Author Version of : Microbial Ecology, vol.81(2); 2021; 335-346

Evaluation of the Physiological Bacterial Groups in a Tropical Biosecured, Zeroexchange System Growing Whiteleg Shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* 

# Elaine A. Sabu<sup>1, 2</sup>, Maria Judith Gonsalves<sup>1\*</sup>, R.A. Sreepada<sup>1</sup>, Mamatha S. Shivaramu<sup>1,3</sup> & Ramaiah N<sup>1</sup>

1. Biological Oceanography Division, CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography, Dona Paula, Goa – 403 004, India

2. School of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Goa University,

Taleigao Plateau, Goa – 403 206, India

<sup>3.</sup> Present address: Dept. of Food Protectants & Infestation Control, CSIR-Central Food

Technological Research Institute, Mysuru, Karnataka – 570 020, India

\*Corresponding Author:

E-mail address: mjudith@nio.org. Tel: +91-832-2450 624.

# Abstract

To elucidate the individual and multiple roles of physiological bacterial groups involved in biogeochemical cycles of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus and sulfur, the changes in the abundance of aerobic bacteria (heterotrophs, methane oxidizers, ammonia oxidizers, sulfur oxidizers, phosphate solubilizers, phosphate accumulators) and anaerobic bacteria (total anaerobes, nitrate reducers, denitrifiers, and sulfate reducers) were investigated in a biosecured, zero-exchange system stocked with whiteleg shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* for one production cycle. Key water quality parameters during the 96-day production cycle fell within the normal range for L. vannamei culture. Results of Spearman's correlation matrix revealed that different sets of variables correlated varying levels of significance to the interrelationships between bacterial abundances and water quality parameters. The three nitrogenous species (ammonia, nitrite, and nitrate) strongly influenced the physiological bacterial groups' abundance. The strong relationship of bacterial groups with phytoplankton biomass and abundance clearly showed the trophic interconnections in nutrient exchange/recycling. Canonical correspondence analysis performed to assess the total variation revealed that the three dissolved nitrogen species followed by salinity, temperature, phytoplankton biomass and pH collectively accounted for as much as 82% of the total variation. In conclusion, the results of the study revealed the major drivers that interweaved biogeochemical cycles are the three dissolved nitrogen species, which microbially mediated various aerobic-anaerobic assimilation/dissimilation processes in the pond ecosystem. Considering the pond microbial ecology becoming an important management tool where applied research could improve the economic and environmental sustainability of the aquaculture industry, the findings of the present study are practically relevant.

**Keywords** Nutrients. *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Shrimp aquaculture. Bacterial groups. Canonical correspondence analysis . Water quality .

#### Introduction

The ever increasing demand for animal protein has resulted in the tremendous expansion of the shrimp culture industry and has now become an activity of economic importance in many countries of the world [1]. With a total production of 4.97 million tonnes, the whiteleg shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* has emerged as the highest harvested crustaceans in the world, contributing to ~53% of the total shrimps and prawns production (~9.39 million tonnes) in 2018 [2]. Remarkable attributes of *L. vannamei* such as faster growth rate, high density tolerance, adaptability to grow and survive under varying salinity and temperature regimes and cultivation in both indoor (tanks or recirculating aquaculture systems) or outdoor facilities (ponds) have made *L. vannamei* as the most choice shrimp species for commercial culture in subtropical and tropical regions [3, 4]. The high density and high yield culture of *L. vannamei* has largely been attributed to rapid transformation from 'open systems' (frequent water discharge) to 'closed systems' (minimal or zero-water discharge). Biosecured, zero-exchange systems offer apparent advantages such as better control over water quality, limited land use, reduced pollution discharge and water usage, and risk of disease transmission between wild and captive stocks (5–7).

The major problem associated with the zero-exchange systems, however has been the accumulation of nitrogenous waste (especially, ammonium and nitrite) and organic matter (derived from the excess feed, feces and metabolites) with the progress of the culture thereby affecting the water quality and productivity [5–8]. It has been reported that only 15% of the applied feed (amounting 24–37% of nitrogen and 11–20% of phosphorus) is consumed, assimilated and retained as shrimp biomass, while the remainder is released to the water and sediment [9]. The success of zero-exchange shrimp culture systems therefore depends on striking a balance between waste production and assimilation capacity by taking into account the waste impact on the growth of cultured organisms, the mortality of the cultured stock, and overall expansion of total biomass [10]. In this context, the role played by physiological bacterial communities in maintaining the water quality by assimilation and mineralization of excess organic load (uneaten feed and toxic chemicals) from the pond ecosystem while maintaining the optimum shrimp growth is of paramount importance [11].

Different groups of bacteria and phytoplankton communities recycle nutrients and degrade organic matter (uneaten feed, excreta, sloughed exoskeletons, and other debris) in the shrimp ponds. Excess nitrogenous compounds are the major contributors to eutrophication thereby disrupting the aquatic ecosystem balance and could lead to massive mortality of cultured organisms [12]. In natural ecosystems, microbes are quintessential in nutrient recycling and maintain a balanced concentration

of each nitrogen species, thereby maintaining water quality. In shrimp ponds, the microbial metabolism of the nitrogen (N) species is carried out mainly by ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB), nitrifying bacteria, heterotrophic bacteria (THB), nitrate reducing bacteria (NRB), denitrifying bacteria (DNB) and phytoplankton [13].

Similarly, the excess dissolved phosphate could also trigger eutrophication affecting the shrimp pond ecosystem. Polyphosphate accumulating bacteria (PAB) and phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB), respectively, involved in uptake and release of phosphorus (P) are important players in the P cycle. In addition to dissolved nutrients, organic matter, majorly the carbon (C) accumulated in the shrimp pond, is oxidized by sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) resulting in the release of toxic hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), particularly under anoxic conditions [14]. On the other hand, by assimilating H<sub>2</sub>S as a substrate, the sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (SOB) mitigates its toxic effect on the pond ecosystem [15]. The prevalence of anaerobic conditions in superintensive and intensive zeroexchange systems may lead to the rapid release of greenhouse gases such as methane [1]. This methane is mitigated by methane oxidizing bacteria (MOB) by assimilating it for their growth [14, 16].

Despite their ecological significance, the interrelationships between the physiological bacterial groups involved in elemental cycles of C, N, S, and P and environmental variables in the shrimp ponds have not been addressed holistically. In this context, the present study was conducted with an overall aim to evaluate the changes in the abundance of different physiological bacterial groups and their interrelationships with environmental variables during a production cycle of *L. vannamei* in the zero-exchange system. Considering shrimp aquaculture as a significant economic activity worldwide, the conclusions drawn from the study would advance the current understanding of the roles played by different physiological groups, which may aid in sustainable expansion of the industry.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Experimental Pond and Farm management**

The shrimp farm located near Alvekodi village, near Kumta town (Karnataka state) on the west coast of India (14.42 °N lat. 74.40 °E long.) was considered for the study. The farm is tide-fed from an adjoining creek located about 3 km from the coast. Investigations were carried out in a pond having a water spread area of ~0.84 ha and a pond depth of 1.2 m. Farm management practices as applicable to biosecure, zero-exchange shrimp farming (prevention of entry of carriers/vectors including crabs and birds, use of dechlorinated water for pond filling, healthy certified seeds, stringent feed management, periodic water quality monitoring, application of probiotics, maintenance of high standards of hygiene–personnel and equipments) were followed. The pond preparation practices (sun

drying, fertilization, and liming) were carried out one month before the post-larval stocking. The pond was then filled with dechlorinated seawater from reservoir pond followed by an application of inorganic fertilizer (4 ppm; urea: single super phosphate: 1:1). For enhancing the growth of microand mesozooplankton and beneficial bacteria, the farm-made liquid mixture containing wheat bran, jaggery, yeast, and a protein source (groundnut oil cake, old feed, buttermilk, vinegar) was added (100 to 150 liter) during the first 15 days of culture (DoC). Two weeks post pond preparation, stocking with seeds was carried out.

White spot syndrome virus (WSSV) negative post-larvae (PL<sub>18</sub>) of *L. vannamei* as confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test procured from a nearby shrimp hatchery (Skyline Aqua Hatchery) and transported to the pond site in oxygen-filled bags. The actively motile post larvae with no visible signs of disease or morbidity were stocked at a stocking density of  $16 PL_{18}/m^2$  during early morning hours.Commercially formulated pellets (CP Aquaculture; proximate composition: 38–40% crude protein; 5% lipid; 3% fiber) were used to feed the shrimps three times in a day. With the increase of shrimp biomass, a progressive decrease in the feeding rate from 10% to 2% of body weight was followed. However, based on the survival and shrimp biomass, feeding rates were adjusted.

To buffer the wide fluctuations in pH, the hydrated lime and pH fixers were applied to the pond throughout the production cycle that lasted for 96 DoC with zero-water exchange. Fresh dechlorinated water to compensate evaporation and seepage losses however was added on a fortnightly basis to maintain minimum water depth (~1.0 m). Optimum levels of dissolved oxygen (DO) in the pond were maintained by deploying paddle-wheel aerators with an aeration protocol, 8, 12, and 16 h/day during 0–50; 51–80 and 81–96 DoC, respectively. The liquid and powdered probiotics were applied mixed with the feed as well as directly added to the pond water. The liquid probiotic 'Super PS' (*Rhodobacter* spp. and *Rhodococcus* spp., ~ 10<sup>9</sup> CFU/ml) was applied periodically to prevent the formation of hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), increase DO levels, promote the non-pathogenic bacteria, digest the accumulated organic substances (protein, carbon and lipids) and to prevent disease occurrence. For supporting the growth and survival rates of shrimp, the commercial feed-based probiotic containing *Bacillus subtilis* (10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml) and vitamin-mineral feed additive were also applied periodically.

# Sampling Methodology and Analysis

Sampling started from the larval stocking day (0 DoC) till 96 DoC (harvesting day). Water samples (in triplicate) were collected from three pre-determined sampling points in the pond. The collected water samples were transported aseptically to the laboratory in an ice box for immediate processing

and analysis. Temperature, pH and salinity were measured *in situ* with the help of a standard thermometer, a portable pH meter (ColeParmer-99361-12) and a hand-held refractometer (Atago), respectively. All the physicochemical parameters were analyzed in triplicate. The concentration of DO was estimated by Winkler's method [17], and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>) loading was determined as per the methods described in Parsons et al [17]. The water samples collected in acid-washed polyethylene bottles (in triplicates) were analyzed for nutrients following the protocols outlined in Parsons et al. [17]. Total suspended solids (TSS) content was also estimated [17]. Water samples for estimation of chlorophyll a (Chl a) and phaeophytin (Phaeo) were analyzed spectrophotometrically [17].

## **Bacterial Enumeration**

In the present study, culturable aerobic and anaerobic bacterial groups involved in four elemental cycles were enumerated. Total heterotrophic bacteria (THB) in pond water were enumerated by the spread plate technique on nutrient agar plates [18]. Similarly, the total anaerobic bacteria (TAB) were enumerated by using anaerobic agar medium (HiMedia, India), sulfur oxidizing bacteria (SOB) with modified Lieske's media [19], sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB) using modified Hatchikan's medium [19], methane oxidizing bacteria (MOB) on NMS media [20], polyphosphate accumulating bacteria (PAB) in acetate mineral media [21], polyphosphate solubilizers (PSB) in hydroxyl apatite media [22], ammonia oxidizing bacteria (NRB) [19] were enumerated, respectively on specific agar media [18, 24].

# **Statistical Analysis**

Before subjecting the data for statistical analysis, all raw values of bacterial and environmental variables were normalized using log (x+1) and square root transformations, respectively. To analyze the interrelationships within bacterial groups as well as between bacterial groups and environmental variables, the data were subjected to Spearman's correlation analysis using Statistica 12.0 [25]. Three levels of significance (p < 0.05, p < 0.01 and p < 0.001) were reported. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was performed to decipher the role of environmental variables in controlling the abundance of different physiological bacterial groups. CCA— a multivariate data reduction technique was employed mainly to understand the major driving factors contributing to the changes in the abundance of (i) aerobic bacterial groups (ABG) (ii) anaerobic bacterial groups (ANBG) and (iii) all the bacterial groups (ABG and ANBG), separately using canonical community ordination

(CANOCO) software for Windows v.4.5 [26, 27]. Monte Carlo test was performed with the maximum number of possible random permutations (999) for statistical interpretation of the data.

# Results

# Water Quality

Key water quality parameters (water temperature, pH, salinity, and DO) recorded during the production cycle fell within the acceptable levels for the culture of penaeid shrimps [28]. During the culture period, the water temperature ranged from 25–32 °C (mean <u>+</u> SD: 29.4 ± 1.85 °C). Throughout the cultivation period, near alkaline conditions (7.91 ± 0.08) were maintained by periodic application of lime and pH fixers. Salinity increased from 32 (0 DoC) to 44 (96 DoC) with the progress of the culture. Optimum concentrations of DO ( $5.50 \pm 1.14 \text{ mg/l}$ ) were maintained with the help of paddle-wheel aerators. Values of BOD<sub>5</sub> ( $3.21 \pm 1.26 \text{ mg/l}$ ) throughout the production cycle were within the threshold level for the shrimp culture. The recorded survival rate was 78%. The average body weight of the harvested shrimps was  $20.83 \pm 0.5 \text{ g}$ .

Concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub>-N peaked on 41 DoC (19.48  $\pm$  0.14  $\mu$ M) and thereafter decreased (Fig. 1). Concentrations of both NO<sub>2</sub>-N (13.66  $\pm$  0.49  $\mu$ M) and NO<sub>3</sub>-N (122.76  $\pm$  27.97  $\mu$ M) showed a similar trend with the highest levels recorded on 12 DoC and decreased progressively thereafter until 96 DoC (Fig. 1). The temporal variation in concentrations of PO<sub>4</sub>-P did not show any particular trend until 78 DoC and then increased drastically towards the end of the culture period (19.14  $\pm$  1.56  $\mu$ M) (Fig. 1).

# **Changes in Bacterial Abundance**

The abundance of different physiological bacterial groups showed variable trends during the production cycle (Fig. 2). The abundance of THB was an order higher on 12 DoC ( $5.5 \times 10^6$  CFU/ml) than on 0 DoC ( $1.5 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml) and later dropped by two orders ( $3.3 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml) at the time of harvest (96 DoC). TAB were more abundant ( $2 \times 10^6$  CFU/ml) during the initial phase of culture (< 30 DoC), decreased progressively thereafter, and reached to the lowest abundance on 96 DoC ( $1.1 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml). MOB was  $5.28 \times 10^3$  CFU/ml on 0 DoC, with a peak of  $1.73 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml on the 68 DoC followed by a decrease to  $6.8 \times 10^3$  CFU/ml on 96 DoC. In the case of AOB, from the initial culture period, it attained a two order increase on 55 DoC ( $1.19 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml) and similar counts were recorded until 96 DoC ( $1.2 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml). Anaerobic bacterial groups, DNB ( $9.8 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml) and NRB ( $3.3 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml) involved in nitrogen assimilation had a highest count at 41 DoC and decreased subsequently (DNB,  $1.8 \times 10^3$  CFU/ml; NRB,  $1.4 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml) towards the last phase of culture (96 DoC). in the case of the aerobic oxidizer, SOB it was  $1 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml on 0 DoC,

peaked on 41 DoC (5.6 x  $10^6$  CFU/ml) and dropped to 1.3 x  $10^5$  CFU/ml on 96 DoC. In contrast, the abundance of SRB decreased progressively from 4.8 x  $10^4$  CFU/ml (0 DoC) to 9.2 x $10^3$  CFU/ml (96 DoC). The abundance of physiological bacterial groups involved in phosphorus cycle (PAB and PSB) showed an increasing trend from 0 DoC up to 96 DoC (PSB, 2.7 x  $10^2$  to 1 x  $10^4$  CFU/ml; PAB,  $1.1 \times 10^3$  to  $1.75 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml).

# Interrelationships between Bacterial abundance and Water Quality Spearman's Correlation Matrix

The result of Spearman's correlation matrix of different bacterial groups and their significant interrelationships with water quality parameters (physical, chemical, and biological) confirmed the existence of tight coupling between bacterial abundance and dissolved nutrients (Table 1). Spearman's correlation matrix also indicated that many physiological bacterial groups (except PSB) showed a significant positive relationship, particularly with one or more dissolved nitrogen species (NH<sub>4</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N) along with multiple bacterial interrelationships (Table 1). Spearman's correlation matrix results and scatter plot graph reaffirmed a strong positive relationship between THB and dissolved nitrogen species (p < 0.001) as well as a strong negative correlation with phytoplankton pigments (p < 0.001). In addition, results of Spearman's correlation matrix revealed that MOB negatively correlated with NH<sub>4</sub>-N (p < 0.01), NO<sub>2</sub>-N (p < 0.001) and THB (p < 0.001) (Table 1). Abundance of AOB showed a strong positive relationship with PO<sub>4</sub>-P and Chl a, and negative relationship with NO<sub>3</sub>-N. The results of the correlation matrix also confirmed that DNB strongly correlated with NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N, and TAB (p < 0.001) and correlated with NRB and SRB (p< 0.01) implying the multiple substrate utilization. Similarly, NRB positively correlated with all the three nitrogen species and with SRB, albeit with a lower p-value (0.05). Whereas NRB, SOB and SRB showed a strong negative relationship with Chl a. (p < 0.001), SOB and SRB also significantly correlated with nitrogen species (NH<sub>4</sub>-N and NO<sub>2</sub>-N). PAB correlated positively with PO<sub>4</sub>-P (p < p0.01), Chl a (p < 0.001) and negatively with all the three nitrogen species and also with TAB, NRB, and DNB (Table 1).

# Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA)

For assessing the degree of interrelationships between environmental variables and culturable bacterial groups, CCA was performed, separately for all bacterial groups (ABG and ANBG), ABG and ANBG. The results of CCA are depicted in ordination biplots (Fig. 3). The forward selection of the water quality parameters retained 9–12 variables that explained the significance of each factor with respect to the bacterial groups (Table 2).

In the first CCA biplot for all bacterial groups (Fig 3a), two axes, Ax1 and Ax2 explained 81.9% of the total variance contained in all bacterial abundance and the water quality data. Individually, Ax1 and Ax2, respectively accounted for 65.7% and 16.2% of the total variation. (Table 2). The three dissolved nitrogen species (NH<sub>4</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N), temperature, salinity, phytoplankton pigments (Chl *a* and Phaeo) and pH were the main factors controlling the bacterial abundance. The ordination plot showed the close relationship of DO and BOD with all the four groups of bacteria.

The first two axes (Ax1 and Ax2) in the second CCA biplot of ABG (Fig. 3b) accounted for 86% of the total variance. Ax1 and Ax2, explained 58.3% and 27.7%, respectively, of the total variation of aerobic bacterial groups with the environmental variables. The three nitrogen species, temperature and salinity were the main factors controlling the total variation in the abundance of ABG (Fig. 3a). On the Ax1, all the three nitrogen species negatively correlated with ABG, and on the Ax2, pH, TSS and NH<sub>4</sub>-N showed significant correlations (p < 0.05) (Table 2). The influence of PAB, THB and phytoplankton on the variability of dissolved phosphate is discernible from the ordination biplot.

In the third biplot for ANBG (Fig 3c), Ax1 and Ax2 explained 94.8% of the total variance of anaerobic bacterial groups with the water quality data (Table 2). Ax1 and Ax2, respectively accounted for 63.2% and 31.6% of the total variation. The three dissolved nitrogen species temperature, salinity, phytoplankton pigments (Chl *a*, and Phaeo) and PO<sub>4</sub>-P were the main factors controlling the variation in the abundance of anaerobic bacterial communities.

# Discussion

Microbes, especially bacteria form an integral component in shrimp pond ecosystems and play a critically important role in the cycling of nutrients as well as in biotransformation of xenobiotics, mediating the balance of biogeochemical cycling processes (C, N, P and S) and thus maintaining the ecosystem health [29–31]. In zero-exchange shrimp aquaculture systems, degradation of accumulated organic matter (uneaten feed, feces and other debris) lead to an increase in the concentrations of inorganic nutrients [9]. Assimilation and mineralization of excess nutrients by dense bacterial communities facilitate the growth of bacteria and phytoplankton communities concurrently leading to temporal variations in water quality parameters (pH, DO, TSS) [32]. For optimization of the culture environment to achieve higher production, farmers operating zero-exchange systems therefore often rely on the application of several farm inputs in the form of probiotics (*Aeromonas* spp., *Bacillus* spp., *Lactobacillus* spp., *Roseobacter* spp), disinfectants, environmental modifiers, immunostimulants, and antimicrobials [14]. Recognizing the role of bacterial communities involved in biogeochemical cycles (C, N, P, and S) in aquaculture systems, some studies have been conducted previously, as C-N [33, 34], C-N-S [31, 35, 36], N [37–40], N-S

[41], S [42–44], C-N-P [45–47] or and P [48]. However, the focus of these studies has been limited to fewer nutrient cycles and microbial interactions. In this context, the present study deciphers the ecological roles of culturable bacterial groups involved in four biogeochemical cycles (C, N, S, and P) as well as their interactions with environmental parameters in the presence of probiotics in a zero-exchange system, comprehensively.

Nutrient recycling has been recognized as one of the crucial processes governing pond dynamics. In a complex pond ecosystem, photoautotrophic, autotrophic or heterotrophic bacterial communities are involved in nitrogen cycle pathways [49]. These bacteria communities also depend upon the availability of carbon source (inorganic or organic) derived from the feed, fecal matter or carbohydrate supplements to meet their metabolic requirements [49]. THB is known to influence the levels of key water quality parameters (NH<sub>4</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>- N, and DO) and primary production through nutrient recycling [50]. The peaking of NO<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations on 12 DoC may be attributed to its utilization via heterotrophic denitrification [51]. This is corroborated by a strong positive correlation between THB and the three nitrogen species (Table 1). A relatively higher degree of negative relationship between THB and Chl a (p < 0.001) when compared between THB and phytoplankton abundance (p < 0.05) indicates that THB are efficient in the acquisition of inorganic nutrients than phytoplankton [52].

The major factors that affect the rate of nitrification also play a dominant role in heterotrophic bacterial growth. Furthermore, sustenance of a high abundance of THB during the initial phase of the culture (Fig. 2) may be attributed to the heterotrophic ammonium assimilation in building cellular protein [6]. The elevated levels of dissolved phosphate recorded during the final phase of the culture period might have stimulated the phytoplankton growth and abundance [33]. A strong positive relationship between phosphate concentrations and phytoplankton pigments (Chl a and Phaeo: p < p0.01) corroborates such a relationship. The increase in PO<sub>4</sub>-P concentration could be attributable to the accumulation of uneaten feed with the progress of the culture [12]. Furthermore, increased concentrations of Chl a and higher phytoplankton abundance attributing to increased phosphate concentrations towards the end of the culture period has also been recently reported [3]. The elevated concentrations of PO<sub>4</sub>-P and higher abundance of PAB towards the final phase may indicate phosphate utilization as corroborated by a significant relationship between PAB and PO<sub>4</sub>-P (p < 0.01). Similarly, a positive relationship between PAB and Chl a (p < 0.001) was also discernible. The luxuriant growth of phytoplankton and higher abundance of PAB towards the end of the culture concomitant with elevated concentrations of PO<sub>4</sub>-P imply that the phosphate may act as a common substrate.

By virtue of enhanced capabilities of biological phosphorus removal, PAB is known for its important role in bioremediation and phosphate mineralization [53]. Furthermore, PAB plays a dual role by participating both in N (nitrite reduction and or denitrification) and P cycles (PO<sub>4</sub>-P accumulation) [54–56]. Strong negative correlations between PAB and the three nitrogen species and TAB observed during the present study supports the multiple roles of PAB. The existence of possible competition for substrate between PAB and ANBG (TAB, NRB, and DNB) [53] was supported by a significant negative correlation. On the other hand, PSB, which are known for facilitating P release in aquatic systems [57, 58] varied within a narrow range except for a small peak on 12 DoC and did not show any significant relationships with the environmental variables as well as other bacterial groups. The absence of such a significant relationship indicates that a complex array of factors might be controlling their abundance in shrimp culture systems and hence warrants further investigation.

Besides PO<sub>4</sub>-P, the modulation of NH<sub>4</sub>-N is important for pond health. In pond systems, NH<sub>4</sub>-N production is controlled through oxidation of NH<sub>4</sub>-N to NO<sub>2</sub>-N and subsequent oxidation to NO<sub>3</sub>-N by autotrophic bacteria and assimilation of ammonia directly into algal biomass by photoautotrophic processes [59, 60]. Overall, NH<sub>4</sub>-N levels in the present study were within the safe limits [28]. However, the peaking of NH<sub>4</sub>-N during the mid-culture period (41 DoC) could mainly be attributed either to one or all three factors (i) the reduction of nitrogen species (ii) the leaching out of NH<sub>4</sub>-N by the breakdown of protein from the uneaten feed [61] (iii) from the feces [32]. Despite an increase in shrimp biomass, and accumulation of organic matter, lower concentrations of NH<sub>4</sub>-N observed post 41 DoC could be attributed to its efficient uptake by AOB and phytoplankton. A steady increase in the abundance of AOB and phytoplankton with the progress of the culture and a strong relationship observed between AOB and Chl *a* (*p* < 0.01) supports the contention [62]. Furthermore, aerating the pond to maintain the optimum DO levels might have further enhanced the rate of microbial activity [3].

In aquaculture systems, the toxicity of NH<sub>4</sub>-N and NO<sub>2</sub>-N is controlled by microbial N<sub>2</sub> production, which is important in maintaining optimum levels of dissolved nitrogen species [63]. The involvement of NRB and DNB in the removal of NO<sub>3</sub>-N through biological denitrification is considered as one of the most effective methods [64, 65]. ANBG (TAB, DNB, and NRB) involved in nitrogen assimilation were relatively high during the initial stages of the culture (up to 41 DoC). Sustenance of such significantly high abundance ANBG might be responsible for the maintenance of water quality by the removal of excess nitrogen. [39]. Although denitrification is known to occur in anaerobic conditions as well, but it is not an anoxic process *per se* as nitrogen oxide reductases are

expressed in the presence of oxygen [66]. In the present study, NRB significantly correlated (p < 0.05) with all the three nitrogen species and SRB (Table 1a & b). Similarly, DNB also significantly correlated with NO<sub>2</sub>-N (p < 0.001), NO<sub>3</sub>-N (p < 0.001), TAB (p < 0.001), SRB (p < 0.001) and NRB (p < 0.01). This testifies the active roles played by DNB and NRB in regulating the levels of nitrogen species (denitrification or dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium) and other anaerobic microbial interactions involving sulfur cycle [67].

In the light of recent reports that aquaculture ponds acting as the potential sources of CH<sub>4</sub>emissions [16, 69], the relationship of between MOB and environmental variables was evaluated as methane oxidizers are the major regulators controlling the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions [68]. The observed significant negative relationship of MOB with NH<sub>4</sub>-N (p < 0.01) and NO<sub>2</sub>-N (p < 0.001) may explain their competitive inhibitory effect on methane monooxygenase (MMO)—the major enzyme involved in methane oxidation [70]. By virtue of its competition for CH<sub>4</sub> as the substrate by MOB, NH<sub>4</sub>-N is presumed to be a major influential factor in methane oxidation [69]. The complex role of NH<sub>4</sub>-N in methane oxidation (inhibitory, stimulatory, or no effect) and evolutionary relatedness between MOB and AOB have also been reported [71, 72]. MOB showed a positive relationship with the phytoplankton abundance (p < 0.05). Dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP)—a constituent of phytoplankton leachates and debris, acting as a substrate for production of methane (methanogenesis) and its subsequent oxidation by MOB has been reported [73].

Forms of suspended organic matter as microniches aid in the proliferation of anaerobes such as SRB in aquatic ecosystems [74]. With the progress of the production cycle, production of H<sub>2</sub>S gas by SRB is likely to occur in high density zero-exchange shrimp culture systems. On the other hand, obnoxious H<sub>2</sub>S gas thus formed, is assimilated by SOB. The predominance of SOB over SRB observed throughout the production cycle may be related to the routine application of 'Super PS' probiotic (*Rhodococcus* spp and *Rhodobacter* spp) in addition to *in situ* SOB populations. In contrast, the lower abundance of SRB during the production cycle could probably relate to varying levels of substrate availability and maintenance of requisite concentrations of DO in the pond by artificial aeration. [30].

Positive relationships between SOB and the three nitrogen species (p < 0.05) were discernible. This indicates the effective utilization of nitrate/nitrite as electron acceptors for energy conservation and growth by autotrophic denitrifying SOB [75]. A strong relationship between SOB and THB (p < 0.001) indicate the detoxification of sulfide by SOB and the utilization of produced organic substrates by THB [75]. Therefore, it appears that the process of chemolithotrophic denitrification coupled with sulfur oxidation, carbon and nitrogen metabolism, as documented in nutrient-rich coastal ecosystems [41, 76, 77] might be also prevalent in shrimp culture systems. Sulfide produced by SRB acting as a controlling factor during the dissimilatory nitrate reduction by producing ammonium has also been reported [67]. Sulfide and organic carbon have significant effects on the nitrogen cycle, especially involving NH<sub>4</sub>-N. As reported previously [78, 79], SRB in the present study also showed positive relationship with NH<sub>4</sub>-N (p < 0.05), NO<sub>2</sub>-N (p < 0.01) and MOB (p < 0.001). This confirms the significant role played by SRB in the coupled biogeochemical cycling of C, N, and S [36, 80]. Effective utilization of NO<sub>3</sub>-N as substrate by THB, DNB, SRB and PAB might have prevented it reaching to alarming concentrations as excess NO<sub>3</sub>-N is known to induce toxicity in shrimps causing the reduction in growth and survival [81].

The structure and function of microbial communities in aquatic systems is influenced by environmental factors [82]. The succession of microbial communities in response to combinations of Chl *a*, total nitrogen, PO<sub>4</sub>-P, C/N ratio [83], total phosphate, chemical oxygen demand [84] and addition of feed sources [85] have been reported. The results of CCA performed to understand the major environmental determinants controlling the variation in the abundance of physiological bacterial groups (C, N, P and S cycles) revealed significance of the three dissolved nitrogen species along with temperature and salinity, phytoplankton biomass and pH. Collectively, these parameters explained as much as 82% variation in all the studied bacterial groups. A negative correlation of the three nitrogen species with Chl *a* and temperature supports the dynamic removal of N species from the pond. The progressive decline in the abundances of TAB, SRB, NRB and DNB with the progress of the culture signaling a shift in the bacterial communities from anaerobic to aerobic is supported by the first quadrant of the CCA ordination plot.

An assessment of the environmental factors shaping the structure and function of microbial communities in shrimp culture enclosure systems has been investigated recently by Hou et al [86]. Based on CCA results, they concluded that salinity, total phosphate, total nitrogen, temperature, and pH were the most important factors shaping microbial community structure in enclosed culture systems growing *L. vannamei*. The CCA inference combined with Spearman's correlation matrix in our study, highlighted thesignificant interrelationship between nitrogen species and different aerobic and anaerobic bacterial groups thus interlinking it with biogeochemical cycles. The aerobic, anaerobic bacterial and phytoplankton communities are directly or indirectly influenced by the nutrients, temperature and salinity. There is a strong interrelation between the elemental cycles within the shrimp culture ecosystem.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, the study provides comprehensive information on the abundance and temporal changes in physiological bacterial communities involved in C, N, P and S cycling and the delineation of principal environmental variables affecting their variability in zero-exchange shrimp culture. The results of the study (Spearman's correlation matrix and CCA) conclusively showed that the three dissolved nitrogen species (NH<sub>4</sub>-N, NO<sub>2</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N) followed by salinity, temperature, phytoplankton biomass and pH are the major environmental determinants accounting as much as 82% of the total variation in bacterial abundance. The inferences and conclusions drawn in the present study are based on the enumeration of physiological bacterial groups using conventional techniques for one shrimp production cycle. On the other hand, molecular methods (high-throughput 16S RNA, NGS analysis) generate robust information. Nevertheless, the results provide baseline information for predicting the changes in abundance of physiological bacterial communities in response to environmental parameters. Follow-up studies comparing the bacterial communities in closed shrimp culture systems with varying stocking densities, with or without probiotics and farm management practices using modern molecular methods, would provide greater insights into the precise role of physiological bacterial groups.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the Director, CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography (CSIR-NIO) for the facilities and encouragement. EAS acknowledges the University Grants Commission (UGC), India for providing the Junior and Senior Research Fellowship. The authors would like to record the cooperation of the commercial shrimp farm for permitting the fieldwork. Thanks are also extended to anonymous revie

- Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) for funding the project (PSC 0206)
- University Grants Commission (UGC), for Junior and Senior Research Fellowship (RF-83231) to EAS.
- CSIR-National Institute of Oceanography for research and analytical facilities.
- Commercial shrimp farm for permitting the fieldwork.

wers for improving the quality of the manuscript. This is CSIR-NIO contribution Number xxxx.

# References

1. Yuan J, Jian X, Deyan L, Hojeong K, Tiehu He Sunghyun K, Yongxin L, Chris F, Weixin D (2019) Rapid growth of greenhouse gas emissions from the adoption of industrial-scale aquaculture. Nat Clim Change 9(4):318. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0425-9</u>

2. FAO (2020) The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2020 Sustainability in action. Rome. <u>https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en</u>

3. Fernandes V, Sabu EA, Shivaramu MS, Gonsalves MJB, Sreepada RA (2019) Dynamics and succession of plankton communities with changing nutrient levels in tropical culture ponds of whiteleg shrimp. Aquacult Env Interac 11:639–655. <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/aei00341</u>

4. Alfiansah YR, Hassenrück C, Kunzmann A, Taslihan A, Harder J, Gärdes A (2018) Bacterial abundance and community composition in pond water from shrimp aquaculture systems with different stocking densities. Front Microbiol 9:2457. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02457</u>

5. Ray AJ, Dillon KS, Lotz JM (2011) Water quality dynamics and shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) production in intensive, mesohaline culture systems with two levels of biofloc management. Aquacult Eng 45:127–136. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2011.09.001</u>

6. Ray AJ, Seaborn G, Leffler JW, Wilde SB, Lawson A, et al. (2010) Characterization of microbial communities in minimal-exchange, intensive aquaculture systems and the effects of suspended solids management. Aquaculture 310:130–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.10.019

7. Schock TB, Duke J, Goodson A, Weldon D, Brunson J, et al. (2013) Evaluation of Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) health during a superintensive aquaculture growout using NMR-based metabolomics. PLoS One 8:e59521. <u>https://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0059521</u>.

8. Briggs, MRP, Funge-Smith SJ (1994) A nutrient budget of some intensive marine shrimp ponds in Thailand. Aquacult Res 25(8):789–811. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.1994.tb00744.x</u>.

9. Funge-Smith SJ., Briggs MR (1998) Nutrient budgets in intensive shrimp ponds: implications for sustainability. Aquaculture 164:117–133. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00181</u>

10. Richard G, Boiffin J, Duval Y (1995) Direct drilling of sugar beet into cover crop: effects on soil physical conditions and crop establishment. Soil Till Res 34:169–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-1987(95)00464-4

11. Mishra JK, Samocha TM, Patnaik S, Speed M, Gandy RL, Ali AM (2008) Performance of an intensive nursery system for the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, under limited discharge condition. Aquacult Eng 38:2–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2007.10.003

12. Lananan F, Hamid SHA, Din WNS, Khatoon H, Jusoh A, Endut A (2014) Symbiotic bioremediation of aquaculture wastewater in reducing ammonia and phosphorus utilizing effective microorganism (EM-1) and microalgae (*Chlorella* sp.). Int Biodeter Biodegr 95:127–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.06.013

13. Focht DD, Verstraete W, (1977) Biochemical ecology of nitrification and denitrification. Advances in Microbial Ecology, Plenum, New York 1:135–214. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-8219-9\_4

14. Sahu MK, Swarnakumar NS, Sivakumar K, Thangaradjou T, Kannan L (2008) Probiotics in aquaculture: importance and future perspectives. Indian J Microbiol 48:299–308. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-008-0024-3

15. Van Rijn J, Tal Y, Schreier HJ (2006) Denitrification in recirculating systems: theory and applications. Aquacult Eng 34:364–376. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2005.04.004</u>

16. Queiroz HM, Adriana GA, Carlos AK, Taniguchi, MRSS, Juliana CN, Gabriel NN, Xosé LO Tiago OF (2019) Hidden contribution of shrimp farming effluents to greenhouse gas

emissions from mangrove soils. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 221:8–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.03.011

17. Parsons TR, Maita Y, Lalli CM (1984) A manual of chemical and biological methods for sea water analysis. Pergamon Press Oxford. p 63–104.

18. Rodina AG (1972) Methods in aquatic microbiology (ed. y RR. Colwell MS. Zambruski), University Park Press, Maryland. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.19730130834</u>.

19. LokaBharathi PA, Chandramohan D (1990) Sulfate-reducing bacteria from the Arabian Sea—their distribution in relation to thiosulfate-oxidizing and heterotrophic bacteria. Bull Mar Sci 47:622–630.

20. Whittenbury R, Phillips KC, Wilkinson JF (1970) Enrichment, isolation and some properties of methane utilizing bacteria. Microbiology 61:205–218. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-61-2-205

21. Jørgensen KS, Pauli ASL (1995) Polyphosphate accumulation among denitrifying bacteria in activated sludge. Anaerobe 1:161–168. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/anae.1995.1014</u>

22. Hoppe HG (1988) Methods in aquatic bacteriology.(modern microbiological methods), Austin B (Ed.), J Wiley & Sons, Chichester XXI, pp 425.

23. Ram A, LokaBharathi PA, Nair S, Chandramohan D (2001) A deep-sea bacterium with unique nitrifying property. Curr Sci India 80:1222–1224. <u>http://drs.nio.org/drs/handle/2264/266</u>

24. Bonin, P (1996) Anaerobic nitrate reduction to ammonium in two strains isolated from coastal marine sediment: a dissimilatory pathway.FEMS Microbiol Ecol19:27–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.1996.tb00195.x

25. Zar JH (1996) Biostatistical analysis. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, p 481.

26. ter Braak CJF, Šmilauer P (2002) CANOCO Reference manual and CanoDraw for Windows user's guide — software for canonical community ordination, version 4.5. Microcomputer Power Ithaca, NY

27. ter Braak CJ, Verdonschot PF (1995) Canonical correspondence analysis and related multivariate methods in aquatic ecology. Aquat Sci 57:255–289. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00877430

28. Chien YH (1992) Water quality requirements and management for marine shrimp culture. In: Wyban J (ed) Proceedings of the Special Session on Shrimp Farming. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, LA, p 30–42.

29. Bai Y, Qi W, Liang J, Qu J, (2014) Using high-throughput sequencing to assess the impacts of treated and untreated wastewater discharge on prokaryotic communities in an urban river. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 98:1841–1851. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-5116-2</u>

30. Zoqratt MZHM, Eng WWH, Thai BT, Austin CM, Gan HM (2018) Microbiome analysis of Pacific white shrimp gut and rearing water from Malaysia and Vietnam: implications for aquaculture research and management. Peer J 6:e5826. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30397546

31. Fernandes SO, Kulkarni SS, Shirodkar RR, Karekar SV, Kumar RP, Sreepada RA, LokaBharathi PA (2010) Water quality and bacteriology in an aquaculture facility equipped with a new aeration system. Environ Monit Assess 64:81–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-009-0876-y</u>

32. Burford MA, Williams KC (2001) The fate of nitrogenous waste from shrimp feeding. Aquaculture 198:79–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(00)00589-5</u>

33. Burford MA, Costanzo SD, Dennison WC, Jackson CJ, Jones AB, McKinnon AD, Preston NP, Trott LA (2003) A synthesis of dominant ecological processes in intensive shrimp ponds and adjacent environments in NE Australia. Mar Pollut Bull 46:1456–1469. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(03)00282-0

34. Alongi DM, Johnston DJ, Xuan TT (2000) Carbon and nitrogen budgets in shrimp ponds of extensive mixed shrimp–mangrove forestry farms in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Aquacult Res 31:387–399. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2109.2000.00457.x</u>

35. Shariff M, Yusoff FM, Devaraja TN, Rao PS (2001) The effectiveness of a commercial microbial product in poorly prepared tiger shrimp, *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius), ponds. Aquacult Res 32:181–187. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2109.2001.00543.x</u>

36. Abraham TJ, Ghosh S, Nagesh S, Sasmal D (2004) Distribution of bacteria involved in nitrogen and sulphur cycles in shrimp culture systems of West Bengal, India. Aquaculture 239:275–288. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2004.06.023</u>

37. Krishnani KK, Kathiravan V (2010) A quantitative method for detecting ammoniaoxidizing bacteria in coastal aquaculture systems. Aquacult Res 41:1648–1657. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.2010.02535.x

38. Castine SA, Erler DV, Trott LA, Paul NA, De Nys R, Eyre BD (2012) Denitrification and anammox in tropical aquaculture settlement ponds: an isotope tracer approach for evaluating  $N_2$  production. PloS One 7:e42810. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042810</u>

39. Kathiravan V, Krishnani KK (2014) Diversity of denitrifying bacteria in the greenwater system of coastal aquaculture. Int Aqua Res 6:135–145. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s40071-014-0074-6</u>

40. Gao D, Liu M, Hou L, Derrick YL, Wang W, Li X, Zeng A, Zheng Y, Han P, Yang Y, Yin G (2019) Effects of shrimp-aquaculture reclamation on sediment nitrate dissimilatory reduction processes in a coastal wetland of southeastern China. Environ Pollut 255:113219. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113219

41. Rao PSS, Karunasagar I, Otta SK, Karunasagar I (2000) Incidence of bacteria involved in nitrogen and sulphur cycles in tropical shrimp culture ponds. Aquacult Int 8:463–472.

42. Devaraja TN, Yusoff M, Shariff M (2002) Changes in bacterial populations and shrimp production in ponds treated with commercial microbial products. Aquaculture 206:245–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00721-9

43. Krishnani KK, Kathiravan V, Natarajan M, Kailasam M, Pillai SM (2010) Diversity of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria in greenwater system of coastal aquaculture. Appl Biochem Biotech 162:1225–1237. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-009-8886-3</u>

44. Patil PK, Muralidhar M, Solanki HG, Patel PP, Patel K, Gopal C (2016) Effect of culture intensity and probiotics application on microbiological and environmental parameters in *Litopenaeus vannamei* culture ponds. J Environ Biol 37:21–29.

45. Ghosh M, Chattopadhyay NR (2005) Effects of carbon/nitrogen/phosphorus ratio on mineralizing bacterial population in aquaculture systems. J Appl Aquacult 17:85–98. https://doi.org/10.1300/J028v17n02\_07

46. Tendencia EA, Bosma RH, Primavera JH, Vereth JA (2012) Effect of different mangrove-to-pond area ratios on influent water quality and WSSV occurrence in *Penaeus monodon* semi-intensive farms using the greenwater culture technique. Aquaculture 362–363:72–79 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.07.020

47. Tendencia EA, Bosma RH, Verdegem MC, Verreth JA (2015) The potential effect of greenwater technology on water quality in the pond culture of *Penaeus monodon* Fabricius. Aquacult. Res 46(1):1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/are.12152</u>

48. Jana BB,Chakraborty P,Biswas JK, Ganguly S (2001) Biogeochemical cycling bacteria as indices of pond fertilization: importance of CNP ratios of input fertilizers. J Appl Microbiol 90:733–740. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01299.x</u>

49. Ebeling JM, Timmons MB, Bisogni JJ (2006) Engineering analysis of the stoichiometry of photoautotrophic, autotrophic, and heterotrophic removal of ammonia–nitrogen in aquaculture systems. Aquaculture 257:346–358. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.03.019</u>

50. Lemmonier H, Courties C, Mugnier C, Torreton JP, Herbland A (2010) Nutrient and microbial dynamics in eutrophying shrimp ponds affected or unaffected by vibriosis. Mar Pollut Bull 60:402–411. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.10.012</u>

51. Tsukuda S, Christianson L, Kolb A, Saito K, Summerfelt S (2015) Heterotrophic denitrification of aquaculture effluent using fluidized sand biofilters. Aquacult Eng. 64:49–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2014.10.010

52. Løvdal T, Eichner C, Grossart HP, Carbonnel V, Chou L, Martin-Jezequel V, Thingstad TF (2008) Competition for inorganic and organic forms of nitrogen and phosphorous between phytoplankton and bacteria during an *Emiliania huxleyi* spring bloom. Biogeosciences 5:371–383. <u>https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00297923</u>

53. Zeng RJ, Lemaire R, Yuan Z, Keller J (2003) Simultaneous nitrification, denitrification, and phosphorus removal in a lab–scale sequencing batch reactor. Biotechnol Bioeng 84:170–178. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.10744</u>

54. Barak Y, Van Rijn J (2000) Relationship between Nitrite Reduction and Active Phosphate Uptake in the Phosphate-Accumulating Denitrifier *Pseudomonas* sp. Strain JR 12. Appl Environ Microbiol 66: 5236–5240. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.66.12.5236-5240.2000</u>

55. Figdore BA, Stensel HD, Winkler MKH (2018) Bioaugmentation of sidestream nitrifying-denitrifying phosphorus-accumulating granules in a low-SRT activated sludge system at low temperature. Water Res 135:241–250. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.02.035</u>

56. Zheng L, Ren M, Xie E, Ding A, Liu Y, Deng S, Zhang D (2019) Roles of phosphorus sources in microbial community assembly for the removal of organic matters and ammonia in activated sludge. Front Microbiol 10:1023. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01023</u>

57. Foesel BU, Gieseke A, Schwermer C, Stief P, Koch L, Cytryn E, De La Torré JR, Van Rijn J, Minz D, Drake HL, Schramm A (2008) *Nitrosomonas* Nm143-like ammonia oxidizers and *Nitrospira marina*-like nitrite oxidizers dominate the nitrifier community in a marine aquaculture biofilm. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 63:192--04. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00418.x</u>

58. Richardson AE, Simpson RJ (2011) Soil microorganisms mediating phosphorus availability update on microbial phosphorus. Plant Physiol 156:989–996. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.175448

59. Jackson C, Preston N, Thompson PJ, Burford M (2003) Nitrogen budget and effluent nitrogen components at an intensive shrimp farm. Aquaculture 218:397–411. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(03)00014-0

60. Brune DE, Kirk K, Eversole AG (2004) Autotrophic intensification of pond aquaculture; shrimp production in a partitioned aquaculture system. Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Recirculating Aquaculture, Roanoke, Virginia, pp. 201–210

61. Casillas-Hernández R, Nolasco-Soria H, García-Galano T, Carrillo-Farnes O, Páez-Osuna F (2007) Water quality, chemical fluxes and production in semi-intensive Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) culture ponds utilizing two different feeding strategies. Aquacult Eng 36:105–114. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaeng.2006.09.001</u>

62. Hargreaves JA (1998) Nitrogen biogeochemistry of aquaculture ponds. Aquaculture 166:181–212. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00298-1</u>

63. Hargreaves JA, Tucker CS (2004) Managing ammonia in fish ponds (Vol. 4603). Stoneville, MS: Southern Regional Aquaculture Center.

64. Metcalf Eddy I (2003) Wastewater Engineering Treatment and Reuse, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. McGraw-Hill, NY.

65. Van Rijn J (1996) The potential for integrated biological treatment systems in recirculating fish culture–a review. Aquaculture 139:181–201. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(95)01151-X</u>

66. Shapleigh JP (2009) Dissimilatory and assimilatory nitrate reduction in the purple photosynthetic bacteria. In: The purple phototrophic bacteria (pp. 623–642). Springer, Dordrecht.

67. Jones ZL, Jasper JT, Sedlak DL, Sharp JO (2017) Sulfide-induced dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium supports anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) in an openwater unit process wetland. Appl Environ Microbiol 83:e00782-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00782-17

68. Trotsenko YA, Murrell JC (2008) Metabolic aspects of aerobic obligate methanotrophy. Adv Appl Microbiol 63:183–229. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2164(07)00005-6</u>

69. Yang P, Zhang Y, Lai DY, Tan L, Jin B, Tong C (2018) Fluxes of carbon dioxide and methane across the water-atmosphere interface of aquaculture shrimp ponds in two subtropical estuaries: the effect of temperature, substrate, salinity and nitrate. Sci Total Environ 635:1025–1035. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.102

70. Stein LY, Klotz MG (2011). Nitrifying and denitrifying pathways of methanotrophic bacteria. Biochem Soc Trans 39:1826–1831. <u>https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20110712</u>

71. Yang P, Lai DYF, Huang JF, Tong C (2018) Effect of drainage on CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from aquaculture ponds during winter in a subtropical estuary of China. J Environ Sci (China) 65:72–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.03.024</u>

72. Hoefman S, van der Ha D, Boon N, Vandamme P, De Vos P, Heylen K (2014) Niche differentiation in nitrogen metabolism among methanotrophs within an operational taxonomic unit. BMC Microbio 14:83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-14-83</u>

73. Damm E, Kiene RP, Schwarz J, Falck E, Dieckmann G (2008) Methane cycling in Arctic shelf water and its relationship with phytoplankton biomass and DMSP. Mar Chem 109:45–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007.12.003</u>

74. Jorgensen BB (1977) Bacterial sulphate reduction within reduced microniches of oxidized marine sediments. Mar Biol 41:7–17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00390576</u>

75. Fry JC (1987) Functional roles of the major groups of bacteria associated with detritus. In:Moriarty DJW, Pullin, RSV (Eds.), Detritus and Microbial Ecology in Aquaculture, ICLARM Conference Proceedings, Manila, Philippines, pp. 83–122.

76. Hu X, Liu J, Liu H, Zhuang G, Xun L (2018) Sulfur metabolism by marine heterotrophic bacteria involved in sulfur cycling in the ocean. Sci China Earth Sci 61:1369–1378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-017-9234-x

77. Li Y, Tang K, Zhang L, Zhao Z, Xie X, Chen CTA, Wang D, Jiao N, Zhang Y (2018) Coupled carbon, sulfur, and nitrogen cycles mediated by microorganisms in the water column of a shallow-water hydrothermal ecosystem. Front Microbio 19:2718. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02718

78. Hoehler TM, Alperin MJ, Albert DB, Martens CS (1994) Field and laboratory studies of methane oxidation in an anoxic marine sediment: Evidence for a methanogen–sulfate reducer consortium. Global Biogeochem CY 8:451–463. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/94GB01800</u>

79. Fdz-Polanco F, Fdz-Polanco M, Fernandez N, Urueña MA, Garcia PA, Villaverde S (2001) New process for simultaneous removal of nitrogen and sulphur under anaerobic conditions. Water Res 35:1111-1114. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(00)00474-7</u>

80. Bao P, Li GX, Sun GX, Xu YY, Meharg AA, Zhu YG (2018) The role of sulfatereducing prokaryotes in the coupling of element biogeochemical cycling. Sci. Total Environ 613:398–408. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.062</u>

81. Furtado PS, Campos BR, Serra FP, Klosterhoff M, Romano LA, Wasielesky W (2015) Effects of nitrate toxicity in the Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, reared with biofloc technology (BFT). Aquacult Int 23:315–327. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10499-014-9817-z</u>

82. Allison SD, Martiny JB (2008) Resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 105: 11512–11519. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801925105 83. Xiong J, Zhu J, Wang K, Wang X, Ye, X, Liu L et al. (2014) The temporal scaling of bacterioplankton composition: high turnover and predictability during shrimp cultivation. Microb Ecol 67: 256–264. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-013-0336-7</u>

84. Zhang D, Wang X, Xiong J, Zhu J, Wang Y, Zhao Q, et al. (2014) Bacterioplankton assemblages as biological indicators of shrimp health status. Ecol Indic 38:218–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.11.002

85. Qin Y, Hou, J, Deng M, Liu Q, Wu C, Ji, Y, et al. (2016) Bacterial abundance and diversity in pond water supplied with different feeds. Sci Rep 6:35232. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35232

86. Hou D, Huang Z, Zeng S, Liu J, Wei D, Deng X, Weng S, He Z, He J (2017) Environmental factors shape water microbial community structure and function in shrimp cultural enclosure ecosystems. Front Microbiol 8:2359. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02359</u>

#### **Figures and Tables**

**Fig. 1** Temporal variation in concentrations ( $\mu$ M) of dissolved nutrients (Ammonia, Nitrite, Nitrate and phosphate) during the cultivation of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in zero-exchange shrimp system. Data is expressed as Mean ± SD (n = 3)

**Fig. 2** Temporal variation in the abundance (CFU/ml) of physiological bacterial groups (a) total heterotrophic bacteria (THB), total anaerobic bacteria (TAB) and methane oxidizing bacteria (MOB) (b) Ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB), nitrate reducing bacteria (NRB) and denitrifying bacteria (DNB) (c) sulfur oxidizing bacteria (SOB) and sulfate reducing bacteria SRB (d) phosphate accumulating bacteria (PAB) and phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) during the cultivation of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in zero-exchange shrimp system Data is expressed as Mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 3)

**Fig. 3** Canonical correspondence analysis ordination diagram for water quality parameters with (a) cultivable bacterial groups (b) aerobic bacterial groups and (c) anaerobic bacterial groups during the cultivation of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in zero-exchange shrimp system. Results are for axis 1 (horizontal) and axis 2 (vertical); arrows represent forward selected environmental variables (Temp: temperature; TSS: total suspended solids; DO: dissolved oxygen; BOD; biochemical oxygen demand; Chl *a*: Chlorophyll *a*; Phaeo: Phaeophytin; Phyto: phytoplankton count) Arrow length indicates the strength of that variable explaining the distribution during the culture period; arrow direction; approximate correlation to the ordination axes

**Table 1** Spearman's correlation matrix of (a) physiological bacterial groups (b) physiological bacterial groups and environmental variables (n=27). Significant correlations are represented in bold and italicized, (\*p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001)

**Table 2** Summary for the 2 axes (Ax1 and Ax2) of canonical correspondence analysis with 12 selected environmental variables. Percentage variance of species-environment: cumulative percentage variance of species-environment relation; eigenvalues; sum of eigenvalues and canonical eigenvalues. Significant values are represented in bold



Figure 1









b



Figure 3a





Figure 3c

**Table 1** Spearman's correlation matrix of (a) physiological bacterial groups (b) physiological bacterial groups and environmental variables (n=27). Significant correlations are represented in italicized, bold letters (\*p < 0.05; \*\* p < 0.01; \*\*\* p < 0.001).

(a) Physiological bacterial groups and phytoplankton abundance

Bacterial	THB	TAB	MOB	SOB	SRB	NRB	DNB	AOB	PSB	PAB
groups										
THB	1.00									
TAB	0.54	1.00								
MOB	-0.60***	-0.58	1.00							
SOB	0.77***	-0.02	-0.19	1.00						
SRB	0.45	0.80***	-0.77***	-0.06	1.00					
NRB	0.47	0.53	-0.13	0.19	0.40*	1.00				
DNB	0.64	0.86***	-0.59	0.18	0.83***	0.54**	1.00			
AOB	-0.35	-0.34	-0.20	-0.18	0.16	-0.05	-0.22	1.00		
PSB	0.27	-0.17	0.16	0.60	-0.49	-0.06	-0.29	-0.24	1.00	
PAB	-0.58	-0.58**	0.23	-0.27	-0.32	-0.72***	-0.56**	0.36	0.11	1.00

# (b) Bacterial groups and water quality parameters

Bacterial	Water quality parameters												
Stoups	pН	Salinity	Temp	TSS	$\mathrm{NH_{4}^{+}}$	NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup>	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	$PO_4^-$	DO	BOD	Chl a	Phaeo	Phyto
THB	0.04	-0.37	-0.86***	0.25	0.71***	0.92***	0.86***	-0.24	-0.13	0.25	-0.77***	-0.70***	-0.45*
TAB	-0.34	-0.62	-0.39	-0.22	0.35	0.67	0.61	-0.12	-0.05	0.07	-0.62	-0.71	-0.66
МОВ	-0.00	0.26	0.34	-0.14	-0.57**	-0.69***	-0.52	-0.24	0.14	-0.37	0.35	0.34	0.43*
SOB	0.37	0.17	-0.67	0.50	0.59***	0.53**	0.47*	-0.31	-0.35	0.03	-0.38*	-0.25	0.13
SRB	-0.17	-0.42	-0.32	0.01	0.41*	0.49**	0.34	0.17	-0.09	0.34	-0.41*	-0.50**	-0.66
NRB	0.30	-0.52	-0.35	0.14	0.45*	0.52**	0.45*	-0.15	0.18	0.32	-0.59***	-0.62***	-0.30
DNB	-0.13	-0.66	-0.61	0.05	0.46	0.61***	0.60***	-0.28	0.08	0.38	-0.73	-0.79	-0.62
AOB	0.63	0.47	0.53	0.37	0.11	-0.35	-0.55**	0.49**	-0.16	0.25	0.57**	0.57**	0.41
PSB	0.33	0.43	-0.05	-0.07	-0.01	0.20	0.19	-0.13	-0.40	-0.42	0.06	0.19	0.50
PAB	0.01	0.63	0.51	-0.40	-0.72***	-0.66***	-0.62***	0.49**	-0.16	-0.13	0.69***	0.72***	0.29

THB : Total heterotrophic bacteria; TAB : Total anaerobic bacteria; MOB : Methane oxidizing bacteria; SOB : Sulfur oxidizing bacteria; SRB : Sulfate reducing bacteria; NRB : Nitrate reducing bacteria; DNB : Denitrifying bacteria; AOB : Ammonia oxidizing bacteria; PAB : Phosphate accumulating bacteria; Temp : temperature; TSS : Total suspended solids;  $NH_4^+$  : Ammonia;  $NO_2^-$  : Nitrate;  $NO_3^-$  : Nitrate;  $PO_4^-$  : Phosphate; DO : Dissolved oxygen ; BOD : Biochemical oxygen demand; Chl *a* : Chlorophyll *a*: Phaeo : Phaeophytin

**Table 2** Summary for the 2 axes (Ax1 and Ax2) of canonical correspondence analysis with 12 selected environmental variables. Percentage variance of species-environment: cumulative percentage variance of species-environment relation; eigenvalues; sum of eigenvalues and canonical eigenvalues. Significant values are represented in bold

	All bacter	ial groups	Aerobic	bacteria	Anaerobic bacteria		
Variable	Ax1	Ax2	Ax1	Ax2	Ax1	Ax2	
pH	-0.52	-0.21	0.093	0.582	0.277	0.542	
Salinity	-0.75	-0.02	0.686	0.38	0.757	0.205	
Temperature	-0.62	0.64	0.846	0.09	0.791	-0.174	
TSS	-0.21	-0.35	-0.232	0.902	0.028	0.972	
Ammonia	0.28	-0.50	-0.686	0.701	-0.355	0.698	
Nitrite	0.65	-0.58	-0.888	-0.018	-0.688	0.006	
Nitrate	0.65	-0.58	-0.918	-0.243	-0.855	-0.092	
Phosphate	-0.04	0.44	0.468	-0.119	0.575	-0.455	
Dissolved oxygen (DO)	0.19	0.24	-0.17	-0.403	-0.354	-0.177	
Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)	-0.33	0.10	-	-	-	-	
Chl a	-0.74	0.46	-	-	0.875	0.015	
Phaeophytin	-0.82	0.32	-	-	0.841	0.07	
Eigenvalues :	0.023	0.006	0.023	0.011	0.029	0.015	
% var group-env	65.7	81.9	58.3	86.0	63.2	94.8	
Total inertia		0.036		0.041		0.047	
Sum eigenvalues		.036		0.041		0.047	
Sum of canonical eigenvalues		.035		0.040		0.046	