA sample ordination plots; individual colored dots denote all biotic data set of a particular station and season; C and F) Eigenvalues and intersect correlation scores of RDA axes 1 and 2 respectively.



	Target			
Target group	gene	Name	Sequence	References
Universal	16S rRNA	8F	AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG	Lane, 1991
Oniversar	100 1101	1492R	GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT	
a - Protechacteria	168 rRNA	926F	AAACTCAAAKGAATTGACGG	De Gregoris et al. 2011
0. 1 <i>1010000000111</i>	100 1101	1062R	CTCACRRCACGAGCTGAC	
R - proteobacteria	16S rRNA	Beta359f	GGGGAATTTTGGACAATGGG	Ashelford et al. 2002
p - proteobacieria	105 1104	Beta682r	ACGCATTTCACTGCTACACG	
	168 . DNA	1080yF	TCGTCAGCTCGTGTYGTGA	De Gregoris et al. 2011
γ - proteobacteria	105 IKNA	Y1202R	CGTAAGGGCCATGATG	
Bactoriodotos	16S rRNA	798cfbF	CRAACAGGATTAGATACCCT	De Gregoris et al. 2011
Ducientoueles		cfb967R	GGTAAGGTTCCTCGCGTAT	
Firmicutas	168 rRNA	928f-Firm	TGAAACTYAAAGGAATTGACG	De Gregoris et al. 2011
T trinicules	105 11114	1040FirmR	ACCATGCACCACCTGTC	
Actinobacteria	168 rRNA	Act920F3	TACGGCCGCAAGGGCTA	De Gregoris et al. 2011
Actinobacteria	105 1104	Act1200R	TCRTCCCCACCTTCCTCCG	
B - Glucosidase gene	ß-Glu	bgluF2	TTCYTBGGYRTCAACTACTA	Cañizares et al. 2011
	POlu	bgluR4	CCGTTYTCGGTBAYSWAGA	

 Table 1. Q-PCR Primer sets used in this study

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Isolation and identification  $\beta$  – Glu producing bacteria

Water samples were collected from eight different stations located along the Zuari estuary (Fig. 1), West Coast of India. One ml of sub-sample was serially diluted and spread plated on Zobell Marine Agar 2216 (ZMA 2216) and incubated for 24 hours. After incubation, pure cultures were isolated from agar medium and screened for  $\beta$  - Glu activity. Briefly, isolateswere streaked on an agar plate containing 0.5% arbutin and 0.02 % Ammonium ferric (II) citrate (which was filter sterilized and added to the autoclaved medium) and incubated at  $30^{0}$  C for 24 hrs.  $\beta$  - Glu positive isolates hydrolyse the arbutin substrate, and a dark brown colour zone develops in the agar plates (Suppl. Fig. 1A). Based on the biochemical characterization and protein profile using MALDI-TOF/TOF (Bruker) with biotyper software, the strain identified as Exiguobacterium indicum. Further, bacterial genomic DNA was extracted using pure link genomic DNA extraction kit (Invitrogen, USA) and 16S rRNA gene amplification was carried out by PCR using the universal AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') primer set 27F (5'and 1492R (5'-GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-30). The sequence obtained by analyzing with an automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems) was aligned with Clustal X, and MEGA 4 software was used to built the phylogenetic tree (NCBI accession number: KR047884). The analysis of the isolated strain's 16S rRNA confirms the taxonomy obtained from biochemical and protein profiling.

### Standardization of flow cytometric (FCM) analysis

For FCM analysis, *E. indicum* inoculated in the broth containing 0.5% of arbutin and incubated for 24 hrs at 30° C. After incubation, cells were washed and diluted with 0.05M sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5), and subsequently stained with FDGlu for 30 mins in the dark (2mM final concentration; Molecular Probes, USA). Control samples also prepared without FDGlu and FDGlu-sample without bacteria to cut off the background noise. After incubation, the samples from both the

sets were analyzed using a BD FACSAria<sup>TM</sup> II flow cytometer equipped with a 488nm nuclear blue laser using following detector settings (FSC – 286; SSC – 310; FL1 – 464) at a threshold rate of 200 (FITC). Emitted light collected through different filter sets: (1) 488/10 band pass for right angle light scatter (RALS), (2) 530/30 band pass filter for green fluorescence signal from the fluorescein hydrolysis product of FDGlu (Suppl. Fig. 1B-E).



Supplementary Fig. 1: Validation of flow cytometric analysis; A) β-glucosidase positive pure culture *Exiguobacterium indicum* (NCBI accession number: KR047884) isolated from Zuari estuary; B) Dot plot showing control (I) sample without addition of FDGIu; C) Dot plot showing control (II) addition of FDGIu without bacteria; D) Dot plot showing positive sample; E) Histogram plot





Supplementary Fig. 3: A-B) The number of cells expressing  $\beta$  - Glucosidase in percentage with respect to total bacterial count from mouth to upstream of Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides; C-D) The percentage of bacterial community recovered by Q-PCR with respect to total community from mouth to upstream of Zuari estuary during the spring and neap tides; Lower Mid - Lower Middle; Upper Mid - Upper Middle; PreM - Premonsoon; SW-Mon - Southwest Monsoon; PostM - Post monsoon.

## Supplementary Table 1. Q-PCR cycling conditions (' – Min; " – Seconds).

	PCR REACTION	ON COMPONENTS								
	2X SYBR green buffer containing taq polymerase									
	0.5 µl of each primer									
	DNA template									
	Wat	er to 20 μl								
	PCR THER	MOCYCLE								
Universal	Initial denaturation	95° C 5' 94° C 60"								
	40 cycles	55° C 60" 72° C 60"								
Group specific	Initial denaturation	95° C 5' 95° C 15"								
	40 cycles	53° C 15" 72° C 15"								
$\beta$ - Glucosidase gene	Initial denaturation 40 cycles	$\begin{cases} 95^{\circ} C & 5' \\ 95^{\circ} C & 60'' \\ 53^{\circ} C & 60'' \\ 72^{\circ} C & 45'' \end{cases}$								

# Supplementary Table. 2 Associated statistics of RDA analyses

A) Monte Carlo permutation test - Spring tide

Marg	inal Effect	s		Conditional Effects					
Variables	Var.N	λ1	Variables	Var. N	λΑ	Р	F		
Temperature	1	0.27	Temperature	1	0.27	0.001	8.04		
Silicate	13	0.25	Nitrate	11	0.11	0.002	3.75		
SPM	8	0.23	тос	5	0.07	0.012	2.65		
Nitrite	10	0.23	Salinity	2	0.07	0.034	2.69		
TEP	9	0.22	Silicate	13	0.05	0.098	1.94		
Ammonia	14	0.17	TEP	9	0.04	0.103	1.87		
Salinity	2	0.15	D.0	3	0.03	0.267	1.29		
Chl a	4	0.15	POC	7	0.06	0.254	1.14		
Nitrate	11	0.11	Phosphate	12	0.02	0.296	1.14		
DOC	6	0.1	Nitrite	10	0.04	0.201	1.47		
D.O	3	0.1	Ammonia	14	0.01	0.52	0.85		
Phosphate	12	0.09	DOC	6	0.02	0.639	0.7		
POC	7	0.06	Chl a	4	0.01	0.753	0.54		
TOC	5	0.05	SPM	8	0.02	0.756	0.5		

B) Monte Carlo permutation test - Neap tide

Marginal Effects				Conditiona			
Variables	Var.N	λ1	Variables	Var.N	λΑ	Р	F

Chl a	4	0.31	Chl a	4	0.31	0.001	9.93
D.0	3	0.31	Temperature	1	0.17	0.001	7
Temperature	1	0.27	TOC	5	0.13	0.001	6.34
TOC	5	0.25	Salinity	2	0.12	0.001	8.28
Nitrite	10	0.23	Nitrite	10	0.03	0.035	2.49
POC	7	0.2	DOC	6	0.02	0.132	1.65
DOC	6	0.18	D.0	3	0.02	0.11	1.76
Silicate	13	0.17	Silicate	13	0.02	0.074	1.9
Salinity	2	0.17	TEP	9	0.01	0.655	0.75
Ammonia	14	0.17	POC	7	0.02	0.621	0.712
SPM	8	0.13	Phosphate	12	0.01	0.504	0.9
Nitrate	11	0.12	SPM	8	0.02	0.318	1.13
Phosphate	12	0.06	Nitrate	11	0.01	0.625	0.77
TEP	9	0.02	Ammonia	14	0.01	0.537	0.81

C) Species response on RDA environmental axes 1 and 2 using generalized linear model and F statistics; GLM – generalized linear model; NS – Not Significant; No. of cells exp  $\beta$ -Glu - number of cells expressing  $\beta$ -Glucosidase;  $\beta$ -Glu gene -  $\beta$ -Glucosidase gene

	Surface water								
	Spring tide Neap tid							de	
	GLM		Score			GI	LM	Score	
Dependent	F	Р	RDA	RDA		F	Р	RDA	RDA
			1	2				1	2
α- proteobacteria	5.70	< 0.01	-0.52	0.42		24.97	< 0.0001	-0.72	-0.54
$\beta$ -proteobacteria	16.17	< 0.0005	-0.88	-0.02		5.5	< 0.02	-0.34	0.54
y-proteobacteria	23.0	< 0.0001	0.40	0.71		19.9	< 0.0001	0.37	-0.39
Bacteroidetes	5.50	< 0.02	-0.64	0.28		57.9	< 0.00001	-0.94	-0.07
Firmicutes	4.9	< 0.01	-0.34	0.52		36.9	< 0.0001	-0.84	-0.09
Actinobacteria	16.95	< 0.0001	-0.76	0.21		26.8	< 0.0001	-0.89	-0.20
Total Bacterial	16.20	< 0.001				11.3	< 0.01		
Count			0.64	-0.18				0.52	-0.77
Protists	NS	NS				15.1	< 0.0005		
abundance			-0.13	0.31				-0.43	-0.43
No. of cells exp	15.50	< 0.001				12.3	< 0.001		
β-Glu			0.66	0.03				0.64	-0.66
Bacterial	7.1	< 0.01				NS	NS		
Production			-0.61	-0.37				-0.06	0.07
β-Glu gene	4.10	< 0.03	-0.22	0.45		47.52	< 0.00001	-0.65	-0.47
	Dependent a- proteobacteria β-proteobacteria y-proteobacteria Bacteroidetes Firmicutes Actinobacteria Total Bacterial Count Protists abundance No. of cells exp β-Glu Bacterial Production β-Glu gene	GDependent $F$ a- proteobacteria5.70β-proteobacteria16.17γ-proteobacteria23.0Bacteroidetes5.50Firmicutes4.9Actinobacteria16.95Total Bacterial16.20CountProtistsNSabundanceNo. of cells exp15.50β-GluBacterial7.1Productionβ-Glu gene4.10	Spring           GLM           Dependent         F         P $a$ - proteobacteria         5.70         <0.01 $\beta$ -proteobacteria         16.17         <0.0005 $\gamma$ -proteobacteria         23.0         <0.001           Bacteroidetes         5.50         <0.02           Firmicutes         4.9         <0.01           Actinobacteria         16.95         <0.001           Total Bacterial         16.20         <0.001           Count              Protists         NS         NS           abundance          <<0.001 $\beta$ -Glu         7.1         <0.01           Production	Spring tide           GLM         Score           GLM         Score           Dependent         F         P         RDA $a$ - proteobacteria         5.70         <0.01         -0.52 $\beta$ -proteobacteria         16.17         <0.0005         -0.88 $\gamma$ -proteobacteria         23.0         <0.001         0.40           Bacteroidetes         5.50         <0.02         -0.64           Firmicutes         4.9         <0.01         -0.34           Actinobacteria         16.20         <0.001         -0.64           Total Bacterial         16.20         <0.001         -0.64           Protists         NS         NS         -0.64           Protists         NS         NS         -0.64           Protists         NS         NS         -0.13           No. of cells exp         15.50         <0.001         -0.13           β-Glu         -         -         -           Production         -         -         -           β-Glu gene         4.10         <0.03         -0.22	Surfa           Spring tide           GLM         Score           Dependent         F         P         RDA         RDA $a$ - proteobacteria         5.70         <0.01         -0.52         0.42 $\beta$ -proteobacteria         16.17         <0.0005         -0.88         -0.02 $\beta$ -proteobacteria         23.0         <0.0001         0.40         0.71           Bacteroidetes         5.50         <0.02         -0.64         0.28           Firmicutes         4.9         <0.01         -0.34         0.52           Actinobacteria         16.20         <0.001         -0.64         0.21           Total Bacterial         16.20         <0.001         -0.64         0.21           Count          <0.001         -0.64         0.21           Protists         NS         NS         NS         abundance         -0.13         0.31           Prodists         NS         NS         NS         abundance         -0.13         0.31 $\beta$ -Glu         7.1         <0.001         -0.13         0.31           Production         7.1         <0.01         -0.37 $\beta$ -Glu	Surface         Surface           GLM         Score           Dependent         F         P         RDA         RDA $a$ - proteobacteria         5.70         <0.01         -0.52         0.42 $\beta$ -proteobacteria         16.17         <0.0005         -0.88         -0.02 $\beta$ -proteobacteria         23.0         <0.001         0.40         0.71           Bacteroidetes         5.50         <0.02         -0.64         0.28           Firmicutes         4.9         <0.01         -0.34         0.52           Actinobacteria         16.20         <0.001         -0.64         0.28           Firmicutes         4.9         <0.01         -0.64         0.28           Actinobacteria         16.20         <0.001         -0.64         0.21           Total Bacterial         16.20         <0.001         -0.64         -0.18           Protists         NS         NS         NS         abundance         -0.13         0.31           No. of cells exp         15.50         <0.001         -0.66         0.03           Bacterial         7.1         <0.01         -0.37 $\beta$ -Glu         -         -	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c } & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c } & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$